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# How missionaries applied Portuguese and Latin descriptive categories in the classification and explanation of verb conjugations and paired verbs of Tamil 
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#### Abstract

Tamil verb stems may be inclusive of a voice morpheme that encodes the degree of agency of the verb. Hence, using Paramasivam's (1979) terminology, these kinds of verbs are paired verbs of which one is the affective and the other its effective counterpart. In the former, the action expressed by the verb is realised by an agent and affects a patient, whereas in the latter the consequences of the action fall on the subject who realises the action. This paper intends to analyse how missionaries described the verb system of Tamil which differed substantially from their own model of reference (Latin and Portuguese), and how they understood paired verbs, as defined above. As such, taking into account the Western sources that missionaries used to compose and organise their descriptions, this paper focuses on both verb conjugations and paired verbs in Tamil. It also demonstrates how the Latin grammatical framework was applied for the description of Tamil verbs and discusses the Indian grammatical sources available to missionaries. Given that the present classification of Tamil verbs is based on the one offered by a missionary, Karl Friedrich Leberecht Graul (1814-1864), this study highlights how earlier missionaries' descriptions contributed to the current classification.
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## 1. Premise

This paper analyses how the Tamil verb system was understood and described in six grammars of the Tamil language produced by missionaries between the 16th and 18th centuries with the purpose of creating useful tools to help missionaries how to communicate with natives and, thereby, spread the Gospel. This immediately raises the issue of the diglossic situation that characterises Tamil for, as Chevillard (2018) suggests, it would more correctly be considered triglossia to include the poetic language inasmuch as it is "difficult to separate [the three varieties] in practice, because each component of the Tamil triglossia had its own role to play in the global picture of the everyday life in Tamil Nadu" (Chevillard 2021: 2). As such, the data collected in these texts are representative of three varieties albeit with a different degree of representativeness: variety A which is the vernacular Tamil, variety B which is the Modern formal Tamil, and variety C which is the Classical Tamil - as described in Chevillard's schema (2021: 3). Among the oldest texts, Henriques' Arte (cf. below in this $\S$ ) is more representative of A. Later works are more illustrative of variety B. ${ }^{1}$ Unsurprisingly, data from the poetical language are marginal or

[^0]absent from earlier texts - despite missionaries having always been aware of the existence of different varieties (cf. Chevillard 2021; Muru 2010). Nevertheless, data from A, B and C co-exist within the grammars, at least up until when Italian Jesuit missionary Constantius Joseph Beschi [or Costantino Giuseppe Beschi] (1680-1747) compiled two different grammars: one describing the common language (koturtamil) while the other focused on the high language (centamil).
The first text considered in this study is among the most studied Tamil grammars, written in the 16th century, namely the Arte da lingua Malabar (ca. 1548) by Henrique Henriques (1520-1600) (henceforth HH, no. 1 in Table 1) of which the only extant manuscript is held in the National Library of Lisbon. Published by Vermeer (1982) it also provides an almost complete classification of all the Tamil lexicon found in the grammar, while an English translation by Hein \& Rajam appeared later in 2013. The other manuscripts studied in this paper include the Tamil Arte wrote by Gaspar de Aguilar (1548-?) and copied by Philippus Baldaeus (1623-1671) (henceforth GA/PB, no. 4 in Table 1), already discussed in Francis (2011), Muru (2014, 2020), and Pytlowany (2018). The third text is the Tamil grammar produced by Balthasar Da Costa (c. 1610-1673) (henceforth BC, n. 3 in Table 1) which the author of this paper has transcribed and translated into English (as yet unpublished). The fourth text is an anonymous Tamil grammar manuscript now preserved in the French National Library (henceforth Ind. 188, no. 2 in Table 1) allegedly written earlier than BC's Arte (see discussion in § 4). The fifth is the Grammatica Damulica by Bartholomäus Ziegenbalg (1682-1719) printed in 1716 (henceforth BZ, no. 5 in Table 1) while the sixth is the famous grammar of Common Tamil (kotuntamil) produced by Constantius Joseph Beschi (1680-1747) (henceforth CJB, no. 6 in Table 1). Table 1 below provides detailed information about these texts, their authors, and their location, as well as accessible editions and translations.
This article is organised into two main sections, each introduced by a paragraph briefly explaining the main features of Tamil verbs (§2) as they are described in modern grammars of standard Tamil. The first section discusses (1) how the verb system was described in the Indian grammars with which missionaries were familiar (cf. § 3); and (2) which Western grammatical framework missionaries would have considered while describing the Tamil verb system (cf. § 4). The second section analyses in detail (3) how Tamil verbs were described by early missionaries between the second half of the 16th century and the first half of the 18th century, prior to Graul's classification (of Tamil verbs) (cf. §5). The conclusion section (§6) reveals the way in which the classification of Tamil verbs is used today and the extent to which the system highlighted in the Grammar Outline (1855: 38-39) by Karl Friedrich Leberecht Graul (1814-1864) has its roots in these early descriptions, pointing out the achievements of missionaries in the early 18th century. This in turn opens up perspectives for further research and underlines once again the significance of these early documents for the History of the Language Science.

[^1]Table 1: List of analysed documents.

| doc. numb. | Author and abbreviations | Title | metalanguage | Loca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Henrique Henriques $(1520-1600)=\mathrm{HH}$ | Arte da lingua Malabar, ca. 1548 | Portuguese | Cod. 3141 - Lisbon, Biblioteca <br> Nacional de Portugal. <br> Hein \& Rajam (2013, Engl. Transl.); <br> Vermeer (1982, Port. reproduction). |
| 2 | Nd $=$ Ind 188 | - | Portuguese | Ind 188 - Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France. <br> Transcribed by Cristina Muru. English translation in preparation by Muru. |
| 3 | Gaspar de Aguilar (1548-?) Philippus Baldaues (1623-1671) $=G A / P B$ | Arte Tamul sive institutio grammatica lingæ Malabaricæ, 1659-1665 | Portuguese | Cor. Orient. 283 - Hamburg, Staats und Universitäts bibliothek Hamburg Carl von Ossietzky. Transcribed and partially translated into English by Muru. <br> MS 7107 [Baldaeus 1672] - London, SOAS Library Special Collection. It is an uncompleted Engl. Translation. |
| 4 | Balthasar Da Costa (c. 1610-1673) $=\mathrm{BC}$ | Arte Tamul, 17th c. | Portuguese | Borg. Ind. 12 - Rome, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana [see Costa 1685]. ${ }^{2}$ <br> MS 50 (prev. MS 34) [Costa 1673]; MS 16 (prev.MS M15) [Costa 1794]; ${ }^{3}$ MS 66 (prev. MS M49) [Costa n.d.] Panaji, Krishnadas Shama, Goa State Central Library. MS OC Sloane 3003 [Costa n.d.] London, British Library. Transcribed and translated into English by Muru [as yet unpublished]. |
| 5 | Bartholomäus <br> Ziegenbalg $(1682-1719)=B Z$ | Grammatica Damulica, 1716 | Latin, printed grammar | MMSL INS-T 65 - London, SOAS <br> Library Special Collection. <br> Jeyaraj (2010, Engl. Transl.) |
| 6 | Constantinus Joseph Beschi (1680-c.1746) $=$ CJB | Grammatica <br> Latino-Tamulica, . 1728 (1738) | Latin, printed grammar | Horst (1831 [1806], English transl.); Mahon (1848, English transl.). |
| Auxiliary manuscript | Anão De Proença $(1624-1666)=A P$ | Vocabulario TamulicoLusitano, 1670 | Tamil, Portuguese | MS 50 (prev. MS 34) - Panaji, Krishnadas Shama, Goa State Central Library. <br> The printed version of the dictionary, found in Borg. Ind.12, has been transcribed and translated into English by J.-L. Chevillard [as yet unpublished]. |

## 2. A short introduction to the Tamil verb system

Although an outline of the main features of the verb system (i.e. verb conjugations, verb valency, and codification of agentivity) might appear redundant for those who are familiar with Tamil, it seems useful to include it here since it is functional to the analysis provided in subsequent sections. Indeed, even though data gathered in this section derive from descriptions of standard Modern Tamil, these demonstrate the typological distance from the missionaries' mother tongue and the metalanguage of grammaticisation they encountered while producing their grammars of Tamil.
Verbs in Tamil are divided into finite and non-finite, identified as murru and eccam respectively by the traditional Tamil grammars. The distinction between finite and nonfinite verbs is syntactical rather than morphological: almost all finite verb forms occur as an end predicate of a sentence and may be inflected for tense, mood, person, number, and gender. Non-finite verb forms, by contrast, occur as a predicate of an embedded or subordinate clause, or in compound verb constructions (Lehmann 1989: 48). The main distinction within non-finite verbs is between vinai-y-eccam, verbs that combine with a following predicate, and peyar eccam, verbs that combine with a following nominal expression (Steever 1988: 11). Both the forms, in traditional Tamil grammar, are syntactically incomplete achieving completion only when followed by another verbal or nominal form (see Chevillard 2021: 5). In addition, there are nominalised forms which may or may not display tense inflection (e.g. verbal nouns, participial, and adjectival nouns). As illustrated in Figure 1, the elements representative of the morphology of a typical Tamil finite verb are the verb base and two grammatical formative affixes, of which the first denotes the tense and the second the person-number-gender (PNG) agreement:


Figure 1: Tamil verb form.

1. nān oru pustakam vān̄k-in-ēn ${ }^{4}$

1SG NUM N.book buy-PST-1SG
I bought a book.
2. avan oru pustakam vān̄k-in-ān

3SG.M NUM N.book buy-PST-3SG.M He bought a book.
3. aval oru pustakam vān̄k-iñ-ā

3SG.F. NUM N.book buy-PST-3SG.F
She bought a book.
4. avar oru pustakam vāñk-iñ-ār
3.S.HON.M/F NUM N.book buy-PST-3SG.HON.EPIC

He (hon) bought a book.

[^2]Additionally, the verb stem to which the inflectional suffixes are added may be simple, consisting of the verb root (a), or derived, consisting of a verb root plus a voice morpheme (b), and both may or may not be followed by a causative suffix (c):
a. simple: tiru- 'turn';
b. derived: tirumpu, tiruppu < verb root tiru- 'turn’+ -mpu/-ppu (voice morpheme);
c. causative: tiruppuvi/tiruppu сеу/tiruppu vai/tiruppu paṇ̣и 'to make someone to turn'.

The verb forms in (b), tiruppu and tirumpu, are paired verb stems derived from the same verb root tiru 'turn' to which a voice morpheme is added. ${ }^{5}$ Their structure is illustrated by Figure 2.


Figure 2: Structure of derived verb stem.
In Lehmann's (1989) analysis, voice morphemes are considered as part of the derived verb base, in contrast to a simple verb base like tiru or elutu. Steever (1983) provides an alternative analysis, considering the voice markers as combining with an adjacent morpheme to create a portmanteau morph. ${ }^{6}$ Indeed, Steever (1983: 55) states that Tamil verb morphology, despite being "predominantly agglutinative; ... exhibits certain fusional tendencies so that 12 (VII) conjugational patterns are distinguished according to the morphophonemic alternations that take place between the verb base and the grammatical formatives". Hence, tirumpu and tiruppu are taken as one morph which combines two morphemes: the root morpheme and the voice morpheme. They are realised by one pormanteau morph at the phonological level where the voice combines with the preceding verb root (cf. examples 5-6), or with the following tense marker (cf. examples 7-8):
5. avan irañk-in-ān

3sG.M descend-AF + PST-3SG.M
He descended.
6. avan cumai.y-ai.y irakk-in-ān

3sG.m load-ACC descend-EF+PST-3SG.M
He put his load down.
7. avan manaivi.y-ai.p piri-nt-āñ.

3SG.M wife-ACC separate-AF + PST-3SG.M
He separated from his wife.
8. avan manaivi.y-ai.p piri-tt-ān

3sG.m wife-ACC separate-EF + PST-3sG.M
He separated his wife (from someone else).
(Steever 1983: 58)

[^3]Klaiman (1991: 73) states that the basic voice system contrasts weak and strong verbs, while Paramsivam (1979) classifies it as an opposition between affective (cf. example 9) and effective (cf. example 10) voice. As Zúñiga \& Kittilä (2019: 7) state, "studies of grammatical voice can be classified into two groups according to whether the notion of voice is defined structurally or functionally". While a discussion on voice in Tamil language is not within the scope of this article, I here follow Paramasivam (1979) and Klaiman (1991). The latter stands within the functional approach and suggests different kinds of voices and different values for the same kind of voice. ${ }^{7}$ The alternation of voices in Tamil does not necessarily imply suppression or reassignment of arguments among verbally assigned nominal positions. It signifies that the opposition cannot be easily considered as an intransitive/transitive one, but rather that the selection of voice depends on whether the verb does or does not assign a subject argument upon whose referent the denoted action's principal effects devolve. As evidence of this, one may cite Klaiman's (1991: 60): "small and unsystematic sample consisting of 60 invariant Weak and 46 invariant Strong verbs ... [that] have been isolated from a listing of 223 verb roots in Arden (1969: 150169) and checked against dictionary entries in Burrow \& Emeneau (1961) and the Tamil Lexicon (1924-36)". This survey specifically highlights that detransitivisation or valence reduction is not a weak or middle voice function. Both invariant strong and invariant weak verbs may be transitive or intransitive: ${ }^{8}$
9. avañ-utaiya talai tirump-i.y-atu 3SG.M-GEN N.head turn.AF + PST-3SG.NT His head turned.
10. avan talai.y-ai.t tirupp-in-ān

3SG.M N.head-ACC turn. EF + PST-3SG.M He turned his head.
(Lehmann 1989: 50)
As Steever (1983: 59) observes, "affective and effective voice are mutually implicating terms: if a root can occur with one, it can occur with the other [...] morphologically, the two are mutually exclusive; semantically, mutually implicating. Effectivity thus marks an inflectional, not a derivational, relationship between the two members of the opposition". Examining a small sample of 140 verbs, Paramasivam (1979: 5, fn. 8) also estimated without counting Sanskrit loans and verbs derived from onomatopoeia - that about 60\% of Tamil verbs contain such a voice morpheme in their stem and can be considered as paired verbs of the same verb root. However, the observation of the grammars analysed in this article, as well as the investigation carried out by Chevillard (2021) on AP's dictionary both provide a lower estimation of paired verbs compared to Paramasivam's estimation. Indeed, the value is $15 \%$ for the grammars analysed in this article and $14 \%$ for AP's Dictionary, while the percentage may increase up to ca. $31 \%$ if one considers the total amount of paired verbs which can be identified in the verb list provided in Appendix 3. Despite this, the role played by voice morpheme in Tamil verbs is unquestionable. In addition to the opposition of the morphemes -mpu to -ppu already discussed above, voice is also marked by further pairs of allomorphs, as summarised by Lehmann (1989: 51):

[^4]```
-ku vs. -kku
-ntu vs. -ttu
-tulu vs. -t!̣u
```

The examples listed above show how "an affective verb is one the subject of which undergoes, volitionally or not-volitionally, the action (or state or change of state) described by that verb stem" (Paramsivam 1979: 20) while effective verbs "represent actions of the subject on external object" (Paramasivam 1979: 23). Hence, affective and effective voices characterise the subject's role in the narrated event where it appears as an actor in affective voice and as an agent in effective voice (Duranti 2004, 2007). The subject of the affective verb does not necessarily direct the process expressed by the verb; by contrast, the effective verb is characterised as one whose subject directs the action or process named by the verb root which may reflect on another object (animate or inanimate; human or non-human).
From a formal standpoint, the affective verb stem tirumpu seen in example (9) is characterised by a voice morpheme with a single obstruent, while the effective verb stem tiruppu seen in example (10) has a voice morpheme with a geminated obstruent. The single/ geminated obstruent distinction appears in the inflectional process, but it can also occur in the derivational process since the affective/effective distinction can also appear in the first affix-initial position. As such, Paramasivam (1979: 5) argues that "paired verbs fall under three groups on the basis of how the single/geminated obstruent distinction manifests itself":
a. Group 1 - distinction in the affix-initial position: verb stem ending in V/C + tense/voice + PNG, i.e. uṭai-nt-u (affective) vs. uṭai-tt-u (effective) 'to break;
b. Group 2 - distinction in the base-final position, i.e. $\bar{a} t-u$ (affective) vs. āṭt-u (effective) 'to move in a swaying motion'; ${ }^{9}$
c. Group 3 - distinction in the affix-initial position but with geminated obstruent in the base-initial position, i.e. cel-kir-atu ('it goes'); cen-r-atu ('it went') (affective) vs. celuttu-kir--ann ('he directs'); celutt-in-ān ('he directed') (effective). ${ }^{10}$

Additionally, as already stated, a third class of verbs can also be identified: these are morphological and periphrastic causatives. Paramasivam (1979) discusses the contrast between the two. The morphological causatives are distinguished formally by an affix represented by the weak allomorph -vi- (cf. example 11) and the strong allomorph $-(p)$ pi- (cf. example 12):
11. cāttan ponnana-ai $\quad$ ātu-vi-tt-ān
Sattan Ponnan-ACC dance-CAUS-PST-3SG.M
Sattan caused Ponnan to dance.
(Paramasivam 1979: 55)
12. avān̄ pirāmaṇar-kaḷ-āl caṭańku-kal-ai naṭa-ppi-tt-ān

3SG.M brahmin-PL-INST ritual-PL-ACC go on-CAUS-PST-3SG.M
He caused the rituals to be performed by the Brahmins. (Paramasivam 1979: 57)

[^5]The second type, the periphrastic (syntactic) causatives, involve the auxiliary verbs cey 'make' (13), vai 'place' (14) or paṇ̣u 'do' (15):
13. nān unnn-ai inta pāṭa.tt-ai teri.y-a vai-kkiri-ēn 1SG 2SG-ACC PROX.DEM lesson.OBL-ACC know-INF place-PRES-1SG I will make you know this lesson.
(Paramasivam 1979: 63)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 14. } & \text { aval } & \text { avan-ai.y } & \text { èmār-a.c } \\ \text { 3SG.F } & \text { 3SG.M-ACC } & \text { be disappointed-INF } & \text { cey-t-àl } \\ & \text { make-PST-3SG.F }\end{array}$ She made him disappointed.
(Paramasivam 1979: 71)
15. rājā kumār-ai var-a paṇn-in-ān

PN PN-ACC come-INF do-PST-3SG.M Raja made Kumar come.

Today, the conjugational verb patterns are separated into different classes on the basis of the morphophonemic alternations hereto discussed. The number of classes may vary between seven (VII) and thirteen (13). This subdivision into seven (VII) or thirteen (13) classes is based primarily on morpho-phonology and, as Steever (1983: 55) observes, when the contribution of affective versus effective voice is taken into consideration in the form of the verb class, the number of classes is likely to decrease. It is noteworthy that the subdivision into seven classes (VII), maintained by some authors (i.e. Schiffman 1999), was devised by Karl F. L. Graul (1814-1864), ${ }^{11}$ a member of the German Oriental Society, who was also the director of the Leipzig Lutheran mission. Graul arrived in South India in 1849 with the Lutheran mission, followed on the Danish-Hale mission (cf. Neill 1985; Pytlowany 2018), and mastered the Tamil language. This same subdivision was later extended by the authors of the Madras Tamil Lexicon (henceforth MTL), to a total of 13 classes on the basis of a more specific morphophonemic alternation. Table 2 summarises both classifications: Graul (1855: 38-39, = KG) is denoted in bold and in Roman numerals, while MTL (1982 [1924-36, 1939] lxvii) is indicated in Arabic numerals.
Table 2: Tamil verb conjugation.

| Weak form |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present |  | Past |  | Future |  |
| I (1) ki(n)r | ceyki(n)rın̄n | -t- | ceytēn | -v- | ceyvēn |
| -(2) ki(n)r | âlkirēn̄, ālukiřēn | -ṇt- | āṇtēn | -v- | āḷuvēn |
| -(3) ki(n)r | kol(lu)kirien | -nr- | konrrēn | -v- | kol(lu)vēn |
| II (4) ki(n)r | ariki(n)rēn | -nt- | arintēn | -v- | arivēn |
| III (5) ki(n)r | ākkuki( n )rē̄n | -in- | ākkin̄ēn | -v- | ākkuvēn |
| IV (6) ki(n)r | nakuki(n)rēn ( $=$ KG) <br> naṭukirī̄̄̄ ( $=$ MTL) | the last C doubled | nakkēn națtẹ $\underline{n}$ | -v- | nakuvēn naṭuvēn |
|  |  | Middle form |  |  |  |
| V (8) ki(n)r | tinki(n)rēnen, tinnukiriēn | -nr- | tiņrēn | -p- | tiņpēn, tinnnupēn |
| -(7) kir | uṇkir̄ēn, uṇnukiřēn | -nt- | unteen | -p- | uṇeēn, uṇnupēn |
| -(9) kir | kēeţkiřēn | -ț- | kēțtēn | -p- | kēṭpēn |
| -(10) ki(n)r | karki(n)renen | -rr- | karrēn | -p- | karrpēn |
|  |  | Strong form |  |  |  |
| VI (11) kki(n)r | tīrkki(n)renen | -tt- | tirttēn | -pp- | tīrppēn |
| VII (12) kki(n)ru -(13) irregular | naṭakki(n)rِēn | -nt- | naṭantēn |  | naṭappēn |

[^6]There are two particular issues worthy of note that emerge from Graul's classification: firstly, as the starting point from which the MTL developed its classification, hence it represents the contribution made by missionaries to Tamil studies. Secondly, appearing as it did in the 19th century, Graul's classification can be considered the end product of the later missionaries, achieved as a result of the work of their predecessors, as will be discussed in later sections of this paper (cf. § 5). What path did the earlier missionaries follow in their identification and understanding of Tamil verb paradigms? How did they interpret paired verbs? How did missionaries describe verbs applying the Latin grammatical framework? Did they have any awareness of traditional Tamil grammar?
The following sections, which demonstrate how earlier missionaries contributed to Graul's classification, provide answers to these questions.

## 3. Descriptions of the Tamil verb system in the early Tamil grammars

When I refer to Indigenous Tamil Grammatical Tradition (henceforth ITGT) in this paper, this is represented by two texts, namely the Tolkāppiyam ${ }^{12}$ (henceforth Tol.) which describes Old Tamil and the Nann̄̄̄l 'the good book' (ca. 13th century, henceforth Nan.) which describes Middle Tamil. Both texts explain the written variety of Tamil. The former, the oldest grammatical treatise, was allegedly compiled by Tolkāppiyan̄ār between the first and third century C.E. and continued to have pre-eminence above all other grammatical texts (cf. Annamalai 2016). The latter, written by the Jain, Pavaṇanti, "is a judicious accommodation of some features from the Sanskrit tradition responding to change in language and the linguistic milieu of the medieval period" (Annamalai 2016: 725). It became the new standard for the description of Tamil despite never eclipsing the Tol. Furthermore, the Nan. was the grammar to which missionaries had access, as it is evident from Beschi's references to its grammatical framework in his description of the Tamil language, such as when he refers to an opposition identified in the Nann. to explain the difference between Latin nomina propria and nomina appellativa (cf. Chevillard 1992: 83).
Other than a mention of the 'fluctuations' that occur in verb forms expressing tense, represented by the diversity of letters placed before word endings, neither the Tol. nor the Nan. ${ }^{13}$ explain Tamil tense morphology and verbs are only described in terms of their endings. ${ }^{14}$ With regard to paired verbs, the only reference in the Tol. is found in its distinction between itan pālān and pirann pālān (sūtra 243), which in the Nañ. are rendered as evval vinai and pira vinai (Subramanya Sastri 1934: 146). The terms tan vinai (self-act verbs) and pira vinai (other-act verbs) appeared for the first time only in later commentaries on these grammars in order to differentiate verbs with a single obstruent from verbs with a geminated obstruent (Paramasivam 1979: 34-35; also Chevillard 2008: 414).

[^7]By contrast, the Latin and Greek grammatical traditions, as does Sanskrit Asțādhyāyi (cf. Klaiman 1991: 82), not only specify information about verb conjugations, but also provide rules for the selection of active, as opposed to middle, voice inflections. In fact, in Indo-European languages like Sanskrit, and indeed Greek, the middle voice has semantic functions consistent with affectedness. In addition, it is also associated with neuter verbs, which are considered as special subcategories of the intransitive verbs, semantically inchoative and alternating formally with the related transitive, or are associated with non-eventuality, non-punctual, or atelic temporal-modal senses (Klaiman 1991: 103). Similarly, in some Latin grammars, special emphasis is placed upon media tantum (middle-only) class as opposed to activa tantum (active-only) class: the former consists of verbs that are characterised as non-active in form, but which have an active meaning. They are referred to as deponents and cannot be marked by the passive inflection (Klaiman 1991: 78, 97). This matter could be expanded further but a deeper examination falls beyond the scope of this paper. Suffice to say that, with regard to the treatment of verbs in Tamil and the identification of paired verbs, we can confidently state that missionaries were better informed by their Western grammatical framework than by the Tamil grammatical tradition since the latter neither differentiates between paired verbs and morphological causatives, nor does it provide a paradigm of verbs declension on the basis of the tense morpheme.

## 4. The missionaries' grammatical model of reference for their description of Tamil

In terms of a model of reference for these early missionaries, I considered João de Barros' grammar (1540) for HH's Arte (MS no. 1, Table 1) as suggested by author at the beginning of his text where he points out to the reader the need to be familiar with Barros or any Latin grammar in order to navigate his Tamil grammar (cf. Muru 2018: 15). Indeed, examining his technical terminology and the conceptual framework, it seems that HH made use of Barros' theoretical framework and process (cf. Appendix 1) in devising his Tamil grammar, although he did not strictly follow the structure of Barros' grammar. Additionally, HH's Arte shares some features with manuscript no. 2 (Ind. 188) in Table 1. Both authors itemise declensions for nouns, both identify different conjugations in Tamil (nine conjugations in HH, five conjugations in Ind 188), both include categories such as personal and impersonal verbs absent in later works and employ technical terms such as especies (species) ${ }^{15}$ and creçencias (augments), ${ }^{16}$ largely found in Barros' text. Based on the metalanguage used in the description of Tamil I am inclined to consider manuscript no. 2, or at least its contents, to be older than manuscripts no. 3-6 in Table 1. However, this hypothesis of dating for manuscript no. 2 requires further investigation. ${ }^{17}$

Indisputably, manuscripts no. 1 and no. 2, and manuscript no. 3 in part, differ from the other missionary grammars. This is likely due to the innovation introduced by the Ratio studiorum in 1599 which promoted the Latin grammar composed by Manuel Álvares (1526-1582) De Institutione Libri Tres (1572), and in particular its abridged version (Ars

[^8]Minor, 1573), as a textbook for teaching Latin language in Jesuit colleges. Subsequently, Álvares' grammar became one of the texts most widely translated into different European languages (Fernandes 2007; Gómez Gómez 2002: V; Springhetti 1961-62: 287), and was adapted to the study of non-European languages in various parts of the world, becoming the principal reference model for most missionaries (Assunção \& Toyoshima 2012; Fernandes 2015; Muru 2020).
As stated above, all these grammars (cf. Table 1) are representative of the earliest versions of Tamil descriptions which today exist in the form of autograph, or copied, manuscripts and printed books among which CJB's grammar represents a turning point, since he was the first missionary to attempt to combine and find the links between the ITGT and Western Grammatical Tradition (henceforth WGT).
A detailed reconstruction of the circulation and diffusion of these manuscripts within the missionary community is beyond the scope of this paper; nevertheless, a few facts about the interweaving of these grammatical works in the missionary network (some of which were already addressed by Muru 2018; 2020) might provide a better appreciation of how the knowledge and description of Tamil (verbs) progressed.
There are two kinds of references which offer evidence of the connections among these works. The first is a direct reference to an author/work by another author. For example, in two manuscripts of BC's Arte and in the preface in PB's Arte there are (not entirely favourable) references to GA's work (see Muru 2018: 15; Muru 2020: 60-63). Connections are also evidenced by indirect references, such as those inferred by comparisons of the contents of the manuscript, as when Jeyaraj (2010) demonstrates that BZ's grammar relied a lot on the copy of BC's work - even though the Tamil verb forms found in BZ's text are unique when compared to the other manuscripts (cf. Appendix 3). Indeed, in reproducing BZ's grammar, Jeyaraj (2010) identifies all instances of BZ copying from BC's manuscript without even mentioning it. These connections highlight how the first contribution of Westerners to Tamil studies, made by Jesuits, also found a following among the networks of Protestant missionaries, who relied on the Jesuits' work for their translations of religious books (cf. Israel 2011; Muru 2019). As such, for manuscripts no. 3 and no. 4 (cf. Table 1), and indirectly also for manuscript no. 5 (cf. Table 1), ${ }^{18}$ Álvares' text offers the best reference model, extended by missionaries to describe the Tamil language (cf. Muru 2020). Appendix 1 compares Álvares's (1573) table of contents with the manuscripts no. 3-5 (cf. Table 1) highlighting the similarities between Álvares' content and organisation and those of the missionary grammars.
As already mentioned, manuscript no. 6 stands apart, CJB being the first to have worked to establish a theoretical connection between ITGT and WTG. Manuscript no. 6 also marks the differences between these manuscripts and those for which Barros (1540) can be considered as a model of reference (cf. manuscripts no. 1 and 2). Since, however, the detailed analysis of the application of Western or Indian grammatical frameworks is not the focus of this paper, this aspect will not be discussed further. Germane to this paper is specifically the treatment of verbs in both traditions, through which the principle behind missionaries' classifications of Tamil verbs can be better understood, and to which I will return in the conclusions, having first discussed in detail how missionaries classified Tamil verbs.

[^9]
## 5. The description of verbs in the early missionary grammars of Tamil

Each of the following sub-section discusses in detail how the missionaries, analysed in this study, classified, and understood Tamil verbs. A comparison of their descriptions highlights the progression the missionaries made in their understanding of Tamil verb paradigms and paired verbs (see also Chevillard 2021: 25-29)- which will be further discussed in the conclusion -, and it clearly reveals how much the classification of Tamil verbs provided by later descriptors like Graul owed to these early missionary descriptions.

### 5.1 Tamil verb conjugations and paired verbs in HH

HH identified three main groups of verbs on the basis of the present tense morpheme (cf. Appendix 2, section A). The first group included verbs ending in quiren (-kkir-ēn) - the present tense morpheme with the geminated velar stop -kkir, to which I refer as TYPE A. The verbs of the second group, representative of TYPE B, that "do not have most of the time either -qui (kk) or -gui (k) before the -RRen" (Hein \& Rajam 2013: 137), evidence the present tense morpheme typical of spoken Tamil -variety A in Chevillard's triglossia figure-, where there is assimilation and reduction of the morpheme -kkir (cf. Schiffman 1999: 51-57). The final group of verbs that end in guiren (-kir-ēn), thus corresponding to the present tense morpheme with a single obstruent, is representative of TYPE C. However, in order to detail the observed variation that occurs on the verb stem and in the tense morphemes, HH was compelled to subdivide these groups further. Consequently, for each group (TYPE ' $x$ '), HH made three sub-groups to which he refers as ' $n$ conJugation' for a total of nine conjugations (Cf. Chevillard 2021: 9-10, for a discussion on tenses and subtenses in HH). All the verb forms listed by can be found in Appendix 2, section A: they correspond to a specific verb class. As such, TYPE A mainly includes strong verbs, TYPE B includes weak verbs along with a few exceptions of irregular verbs, while TYPE C has middle and weak verbs, as well as two strong and two irregular verbs.
In his identifying of these three groups and the nine conjugations, HH was constrained in two separate ways: firstly, he had to identify conjugations in Tamil comparable to those in Latin or Portuguese but found that he could not rigidly apply the Latin model since Tamil verbs do not show variation in their endings, but rather demonstrate "internal fluctuation" (cf. § 3). Secondly, he was compelled to explain and organise the variation occurring in the suffixation of tense morphemes to the verb stem. These different exigencies led HH to separate the Tamil verb paradigm into nine different conjugations.

In addition, applying the Latin grammatical framework and following Barros (1540: ff. $19 \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{v}$ ), HH also differentiated between personal and impersonal verbs. ${ }^{19}$ The latter, in

[^10]either the active or passive voice, is used both in the singular and in the plural. Thus, HH recognised two types (species) of impersonal verbs. The first of these includes forms only derived from the third person singular of the Tamil personal verbs with nine conjugations, while the second type includes verbs corresponding to the inherent active tantum (only active) (Klaiman 1991). Therefore, as shown below, HH distinguished the Tamil verbs without a paired counterpart, belonging to the $11^{\text {th }}$ class - with the exception of examples (e) and (f) which are in both the $11^{\text {th }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ class:
a. teriquidu, teri-kkir-atu, 'it strikes and flies off' 11th
b. codiquidu, koṭi-kkir-atu, 'it boils' 11th
c. uluquidu, ulu-kir-atu, 'it decays' 11th
d. erhuquidu, etu-kkir-atu, 'it raises' 11th
e. eriquidu, eri-kkiri-atu, 'it burns' 11th/4th
f. verhiquidu, veti-kkir-atu, 'it bursts' 11th/4th
g. coluvidu, no Portuguese gloss not identified

The more noteworthy observations - HH's discussions of the passive voice - are detailed in the following sections. He states that no passive exists in Tamil but "in some of the conjugation there is a way of speaking that is almost like the passive" (Hein \& Rajam 2013: 211). He is referring here to verbs in the affective voice. Indeed, he was unable to identify this kind of 'like passive' for all the conjugations, but only for some verbs of the $3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$, and $5^{\text {th }}$ of HH's conjugations corresponding to TYPE A and TYPE B respectively. These three sub-groups of verbs, as highlighted above, include verbs belonging to the $11^{\text {th }}$ class (effective) which enter in HH's $6^{\text {th }}$ conjugation or in HH's second type of the $5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation when they are 'like passive', meaning when they are of the $4^{\text {th }}$ class (affective). In conclusion, HH differentiated the verbs according to the variation in the agentivity which characterises the subjects of (some) verbs belonging to the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ classes respectively.

### 5.2 Verb conjugations and paired verbs in MS Ind 188

As already stated, the author of MS Ind 188 (manuscript no. 2) - as did HH - differentiated between personal and impersonal verbs. He, however, only identified five conjugations. He found that there is no passive in Tamil, although there are some verbs through which it is possible to derive it (i.e. paṭu 'to suffer' when added to the infinitive).
However, with regard to the verb paradigm (cf. Appendix 2, section B), he went further than HH. He not only reduced the number of conjugations but also made almost every conjugation correspond to a specific verb class. In fact, apart from the $1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation which includes strong and weak verbs, all the others include verbs belonging to the same class. Thus, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation includes strong verbs, while the remaining conjugations include weak class verbs. Furthermore, he also clearly states that some verbs cannot be included in any conjugation. These are the verbs belonging to the $7^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}$, and $13^{\text {th }}$ classes.
As HH did, the author of MS Ind 188 identified the conjugations on the basis of the final ending of the verb stem when it precedes the present tense morpheme. Thus, verbs of the first conjugation have $-i,-a i$, and $-a$ as the final sound of the verb stem. In the identification of this first conjugation, the past tense morpheme is not included as a selective criterion since it varies among the verbs included in this conjugation. ${ }^{20}$ By contrast, past tense

[^11]allomorphs are used in the identification of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ conjugations for which all the verbs form the past in -nt- (i.e. uri-tal, 'to peel') and -in- respectively (i.e. ettu-tal 'to inveigle, lure, cheat'). With regard to the $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, once again the only valid criteria are the sounds which precede the present tense morpheme (i.e. $l u, l u, r u$ ) rather than the past tense allomorphs which vary greatly. Indeed, the verbs included in this conjugation belong to different classes, specifically the $2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$, and $5^{\text {th }}$, and form the past with different allomorphs, namely -nt- for verbs in the $4^{\text {th }}$ class (i.e. akal-tal 'to leave, vanish'), $-t$ - for verbs of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ class (i.e. kollu-tal 'to buy, size'), and -in- for verbs in the $5^{\text {th }}$ class (i.e. pal(u)ku-tal 'to increase in measure, to multiply'). Finally, as was the case with HH and for later missionaries discussed in the following sections, the author of MS Ind 188 based the verb paradigm exclusively on formal aspects. The semantics of the verb, as well as the arguments that a verb may take, is not remotely considered.
In terms of the kind of verb stem, the author of MS Ind 188, as well as HH did (cf. Hein \& Rajam 2013: 99; Vermeer 1982: 47) identified the morphological causative -(p)pi- and $-v i-$, and interpreted it as deriving from the future tense and as being used for generating compound verbs:
a. "há verbos compositos que fazem executar a sua significação e componse da 1à pessoa do futuro do Indicativo, mutando o, en, em, icren, ut விசுவசிபபென eu crerey, விநூவாசிபபிககிறென fazo crer; செயவென eu fazerey, செயவிககிறென, fazo fazer, ou mando fazer e vaõ pella prima coiugaçaõ" (MS Ind 188, f. 41r).
[There are compound verbs which make [someone else] execute their meaning, [the action expressed by the verb]. They are composed of the first person of the future of the Indicative. changing en, in, icren, as in vicuv[ā]cippēn 'I will believe', vicuvācippikkirēn̄, 'I make someone to believe'; ceyvēn, 'I will do', ceyvikkiriēen, 'I make someone to do, I order someone to do'. They are in the first conjugation.]

The morphological causatives, formally distinguished by an extra affix and considered compound verbs, are compared to other compound verbs with a similar causative meaning (verbs meaning "fazer que outrem faça" ['make others do'] (MS Ind 188, f. 41r), formed by adding a particle -tugren-, that results in the geminated obstruent (-tt-) occurring in the base-final position of affective verbs followed by the present tense morpheme (-kir-) and the PNG marker ( $-\bar{n} n$ ). Indeed, the listed verb forms are paired verbs of affective versus effective voice, like naṭa $\left(12^{\text {th }}\right)$ vs. natattu $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$ corresponding to the second class of basic Tamil verbs identified by Paramasivam (1979: 11) and included in the third group of paired verbs, characterised by a single obstruent in the affix-initial position but with a geminated obstruent in the base-final position (Paramasivam 1979: 9-10).

### 5.3 Verb conjugations and paired verbs in GA/PB

GA/PB's Arte does not provide a detailed description of verb conjugations, unlike previous authors, but instead simplifies his account into two main groups (cf. Appendix 2, section c): verbs which form the present tense with a geminated voiceless obstruent ( - kkirir) with a future tense in $-(p) p$-, and verbs which have a single voiceless obstruent ( -kirir ) with a future tense in $-v$-: thus, strong and weak verbs.

Indeed, the author states that Tamil differs from Latin, since it does not multiply the conjugations according to variety of second person in the present and infinitive, but rather there exists one sole conjugation since all verbs end in -ay in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ person, and in
$-a$ in the infinitive. This single conjugation includes all verbs, including those that have passive, neuter, simple, and compound meanings:
b. "...pella qual tambem vaõ todos os Verbos de significação passiva, neutra, com [vê] simples, e compostos." (Cod. Orient. 283, f. 33v, lines 12-13).
[...within which all the verbs with passive sense are also included, [that means] neuter, both simple and compounded.]

GA/PB also states that it is impossible to give a general rule for the formation of the past tense that includes all verbs, since there is substantial variation, above all among verbs ending in cradu (-kkiratu). ${ }^{21}$ Consequently, he does not discuss how the past tense is formed and this decision is also motivated by the fact that his Arte was only intended to be a practical tool for teaching the language to beginners rather than to be a theoretical grammar. Despite this, AG/PD deems it useful to add some information about verbs, for the sake of clarity and out of respect for the structure of Latin grammar:
c. "Dos quais com tudo daremos alguã noticia, naõ por necessid(ad)e, mas pera $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{ai})$ or clareza; e pera nos conformarmos cõ a arte Latina, conforme a qual poremos tambem algũ exemplo de Verbo impessoal; e algũs Verbos anomalos, e defectivos, q(eu) não vão por leis de conjugação". (Cod. Orient. 283, f. 33v, lines 14-18).
[Of which, despite everything, we will give some information, not because it is necessary, but only for more clarity and in order to conform with the Latin arte. On the model of it [the Latin Arte], we will also make some examples of Impersonal Verbs, and some Anomalous and defective Verbs, which do not follow the law of the conjugation.]

With regard to paired verbs, it seems to me that AG/PB was acquainted with HH's Arte, or at least with a Tamil grammar where the affective verbs were considered 'like passive'. Furthermore, he compares them to the verb comunnia of the Latin language which can have both the active and the passive signification as shown by the following quote:
d. "Deixando oppinioẽs se Verbos em gradu nacidos de outros em cradu tem lingoagem passiva, ou não? porey os passivos, e modos delles mais ordinários, deixando os outros em gradu pera os Verbos neutros, ou comũs, quaes cuido que elles são.
... somente advirto que há algu's Verbos em gradu que tem significação passiva, estes saõ cômummente nacidos d'outros Verbos em iccradu que tem a mesma significação activa." (Cod. Orient. 283, f. 34r, lines 21-24; f. 34v, lines 34-36; f. $35 r$, line 1).

[^12][Leaving aside [those] opinions [which wonder] if the verbs in gradu (-kiratu) born from others in cradu (-kkiratu) have passive significance or not, I will put the passives, and the most ordinary moods, leaving aside the others in gradu (-kiratu) [and including them] in the Neuter verbs, or common verbs, as I consider them to be.
... I only warn that there are some verbs in gradu (-kiratu) which have passive meaning, these are commonly derived from other verbs in iccradu (-ikkiratu) which have the same active meaning.]

Thus, rather than drawing a distinction between personal and impersonal verbs as HH and MS Ind 188 did, AG/PB introduces a new Latin category in the description of Tamil, the neuter verb and consequently a new term, active. He also clearly states that the verbs in gradu (affective) are derived from those in cradu (effective), the latter being considered as neuter, rather than passive. ${ }^{22}$ Finally, AG/PB also takes some paired verbs with one being the causative form of the other, derived throughout the addition of the suffix -ttu, as in his example patu-tal $\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$ and patuttu-tal $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$. In the section where he explains causative verbs, he enumerates three kinds of causatives, all morphologically derived throughout the addition of suffixes such as $v i, p i$, and tu:
e. "Verbo acabado em cradu podeselhe acrecentar hum pi ou vi antes do cradu. E aos acabados em gradu, podeselhe acrecentar hum tu antes do gradu. E cõ as taes adições ficaõ significando fazer fazer aquillo, que os simples significam. Como agora vasicren quer dizer leo, ponhaõlhe hum pi antes do cren, fica visipicren, faço ler. Pä̈ugren significa padecer, ponhaõlhe hum tu antes do gren fica par̈utugren faço padecer". (Cod. Orient. 283, f. 39r, lines 2-9).
[To verbs ending in cradu (-kkiratu) it is possible to add a pi or $v i$ before the cradu (-kkinatu). To those ending in gradu (-kiratu) it is possible to add a tu before the gradu (-kiratu). And with this addition [or augmentation] they come to mean to do, to do what the simple [verb] means. Like now vasicren (vācikkiriēn) means 'I read', if we add a pi before the cren (-kirēn), it becomes vicipicren (vācippikkirēn), 'I make read'. Pä̈ugren (paṭukiriēn) means 'to suffer', if we add a tu before the gradu (-kiratu) it becomes païutugren (paṭuttukiriēn), 'I make suffer'.]

As the following sub-sections reveal, the next two authors, BC and BZ, do not add further comments to what GA/PB had earlier stated. Indeed, following his interpretation, they simply described the verb paradigms in more detail, even though they referred to rules rather than conjugations because, in just as GA/PB did, they only recognised one single conjugation. For this reason, GA/PB can be considered the first missionary grammar where an important change of the categorisation of Tamil verbs occurs: he rejected the idea of conjugation as intended in the Latin grammatical framework for the Tamil verb system, and instead identified a different kind of verb stem (strong, weak, and middle). He also introduced the idea of the neuter which has the affective voice defined above, which thus, enters into opposition with an active voice (which corresponds to the effective voice as explained above).

### 5.4 Verb conjugations and paired verbs in BC and BZ

As highlighted in the previous section, the texts of $B C$ and $B Z$ share similarities above all in their discussion of the verbs. Given this, I have considered them as a single work,

[^13]since the sole differences between the two authors are the different verb forms used for explaining the rules, the different number of rules for the formation of the preterite in Tamil found in BZ, compared with those outlined in BC, and in some misinterpretations BZ made while he was relying on BC's Arte. ${ }^{23}$ As already mentioned, this is due to the fact that, as Jeyaraj (2010: 20) states, "BZ made use of Da Costa's Tamil grammar entitled Arte Tamulica" ${ }^{24}$ while composing his Grammatica Damulica printed in 1716. For this reason, I will refer exclusively to BC's work and will mention BZ only when differences occur, or it is relevant to the discussion.
BC deals with Tamil verb conjugations and paired verbs in the Third Title, after having explained, through the verb vicuvāci-ttal ('to believe'), the only declension existing in the Tamil language. In this section, BC explains how to form past and future tenses, the imperative mood, and noun genders. He starts by declaring how forming the past tense is awkward and confusing for beginners because verb conjugations cannot be reduced to one general rule. Thus, in order to overcome this difficulty and to limit problems for beginners, he provides just twelve simple rules (rather than conjugations) for forming the preterite, leaving aside the usage of the language and all other information necessary for the Tamil teacher to know about Tamil verbs:
f. "Daõse alguãs regras per preteritos Futuros Imperativos e plurais dos pretéritos. He materia esta assâs embaraçada e cõfuza p(ar)a os Principiantes porq(ue) pella variedade de preteritos se naõ pode todos reduzir a regras geraes, se bem despois de poucos annos de exerciçios se vem a cair sem se sentir tanto, nesta materia $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{ue})$ em nenhu' ou poucos preteritos se erra. Nos p(er)a q(ue) nella digamos alguã cousa e por evitar por todos os preteritos, no vocabulario, formaremos alguas regras gerais, ou quase gerais Remettendo os preteritos que nellas senaõ cõprenderem, ao Vocabulario, e deixando muitas particularidades ao uzo por naõ cauzar confuzaõ aos principiantes". (MS 50, f. M-34-42, Left column, lines 9-28)
[Wherein some rules are given for preterite Future Imperatives and plurals of preterites.
This is a rather awkward and confusing matter for Beginners, for due to the variety in preterites we cannot reduce them all to general rules, although after a few years of exercises one comes to use them properly, so that one will eventually barely misuse them, if at all. We will state a few things so that we do not need to have all preterites in the vocabulary, we will form some general rules or quasigeneral. We will place the preterites not included in (these rules) in the vocabulary, leaving their many particularities to usage, so as not to create confusion among beginners.]

Essentially, BC started from the key distinction identified by GA/PB (cf. Appendix 2, section D ), namely that the $1^{\text {st }}$ rule involves verbs ending in cradu (-kkiratu) which correspond to the class of the strong verbs, while the $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule regards weak - those verbs ending in gradu (-kïratu). It is hardly surprising that BC abandoned the intention

[^14]identify different conjugations in Tamil, suggesting instead only rules for the formation of different tenses and moods. In reality, BC was reproducing and, at the same time, extending what GA/PB included in his Arte, a grammar with which BC (or the copyist of his Arte) seems to have been well acquainted. As already discussed in Muru (2018, 2020) and stated above (cf. § 4), BC mentions GA's Arte on several occasions in his work, at times critically. However, it is evident that he agreed with GA that there is no variation in the final declension of Tamil verbs, that thus there are no conjugations, and that some verbs are derived from others. To what extent then, did BC extend GA's grammar? He explored the variation, identified but not explained by GA, which occurs in the formation of the past tense - to which the $3^{\text {rd }}-12^{\text {th }}$ rules are devoted. These rules are identified on the basis of the final sound of the verb stem (V or C) that precedes the present tense morpheme and on the type of present tense morph, with or without gemination of the obstruent. These two parameters are also combined, as was the case in HH, with the type of past tense allomorphs taken by the verb. Nevertheless, the number of verb classes identified and the divisions into classes vary; and BC's verb classification is better defined than GA/PB's. The rules outlined by BC are as follows:
$\cdot 3^{\text {rd }}$ rule $=$ verbs ending in $a+$ kkir $\rightarrow$ pst: $n t$
$\cdot 4^{\text {th }}$ rule $=$ verbs ending in $\bar{e} / \bar{o}+k \underline{i} \underline{r} \rightarrow$ pst: $n t$
but $\bar{o}+k k i \underline{i r} \rightarrow$ pst: in
$\cdot 5^{\text {th }}$ rule $=$ verbs ending in $u+$ kir $\quad \rightarrow$ pst: in
$\cdot 6^{\text {th }}$ rule $=$ verbs ending in $l u+$ kir $\quad \rightarrow$ pst: nt $t$
$\cdot 7^{\text {th }}$ rule $=$ verbs ending in $l u+k i \underline{r} \rightarrow$ pst: ṇt
-8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ rule $=$ verbs ending in $r u+k i \underline{r} \quad \rightarrow \quad$ pst: $\underline{n-}, \underline{n} \underline{n}$
$\cdot 9^{\text {th }}$ rule $=$ verbs ending in $t \underset{u}{ }+$ kirr $\quad \rightarrow$ pst: tt $\quad$ includes verbs of the $6^{\text {th }}$ class
$\cdot 10^{\text {th }}$ rule $=$ verbs ending in $\dot{n}+k \underline{\underline{r}} \quad \rightarrow$ pst: $\underline{\underline{n}} \quad$ includes verbs of the $5^{\text {th }}$ class
(neuter verbs $=$ effective)
$\cdot 11^{\text {th }}$ rule $=$ verbs ending in $\underline{r}+k k i \underline{r} \rightarrow$ pst: $t t, \underline{r} \underline{r} \quad$ includes verbs of the $6^{\text {th }}$ class

The final rule ( $12^{\text {th }}$ ) is connected to the $10^{\text {th }}$, since it includes all the active (of the) neuter forms given under the $10^{\text {th }}$ rule.
$\cdot 12^{\text {th }}$ rule $=$ in $k k i \underline{i r}=$ active verbs $(=$ affective $)$
includes the corresponding pairs of verbs of the 5th class (effective)

What emerges thus far is that in BC verbs are classified, as in GA, largely according to morpho-phonemic criteria, taking into consideration:

1) verbs which differentiate between geminate (cradu, -kkiritu) versus non-geminate (gradu, -kiratu) present tense morpheme;
2) the final sound of the verb stem;
3) the past tense allomorphs taken by verbs;
but he also took into account verb diathesis inasmuch as:
4) verbs in the $12^{\text {th }}$ rule are a counterpart to those in the $10^{\text {th }}$ rule, the former being active and the latter neuter.

If one excludes HH , all the manuscripts discussed so far differentiate between active and neuter based on a morpho-phonological criterion (gemination vs. non-gemination). However, when discussing the causative in addition to the passive, their differentiation is also based on semantic criteria.
With regard to the opposition within paired verbs, as in HH , it is perceived as a contrasting of verbs in the active and 'like passive' voice, presumably taking into consideration both the formal structure and the meaning:

## g. "Da Voz Passiva

Naõ fallando nos verbos passivos que há nesta lingoa acabados em gren, q(ue) como naõ tem regra certa só cõ o uzo se podem aprender, como saõ de முகிககிறென eu acabo, முகிகிறென eu sou acabado; de முறிககிறென eu quebro, முறிகிறென eu sou quebrado, e outros muitos. Há outros compostos e propriamente passivos." (MS 50, M-34-36, Left column, lines 4-13)
[Of the Passive Voice
Leaving aside the passive verbs in this language ending in gren (-kirēn), which, as they have no certain rule, can only be learned by use, as is the case of முகிககிறென (mukikkiriēn) I finish, முகிகிறென (mukikirē̄̄) I am finished; from மமறிககிறென (murikkirēn̄) I break, முறிகிறென (murikiriēñ) I am broken, and many other modes; there are other [verbs] that are composite and passive proper.]

In this respect, it is worth mentioning that BZ stated that the formation of the passive voice is twofold: passives which occur without adjustments within the same verbs and passives that are formed through the compounding of the infinitive with the verb patutal (to suffer). The paired verbs are listed as the first kind of passive that "must be learnt, not by many rules, but from practice" (Ziegenbalg 1716: 80-81; Jeyaraj 2010: 111):
(h) "முகிககிறென Finio [mukikkiriēn I complete [something]

முகியிறெ Finior mukiyirē̄n I get completed
முறிககிறென Frango murikkirēn I break
முறிகிறென Frangor murikirē̄ I get broken
அழிககிறென Corrumpo alikkirē̄n I destroy
அழிகிறென Corrumpor alikirēn I get destroyed [i.e., I perish]
நசுககுகிறென Contero nacukkukirē̄̄ I crush [something]
நசுஙகிறென Conteros nacunikirien I am crushed
வளைககிறென
வளைகிறென
செரிககிறென
செரிகிறென
எழுபபுகிறென
எழுமபுகிறென Excitor" elumpukirē̄̄ I am caused to arise [i.e., I stand up]
(Ziegenbalg,
1716: 80-81)
valaikkirē̄n I bend [something]
valaikirēn I am bent [i.e., I bend]
cerikkirēēn* I digest
cerikiriēn* I digest [myself]
eluppukirēn̄* I arise Jeyaraj 2010: 111, *apart from the vowel lenght which has been added, these transcriptions respect what is written in BZ's original book and differ from Jeyaraj]

Morphological causatives are explained in BC in the section devoted to verb composition:
(i) "3o se fâz cõ o verbo do mesmo modo, ut விசுவாசஙகொளளுகிறென Eu creyo. Esta composição se acha as vezes cõ significaçaõ passiva, ut அடிகொளளுகிறென sou espancado, mas õ ordinario he significaçaõ activa, ut மெயகொளளூகிறென creyo, செயஙகொணடென venci நமபிககைகொளளான naõ confia, etc. ${ }^{25}$ Tembem da p(rimei)ra pessoa do futuro affirmativo de qualquer verbo se formaõ outros effectivos [emphasis mine] mudando o பென, em ${ }^{\text {ou }}$ வென em பிககிறறன, et விககிறென, e signifiçaõ [o fazer] exercitar por outro tem a significaçaõ do verbo simples ut விசுவசிபபிககிறென Eu faço crer அழைபபிககிறென Eu faço chamar. செயவிககிறென eu faço fazer etc. E vem a formar outros verbos q(ue) tẽ todos os [modos], tempos e pessoas." (MS 50, M-34-37, Left column, lines 7-31)
[ $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ [composition of verbs] is made with the verb of the same mode, as in விசுவாசஙகொளளுகிறென (vicuvācañkol!ukiriēn) 'I believe'. This composition can sometimes be found with the passive signification [meaning], as in டிகொளளுகிறென (aṭikoll!ukirē̄n) 'I Iam [beaten]', but(the most common) is the active signification [meaning], as in மெயகொளளுகிறென (meykollukiriēn) ‘(I) believe', செயஙகொணடென (ceyn்kontẹ̄̄n) '(I won)'; நமபிககைகொளளான (nampikkaikol!lān) '(he) does not trust', etc. Also, from the first person of the future affirmative of any verb, other effective [emphasis mine] verbs are formed by changing the பென (peñ), or வென (veñ) into பிககிறென (pikkiriēn), or வககிறென (vakkirēēn), meaning to make someone do something, and another has the signification (meaning) of the simple verb as in விசுவசிபபிககிறென (vicuvācippikkirē̄n) 'I make someone believe'. அழைபபிககிறென (alaippikkirē̄̄n) 'I make someone call' செயயிககிறென (ceyyikkirēn) 'I make someone do' and forms other verbs that have all moods, tenses, and persons.]

It is worthy of note that, for the first time, the term effectivos appears to define the semantics of the Tamil morphological causative verbs. The same term is also found in the version of BC's Arte, copied in 1685, and attached to AP's dictionary, printed in 1679 (manuscript Borg. Ind. 12 from the Vatican Library). Surprisingly, the three copies of the original exhibit differences: those found at the State Central Library of Goa (MS 15 and MS 49) display the term affectivos rather than effectivos, while the manuscript traced by Jeyaraj (2010) at the British Library (OC Sloane 3003) does not include either term. ${ }^{26}$ Despite the fact that both effectivos and affectivos seem to anticipate Paramasivam's terminology, in this context they should be interpreted through the lens of the Latin grammatical framework where the term affectus, ${ }^{27}$ an imperfect calque from Greek, is used along with signficatio, genus, and vox in reference to the verb diathesis and, only in certain Latin authors, is used to address the patientive role in the pair agere and pati (cf. Benedetti 2001: 225-226; Coccia 2012: 58). Thus, in this context, they should be understood as expressing the semantic and formal change which Tamil verbs undergo with certain suffixes, and through which the verb expresses a cause, or a result of an action produced on someone by the influence of another.

[^15]BZ added to BC's list of verbs (included in Appendix 3), but reduced the explanation regarding verb composition and, in terms of the morphological causative, he merely stated:
(j) "Non minus fit quædam verbi compositio, quando prima persona Futuri affirmativi வென vel பென mutatur in பிககிறென, qua mutatione significatio majorem habet valorem, quam in verbo simplici..." (BZ, 1716: 84-85)
["A certain adjustment of the verb occurs when the first person of the future affirmative - வேன (vēn) or பேன (pēñ) is changed into -பிக்கிறேன் (pikkiriēn). As a result of this mutation the meaning has greater force than in the simple form of the verb ..." (Jeyaraj 2010: 115)]

### 5.5 Verb conjugation and paired verbs in CJB

I conclude this investigation into how missionaries organised the Tamil verb conjugation and treated Tamil paired verbs with Constantius Joseph Beschi (henceforth CJB), one of the most prominent Western grammarians of Tamil of the early 18th century, whose work, translated into several languages (see Chevillard 2021, cf. § 2), was to become the model of reference for later descriptors of the Tamil language. For example, Rhenius (1836: 79) acknowledged CJB's deep appreciation of the Tamil verb paradigm, by contrast with the ITGT:
(k) "the past tense is characterised by the middle particles $t, t \underline{r}$, and $i \underline{n}$. The native grammarians do not point out which roots require the one or the other of these middle particles. I shall therefore deviate from their course, and rather follow Beschius in describing the formation of this tense; the only one that has any difficulty."

CJB may be considered as the turning point from the previous missionary tradition for two more key reasons: as already stated, he described Tamil with reference to the WGT and to the ITGT with which he was familiar; secondly, in particular with the Nan., he shed light on those questions that had arisen among his predecessors, such as questions relating to the passive meaning of the neuter (affective) verbs.
However, CJB would not have accomplished so much if his predecessors had not worked so extensively on the Tamil language and had not collected linguistic data: primary evidence of this is his explanation of the past tense formation where the synthesis is clearly derived from the grammatical descriptions that preceded CJB. He summarised in eight rules the formation of the past tense, taking into account the same formal criteria that were based on the observation of the final sound of the verb stem preceding the present tense morphemes cradu (-kkirau) or gradu (-kiratu), thus on internal sandhi. As is the case for the other manuscripts, the full list of verb forms found in CJB's grammar (Beschi 173: 50-58, parag. 54-65; Mahon 1848: 44-63) is given in Appendix 2, section E. CJB provided three rules for present tense in -kkir- (strong verbs):

| -1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ rule: a. verbs with $y, r$ <br> b. verbs with $i, a i, u$ | $\} \rightarrow \quad \text { pst }=t t-\bar{e} \underline{\underline{n}}$ | verbs of the $11^{\text {th }}$ class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ rule: verbs in $\breve{a}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{pst}=n d-\bar{e} \underline{n}$ | verbs of the $12^{\text {th }}$ class $^{28}$ |
| Except. if monosyllabic verbs in | $\rightarrow \quad \mathrm{pst}=(k k)-\mathrm{in}-\underline{e} \underline{n}$ | verbs of the $5^{\text {th }}$ class |
| $\cdot 3^{\text {rd }}$ rule: verbs in long syllable | $\rightarrow \mathrm{pst}=(k k)-\underline{i n} \underline{\underline{e}}-\overline{\underline{n}}$ | verbs of the $5^{\text {th }}$ class |

[^16]The first rule ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) includes the strong verbs of the $11^{\text {th }}$ class; the second rule includes verbs which mainly belong to the $12^{\text {th }}$ class, ${ }^{29}$ while the third rule includes weak verbs of the $5^{\text {th }}$ class ${ }^{30}$ that have a long vowel in the final syllable of the verb stem preceding the present tense morpheme. CJB considers these forms the correspondent active form of the neuters that he lists in the rule n. 2 among those verbs with a single velar stop in the present tense marker (Beschi 1738: 53, parag. 60; Mahon: 1848: 46), i.e. "aṭakkiratu ('to shut') is the active form of the neuter atankiratu ('to be shut') that has the past in iñēn".
With regard to the verbs ending in gradu (-kiratu), five further rules are given along with some exceptions: ${ }^{31}$

| ule: a. verbs with ṅga ( $\dot{n}$-kir) | $\rightarrow$ pst $=\dot{n} k$-indeen verbs of the $5^{\text {th }}$ class |
| :---: | :---: |
| b. verbs with $n ̣$ ( $n$-kir) | $\rightarrow$ pst $=$ nte-en $\quad$ verbs of the $7^{\text {th }}, 13^{\text {th }}$ class |
| c. verbs with $\underline{n}$ ( $\underline{n}$-kir ) | $\rightarrow \quad \mathrm{pst}=\underline{n} r-\bar{e} \underline{n} \quad$ verbs of the $8^{\text {th }}$ class |
| $\cdot 2^{\text {nd }}$ rule: verbs ending in over short $u$ | $\rightarrow$ pst $=\underline{i n}-\bar{e} \underline{n} \quad$ verbs of the $5^{\text {th }}$ class $^{31}$ |
| Except. Verbs ending in $k u$, rِu, ṭu | $\rightarrow \mathrm{pst}=$ dropping ' u ' and doubling the final C (verbs of the $6^{\text {th }}$ class) |
| $\cdot 3^{\text {rd }}$ rule: a. verbs ending in single | $\rightarrow$ pst $=n t-\bar{n} \underline{n} \quad$ verbs of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ class |
| b. verbs ending in geminated $l+u$ | $\rightarrow$ pst $=\underline{i n}-\underline{e} \underline{n} \quad$ verbs of the $5^{\text {th }}$ class |
| c. verbs ending in $r u$ | $\rightarrow$ pst $=n t-\bar{e} \underline{n} \quad$ verbs of the $4^{\text {th }}$ class |
| d. verbs ending in $l u$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{pst}=\underline{n} r-\bar{e} \underline{n} \quad$ verbs of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ |
| e. verbs ending in $\underline{l} u$ | $\rightarrow$ pst $=$ varies $\quad$ verbs of the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ class |
| $\cdot 4^{\text {th }}$ rule verbs ending in $y a, i$, ai | $\rightarrow$ pst $=n t-\bar{e} \underline{n} \quad$ verbs of the $4^{\text {th }}$ class |
| $\cdot 5^{\text {th }}$ rule verbs ending in long vowel | $\rightarrow$ pst $=$ varies verbs of the $4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}, 13^{\text {th }}$ class |

It is worth noting, from the above rules, how CJB identified almost all the classes included in the contemporary MTL with a re-organisation of BC's classification. Indisputably, he achieved this thanks to earlier missionaries, in particular BC via BZ, as is suggested by the similarities among verbs used as examples (cf. Appendix 3) as well as his references to a previous author - presumably BZ. ${ }^{32}$
CJB mentions paired verbs in a section on the passive voice but, unlike his predecessors, he is adamant that they are not passive or 'like passive' verbs, but rather that they should be considered as neuter verbs carrying an intransitive meaning:
(1) "Siquidem quæ ab Alio passiva dicuntur, v.g. முகிகிறது, வளடுகிறது, விளங்கிறது, \&c. non passiva, sed neutra dicenda sunt; non enim passionem a causa exprimunt, sed sensum intransitivum important: v.g. வளர்ககிறது ex augere, activè; numquid வளகுகிறது erit augeri, passivè? Non certè, sed est crescere,

[^17]neutrum. Sic dicitur, உனமகனவளர்நதான, crevit filius tuus: et ad explicandum augeri, passivè, dicendum வளர்ககபபடுகிறது. Sic முகிகிறது, non est compleri, passive; sed desinere, neutrum. [...] Quod ut calriùs adhuc pateat, Latini habent luceo, neut. illumino, act. illuminor, pass. his correspondent விளஙகிறது, neut. விளககிறது, act. விளககபபடுகிறது, pass". (Beschi 1738: 69, parag. 77)
[Those which, by Another person, are called passives, e.g. முகிகிறது (mukkiratu), வளகுகிறது (valarukiratu), விளங்கிறது (valanikiratu), \&c. are not to be called passive, but neuter; for they do not express passion or suffering from a cause, but import an intransitive sense: e.g. வளர்க்கிறது means, to increase, actively; is there any வளாுகிறது (valarukiratu) that will mean to be increased, passively? Certainly not, but it means to grow larger, a neuter verb. Thus it is said, உன்மகன்வளர்ந்தான் (un makan valarntān), your son has increased in size: and to express, to be increased, we must say வளர்க்கப்படுகிறது (valarkkappaṭukiratu). So too முகிகிறது (mukkiratu) does not mean to be completed, passively; but to cease, to leave off, neuter. ... To make this appear yet more clearly; the Latins have luceo, I shine, neuter; illumino, I illumine, active; illuminor, I am enlightened, passive. To these correspond விளங்கிறது (valanikiratu), neuter; விளக்கிறது (valakkiriatu), active; விளக்கப்படுகிறது (valakkappaṭukiratu), passive (Mahon 1848: 59)].

With regard to morphological causatives, following the Nan. (cf. § 3), CJB calls these the verbs of command (ēval vinai), which means verbs that imply an action done at the command, or at least at the persuasion of that person, who is in the nominative. As in earlier missionary grammars, the causative morphemes are considered as derived from the word used in the formation of the future tense; the word for the future -pēn gives -pikkiratu; and the word for the future -vēn gives -vikkiratu (Beschi 1738: 115-116; Mahon 1848: 95).
In conclusion, following the main subdivision already identified by earlier missionaries that split verbs into two main groups - with and without gemination of the velar stop in the present tense morpheme, CJB managed to provide a classification of verbs identifying the different classes. In addition, CJB categorises the paired verbs on the basis of verb diathesis. As such, neuter verbs carry an intransitive significance because the action expressed by the verb refers to the subject itself, while the action expressed by the active verbs produces effects on a referent other than the subject and for this reason, these are different from the neuter.

## 6. Conclusions

My analysis of the classification of verbs by missionaries between the second half of the 16th century and the first half of the 18th century demonstrates the progress these early descriptors made in understanding Tamil verbs through their application of a Latin grammatical framework. It was a gradual process of comprehension which led them to certain assumptions about the nature of Tamil verbs that had not previously been observed by the ITGT.
There are three principal issues related to the Tamil verbs under observation: the verb conjugations, the paired verbs, and the causative verbs. All six manuscripts, through the adoption of formal criteria, identified a variable number of verb conjugations (in HH and MS Ind 188) or rules (in BC, GA/PB, BZ, CJB) for the formation of present, past, and future tenses in Tamil. In defining these, missionaries also became aware of certain types of verbs which appeared to be the counterpart of other verbs (Tamil paired verbs) which they struggled to describe using categories of Latin grammar. As such, in HH, paired verbs were
impersonal forms with a significance 'like a passive' which could be derived from personal verbs; in the other manuscripts, they were the neuter of the active verbs. In addition, missionaries also encountered verb forms that signify 'to make someone do something' which they deemed to be derived from the paradigm of the future tense because of the formal similarity between the causative morphemes -vi- and -ppi- and the morpheme of the future tense, $-v-,-p$-, and $-p p-$.
Although none of the missionaries discussed here made any attempt to identify or isolate a proper 'voice morpheme', by applying the category of neuter and active, they all clearly recognised a connection between some paired verbs, including a deviant form (neuter) from another one (active). As observed in earlier sections, only HH and MS 188 offer a differentiation between personal and impersonal verbs, including within the latter the active tantum verbs (HH) and kurippu vinai (MS 188). Only HH articulates that "in some of the conjugation there is a way of speaking that is almost like the passive" (Hein \& Rajam 2013: 211), although he acknowledges that the case to which he is in fact referring, namely the affective voice, cannot be considered as completely overlapping with the Latin passive. Despite this, later grammarians like GA/PB continued to question the possibility of considering these verbs as passive, and also introduced a new category, the neuter, while BC , anticipating Paramasivam's terminology- albeit with a slightly different meaning-made use of Latin categories such as effectivos/affectivos, one of the terms used along with signficatio, genus, and vox to refer to the verb diathesis, even though he still considered the verb ending in gradu (-kiratu) as a passive. However, both GA/PB and BC, as well as, BZ, abandoned the differentiation between personal and impersonal verbs and the idea of conjugations for the Tamil verbs. Lastly, CJB clarified these uncertainties, arguing that certain verbs should be considered as neuter rather than passive forms of the corresponding active ones, because they "do not express passion or suffering from a cause but import an intransitive sense" (Beschi 1738: 69, parag.; Mahon 1848: 59).
In summary, missionaries:

1) identified the Tamil verb classes on the basis of the final sound of the verb stem and of the tense morphemes - in particular of the present and past tense;
2) identified the morphologically causative verbs from each of the paired verbs;
3) identified the paired verbs on the basis of the subject's role in the narrated event as active verbs (i.e. the subject works as an agent producing effects on another entity who is not the subject), or as neuter verbs (i.e. the action carried out by the verb does not necessarily involve another entity and may affect the subject itself), which were associated with intransitive verbs in the first half of the 18th century.

In establishing the main criteria guiding the missionaries' identification of classes and classified verbs, one can consider Duranti's (2004: 453) definition of agency: "agency is understood as the property of those entities (i) that have some degree of control over their own behaviours, (ii) whose actions in the world affect other entities (and sometimes their own), and (iii) whose actions are the object of evaluation (e.g. in terms of their responsibility for a given outcome)". This appears to suggest that for the missionaries the prevailing criteria in the definition of the neuter in Tamil were semantic despite the fact that the main criterion for the differentiation into conjugations or rules was formal. In fact, in their identification of affective verbs with 'like passive' or 'neuter', there is an implicit understanding of a different degree of agentivity for the subject of the verb, codified through the presence or absence of a gemination of the obstruent in the tense morpheme,
or in the verb base. Hence, it is clear that for the division of verbs into neuter and active, missionaries were thinking of the Latin Genera Verbi, which found its roots in the Greek grammar (diathesis) and became, in Latin linguistics, an autonomous inflection category from the 4th century onwards (see Hovdhaugen 1986: 315-329; Hovdhaugen 1987: 133147). The selection of the category of neuter may have been a device to explain a feature of a linguistic item that differed from a prototype. The Latin Genera Verbi identifies verb species or significations. There were nine ${ }^{33}$ species in the 4th century which were later reduced to five distinct types: ${ }^{34}$ activum, passivum, neutrum, commune, deponens. As such, active verbs are straight, and the neuters come from a deviation of this straightness; they are defective in forming the passive voice. ${ }^{35}$ Furthermore, the active verbs, like the passive, involve two people (two arguments), the agent and the patient. In contrast, those verbs that do have none of these forms are considered as neuters or absolutive (Stoppie, Swiggers \& Wouters 2007: 213-215).
As Benedetti (2001: 228) states, "the Genera Verbi may be considered as the extreme attempt grammarians made to integrate the semantic with the formal description in order to be able to cover all the possible combinations among the series of endings and the conceptual categories of facere and pati [translation mine]." In the same way, the application of two categories of the Genera Verbi to the description of Tamil verbs by missionaries may be considered as an extreme attempt to give an account of the semantic variation that the affective verbs display when compared to the effective ones.
As the definition of affective verbs progressed, it seems that, through the use of impersonal and neuter categories, missionaries were applying the canonical definitions as given in the WGT such as Barros (1540) and Álvares (1573) ${ }^{36}$ but were also extending them, taking into account not solely the formal aspect but also the significatio related to neuter. As such, one should trace the principle of categorisation within the semantic, rather than the formal sphere, despite the fact that affective differs from effective in the stops that precede the tense morpheme. Indeed, missionaries were trying to explain a slightly different meaning which is codified through the tense morpheme combined with the voice morpheme, according to which certain verbs not only change their tense, but also the degree to which the subject is involved in the action described by the verb. If two entities are involved in active forms, in the neuter form it seems that the only entity predominantly interested by the action is the semantic subject (cf. CJB's definition of neuter as intransitive).

[^18]In conclusion, this paper demonstrates how throughout the application of the Latin framework and its extension, missionaries were able to account for some of the peculiarities of the Tamil verb system, which are not described by the Tamil grammatical tradition. It also highlights how the data offered by these first external descriptions of Tamil, in part representative of data collected through missionaries' fieldwork, may enable, even today, a better comprehension of the Tamil language - i.e. according to these sources, the incidence of paired verbs in Tamil is lesser if compared with Paramasivam's estimation thereof (1979). Furthermore, bearing in mind the fact that the missionaries' identification of Tamil verb classes, as well as of affective versus effective voice continues to be used in modern descriptions of Tamil, it seems almost redundant to highlight the missionaries' contribution to Tamil studies and to the History of the Language Science in general.

## Abbreviations

| 1 | first person |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | second person |
| 3 | third person |
| ACC | accusative |
| AF | affective |
| CAUS | causative |
| DEM | demonstrative |
| EPIC | epicene |
| EF | effective |
| F | feminine |
| GEN | genitive |
| HON | honorific |
| INF | infinitive |
| INST | instrumental |
| M | masculine |
| N | noun |
| NT | neuter |
| NUM | numeral |
| OBL | oblique |
| PL | plural |
| PN | proper noun |
| PRES | present |
| RPOX | proximate |
| PST | past |
| SG | singular |

Appendix
A brief note about the Appendices
The First Appendix shows the organisation of arguments in manuscripts 1-5 (cf. Chart 1) and compares them with the table of contents found in Álvares (1573) and Barros (1540). This appendix relates to § 4 .
The Second Appendix reproduces the verb forms found in each analysed manuscript and it is structured in the following way:

1. the first column contains the transcription of verb forms as they appear in the manuscripts;
2. the second column contains the Portuguese or English glosses found in the manuscript;
3. the third column provides the English translation of the Portuguese glosses - if present;
4. the fourth column disambiguates the Tamil verb forms contained in the first column;
5. the fifth column specifies the conjugation or the rule given in the manuscript for the verb form in the first column;
6. the sixth column points out the class to which the verb forms belong as it is found in the MTL.

The Third Appendix provides the full list of Tamil verbs found in Appendix 2.A, 2.B, 2.C, 2.D, 2.E plus those found in BZ with their meaning as it is found in the Dravidian Etymological Dictionary [DED, 1961] and its supplement [DEDS, 1968] by Emeneau. If it was not possible to find the form in the DED or DEDS, I took the corresponding meaning from the Madras Tamil Lexicon [MTL]. The Appendix 3 also specifies the manuscript where the verb form is found.

- Appendices. Appendices 1 to 3. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5334/jpl.268.s1
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| Praefatio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auctoris carmen ad librum. <br> / Idem ad christianum pr.ceptorem |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| De verborum coniugatione (four conjugations) | Do verbo <br> Definiçãm e divisám do verbo <br> Do genero do verbo pessoál | [Verb conjugations] (nine conjugations) | Livro $2^{\circ}$ <br> Dos Verbos <br> (five conjugations) | Verbos <br> (one conjunction) <br> Verbo passivo | Da Conjugaçaõ dos verbos (one conjugation) Muitos outros modos de fallar avulsos | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Verbis } \\ & \text { (one conjugation) } \end{aligned}$ |
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| De grammatica intitutione <br> Liber III <br> De syllabarum <br> dimensione <br> De caesura <br> De patrnymicis <br> De prosodia | Da orthografia |  |  |  | Da collocação das letras Tamues pella orde( $\mathbf{m}$ ) do nosso alfabeto (this title is found in Ms 66 [ex Ms 49]; Ms 16 [ex Ms 15]; Ms 50 [ex Ms 34]) <br> De Alguns sinaes que nesse vocabulário se observã (this title is found in Ms 66 [ex Ms 49]; Ms 16 [ex Ms 15]; Ms 50 [ex Ms 34]) <br> Regras de ortographia (this title is found in Ms 16 [ex Ms 15]; Ms 50 [ex Ms 34]) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Appendix 2: Verb forms and their classification in manuscripts

A) HH (Cod. 3141, ff. 37r-139v; Hein \& Rajam 2013: 76-220; Vermeer 1982: 30-113)

| Verb form in MS (present, 1sg) ${ }^{1}$ | Portuguese gloss (present, 1sg) | English translation of the Portuguese gloss | Corresponding verb form | Group Type A | Class [MTL] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aliquiren | desfaço | I destroy, I undo | ali-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=11^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| ałriquiren | fecho | I close | atai-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| ałaiquiren | moo | I grind | arai-ttal | $11^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| azæiquiren | movo | I move | acai-ttal | $11^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| chamæquiren | co(n) certo | (I construct) | camai-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| chivaliquiren | gasto | I spend | celavali-ttal | $11^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=11^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | tr. \|| intr. |
| erhuquiren ${ }^{2}$ | tomo ou tiro | I take or I take off | eṭ-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| meyquiren | apace(n)to | I graze, I plant | mēy-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| ninæiquiren | cuido | I mind [care for] | ninai-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. \\|| $4^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| parhiquiren | aprender | I learn | pati-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr} . \\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| połuquiren | perdoo | I pardon | poru-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| tæiquiren | cozo costura | I sew | tai-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| teiquiren | esfrego | I rub | tēy-ttal | $11^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. \|| $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| vichuvadiquiren | creo | I believe | vicuvāci-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| piLaquiren | fendo | I split | pila-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| piraquiren | naço | I am born | pira-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation | - |
| quirhaquiren | estar deitado | I lie down | kita-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ dub-group $=2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation | $12^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| tagaquiren | quebrar cousa da loica | I break to pieces | takar-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ dub-group $=2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. \|| $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| tiraquiren | abro | I open | tira-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation | $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| valaquiren | crio | I sustain | valar-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. \|| $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| cathquiren ${ }^{3}$ | vigio | I watch, I observe | kā-ttal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation, $1^{\text {st }}$ species | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| pathquiren | olho | I look at | pār-ttal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation, $1^{\text {st }}$ species | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| quorquiren ${ }^{4}$ | êfio, enfio | I string [the needle] | kō-ttal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation, $1^{\text {st }}$ species | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| tithquiren | julgo | I judge | tī-ttal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation, $1^{\text {st }}$ species | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| varquiren ${ }^{5}$ | deito cousa liquida | I pour | vār-ttal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation, $1^{\text {st }}$ species | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| aviLquiren | des | I untie | avil-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }}$ species | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. $\\| 11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. |
| nilquiren | espsero | I wait | nil-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }}$ species | $3^{\text {rd }}$ intr. |
| queLquiren | ouço vẽdo, vendo | I hear I sell | kēl-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }}$ 的 species | $9^{\text {th }} \text { tr. }$ |


| Verb form in MS (present, 1sg) | Portuguese gloss (present, 1sg) | English translation of the Portuguese gloss | Corresponding verb form | Group Type B | Class [MTL] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aRRiRRen | bailo | I dance | àtu-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| cachiren | cozo | I cook | kāyccu-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. of $k \bar{a} y$ |
| caLatiren | aparto | I take apart | kalarru-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. of kalal |
| eduvaguiren ${ }^{6}$ | no PT | - | eț-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| enniren | conto | I count | eṇnu-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| eRRen | carrego | I load | $\bar{e}$ rrru-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. $\\|$ intr. |
| eRiRRen | subo | I climb | ērru-tal | $1^{\text {st }} \text { sub-group }=4^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation }$ |  |
| mandarhuguiren ${ }^{7}$ | rogo | I implore | - | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation |  |
| naLpogurren | faço vida | I pass the days | $n \bar{a} l(\mathrm{~N}) p \bar{o}-t a l$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \& 5^{\text {th }}$ intr. $(p \bar{o})$ |
| niquiren | aparto | I remove | nīkku-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus of $n \bar{i} \dot{n} k u$ |
| paRRumaRRuguiren ${ }^{8}$ | no PT | - | - | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation |  |
| paluguiren ${ }^{9}$ | no PT | - |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation |  |
| parhiguiren | canto | I sing | pātu-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }} \operatorname{tr} .$ |
| porê | no PT | (I go) | pō-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \& 5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{intr} .$ |
| udaviren | ajudo | I help | utavu-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ sub-group $=4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| vananguiren | no PT | (I worship) |  | $1^{\text {st }} \text { sub-group }=4^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation }$ | $5^{\text {th }} \text { intr. }$ |
| coLiren | co(m)pro | I buy | kollu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{sp}$. | $2^{\text {nd }} \text { tr. }$ |
| coliren | mato | I kill | kol-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{sp}$. | $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| $\text { molliren }{ }^{10}$ | mijo | I urinate | mollu? | $2^{\text {nd }} \text { sub-group }=5^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation, } 1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{sp} \text {. }$ | - |
| pelliren ${ }^{11}$ | verter agoa | I pour water | pelo? | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{sp}$. <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{sp}$. |  |
| neruRRen ${ }^{12}$ |  | I enter | - $\overline{\text { putu-tal }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{sp}$. <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{sp}$. |  |
| puduren | entro | I enter | pūtu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{sp}$. | $13^{\text {th }} \text { intr. }$ |
| taruRRen | dou | I give | $t \bar{a}-t a l$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{sp}$. | $13^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| taviruren | deixo | I leave | tavir-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{sp}$. | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr $\\| 11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. |
| vaLaruren | creço | I grow | valar-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{sp}$. | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr $\\| 11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. |
| vaRRen ${ }^{13}$ | venho | I come | $v \dot{\bar{a}}-t a l$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ sub-group $=5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation, $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{sp}$. | $13^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| meýRRen ${ }^{13}$ | coubro cousa da casa salto | I roof the house | $m \bar{e} y \text {-tal }$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=6^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }}$ tr. $\&$ intr. $\\| 11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. |
| paýRRen | salto | I jump | pāy-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=6^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| eyýRRen | tiro co(m) seta | I shoot an arrow | ey-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=6^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $1^{\text {st }}$ tr. ( $11^{\text {th }}$ ey-ttal, intr. to grow...) |
| aRRiýRRen | sei no PT | I know | ari-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=6^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. $1^{\text {st }}$ (r. $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right.$ cey-ttal tr. to overcome $)$ |
| cheiýRRen | no PT | (I do) | cey-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=6^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $1^{\text {st }}$ tr. (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ cey-ttal, tr. to overcome) |
| paRRæýRRen | falo <br> no PT | I speak | parai-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=6^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \operatorname{tr} . \\| 11^{\text {th }} \text { tr. }$ |
| tiriýRRen <br> væýRRen ${ }^{14}$ | (deso(n)ro) | (I turn) | tiri-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=6^{\text {rd }}$ th conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \text { intr. } \& \operatorname{tr} .$ |
| væýRRen ${ }^{14}$ | (deso(n)ro) | I curse | vai-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ sub-group $=6^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $1^{\text {st }}$ tr. ( $11^{\text {th }}$ vai-ttal, tr. to put, place $)$ |


| Verb form in MS (present, 1sg) | Portuguese gloss (present, 1sg) | English <br> translation of the <br> Portuguese gloss | Corresponding verb form | Group Type C | Class [MTL] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| irhuguiren poRRuren caLuviRRen parhuguiren thorhuguiren peruguiren ${ }^{15}$ aLuguiren poruguiren biluguiren canguiren ${ }^{16}$ unguiren enguiren tynguiren caLavanguiren | meto <br> no PT <br> lavo <br> padeço <br> toquo <br> andar ao pairo <br> choro <br> peleiyo=pelejo $\qquad$ <br> veio <br> como <br> digo <br> como <br> furto | I put <br> (I pardon) <br> I wash <br> I suffer <br> I touch $\qquad$ <br> I cry <br> I fight <br> I see <br> I eat <br> I say <br> I eat <br> I steal | iṭu-tal <br> poru-ttal <br> kaluvu-tal <br> patu-tal <br> totu-tal <br> peru-tal <br> alu-tal <br> poru-tal <br> kān(nu)-tal <br> un(nu)-tal <br> en( $\underline{n} u$ )-tal <br> tin( $(\underline{n} u)$-tal <br> kalavān(nuu)-tal | $\begin{aligned} & 1^{\text {st }} \text { sub-group }=7^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 1^{1^{\text {st }}} \text { sub-group }=7^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { sub-group }=7^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { sub-group }=7^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { sub-group }=7^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { sub-group }=7^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { sub-group }=8^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { sub-group }=8^{\text {th }} \text { conjugatioup }=8^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 3^{\text {rd }} \text { sub-group }=9^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 3^{\text {rd }} \text { sub-group }=9^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 3^{\text {rd }} \text { sub-group }=9^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 3^{\text {rd }} \text { sub-group }=9^{\text {th }} \text { conjugation } \\ & 3^{\text {rd }} \text { sub-group }=9^{\text {th }} \text { conjugato } \end{aligned}$ | ```\(6^{\text {th }}\) tr. \(11^{\text {th }}\) tr. \& intr. \(5^{\text {th }}\) tr. \(6^{\text {th }}\) intr. \(6^{\text {th }}\) tr. (intr. to occur) \(11^{\text {th }}\) intr. (peru-ttal) \(1^{\text {st }}\) intr. \(1^{\text {st }}\) intr. (tr. to play...) \(13^{\text {th }}\) irr. tr. \(7^{\text {th }}\) tr. \(8^{\text {th }}\) tr. \(8^{\text {th }}\) tr. ? intr``` |

B) Ms Ind 188 (Indien_188, ff. 24r-40v)

| Verb form in MS (present, 1sg) | Portuguese gloss (infinitive) | English translation of the Portuguese gloss | Corresponding verb form | Conjugations | Class [MTL] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vicuvātikkiren ${ }^{17}$ | crer | to believe | vicuvāci-ttal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| takakkiren | quebrar | to burn | takani-ttal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| cinakkiren ${ }^{18}$ | agatarse | to get angry | cina-ttal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $12^{\text {th }}$ tr. \& intr. |
| ulakkiren | criar | to sustain | ulatā-tal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | intr. |
| pilakkiren ${ }^{19}$ | estar forte | to be strongh | pila-ttal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| pilakkiren | fender | to split | pila-ttal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| ilakkiren | perder | to lose | ilakku-tal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. caus. |
| cumakkiren | acaretar | (to bear) | cuma-ttal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $12^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| parakkiren | avoar | to fly | para-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| marakkiren | esquecer | to forget | mara-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $12^{\mathrm{th}} \mathrm{tr}$ |
| karakkiēen | tirar, ordinhar | to order | kara-ttal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| katakkiren | passar | to pass through | kata-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }}$ tr. \& intr. |
| kalakkiren | misturar | to mix | kala-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $12^{\text {th }} \text { intr. }$ |
| pirakkiren | nasser | to be born | pira-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | - |
| kițakkiren | deitarse | to lie down | kița-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $12^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| naṭakkieēn | andar | to walk | nata-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $12^{\text {th }} \text { intr. }$ |
| alakkiren | medir | (to measure) | ala-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ conjugation | $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| vikkiren ${ }^{20}$ | vender | to sell | vil-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation | $10^{\text {th }}$ tr. \& intr. |
| urikkiren | tirar pella, pellar | to peel | uri-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| tirikkiren | torzer corda | to twist rope | tiri-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ conjugation | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| ārikiren ${ }^{21}$ | saber | to know | ari-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \operatorname{tr}$ |
| urikiren | dispir | to remove clothes | uri-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \text { intr. }$ |
| umikiren | cospir | to spit | umil-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| erikiren | tirar pedra, lançar | to throw stone, to cast | eri-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \text { tr. } \& \text { intr. }$ |
| tirikiren | andar | to walk | tiri-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \text { intr. }$ |
| akalukiren ${ }^{22}$ | no PT | - | akal-tal ${ }^{25}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} \operatorname{tr}$ |
| amarukiren | no PT | $\qquad$ | amar-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| makilukiren <br> palukiren | estar contente multiplicar | to be happy to multipy | makil-tal <br> pal(u)ku-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| melukiren $^{23}$ | bostiar | to cleanse the floor with cow-dung water | meluku-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }} \operatorname{tr} .$ |
| valukiren | escorregar | to slip | valukku-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| tallukiren | fazer pincher | - | tallu-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| allukiren | apanhar | to take, to catch | allu-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| collukiren | falar | to speak | col(lu)-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| kollukiren | mattar | to kill | kol(lu)-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| kol!ukiren | no PT | - | kollu-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $2^{\text {nd }}$ tr. \& intr. |
| ettukiren ${ }^{24}$ erakkiren | engannar, fallere bottar bache(?) | to cheat (to cast forth)? | ettu-tal erattu- tal (?) | $5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation $5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\text {th }} \operatorname{tr} . \\ & 5^{\text {th }} \operatorname{tr} . \end{aligned}$ |


| urukkiren | fonder | to liquefy | urukku-tal | $5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amukkiren | afogar | to drown | amukku-tal | $5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. |
| inañkiren | aiuntar, se por amigo | to unite, to be friend | i inaṅku-tal | $5^{\text {th }}$ conjugation | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| tinkiren | comer | to eat | tin( $\mathrm{n} u)$-tal | No conjugation | $8^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| unkiren | comer | to eat | un( $n$ ul)-tal | No conjugation | $7^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| ākiren | ser ou estar | to be | $\bar{a} k u$-tal | No conjugation | Intr. |
| poren | ir | to go | pō-tal | No conjugation | $4^{\text {th }} / 5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| kāṇkiren | ver | to see | kān-tal | No conjugation | $13^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| cākirgen | morer | to die | cā-tal | No conjugation | $13^{\text {th }}$ intr. |

C) GA/PB (Cod. Orient. 283, f. 40v)

| Verb form in MS (present, 1sg) | Portuguese gloss (infinitive) | English translation of the Portuguese gloss | Corresponding verb form | Groups | Class [MTL] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pïlacren <br> nar̈acren <br> carracren <br> quiracren <br> virumbugren <br> vur̈ugren <br> pugalugren <br> tirugren <br> tingren | fender <br> andar <br> ordinar <br> jazer <br> desejar <br> deixar, largar <br> louvar <br> concluir negocio <br> comer | to split <br> to walk <br> to order <br> to lie down <br> to wish <br> to leave, to realase <br> to praise <br> to complete business to eat | pila-ttal <br> naṭa-ttal <br> kita-ttal <br> virumpu-tal <br> viṭu-tal <br> pukal(lu)-tal <br> tin( $(\underline{n} u)$-tal | acren $>$ nden acren $>$ nden acren $>$ nden acren $>$ nden gradu>inen gradu>inen gradu>inen gradu>inen gradu>inen | $4^{\text {th }}$ tr. \& intr. <br> $12^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> - <br> $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{intr}$. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $6^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ tr. \& intr. <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ tr. |

D) BC (Ms 50, from fol. M-34-42, Left column, line 8 to fol. M-34-44, Left column, line 25, Third Title)

| Verb form in MS (present, 3sg or verbal noun) | Corresponding verb form | Rules | Class [MTL] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vicuvacikkiratu ataikkiratu mēykkiratu aṭaikiratu arikiratu peykiratu vaikiratu neykiratu marakkiratu naṭakkiratu vekiratu nokiratu pokkiratu nokkiratu pēcukiratu ūttukiratu kol!̣ukiratu piralukiratu ālukiratu kollukiratu vellukiratu collukiratu cellukiratu varukiratu valarkiratu paṭukiratu iṭukiratu vañkiratu piṭuñizratu pārkiratu korkiratu virkiratu aṭakkiratu matakkiratu otukkiratu | vicuvāci-ttal atai-ttal <br> mēy-ttal <br> atcai-tal <br> ari-tal <br> pey-tal <br> vai-tal <br> ney-tal <br> mara-ttal <br> naṭa-ttal <br> $v \bar{e}-$ tal <br> nō-tal <br> pō-tal <br> nōkku-tal <br> pēcu-tal <br> ūtu-tal <br> kollu-tal <br> piral!(!)u-tal <br> àl(!̣u)-tal <br> kol(lu)-tal <br> vel(lu)-tal <br> collu-tal <br> cel(lu)-tal <br> vāru-tal <br> valar-tal <br> patu-tal <br> itu-tal <br> vān̄ku-tal <br> piṭuñku-tal <br> pār-tal <br> kol(lu)-tal <br> vil-tal <br> atakku-tal <br> matakku-tal <br> otukku-tal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule $^{26}$ <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ rule <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ rule <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule $^{27}$ <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule $^{28}$ <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ rule $^{29}$ <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ rule $^{30}$ <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $6^{\text {th }}$ rule $^{31}$ <br> $6^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $6^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $7^{\text {th }}$ rule ${ }^{32}$ <br> $7^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $7^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $7^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ rule $^{33}$ <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ rule $^{34}$ <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $10^{\text {th }}$ rule $^{35}$ <br> $10^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $11^{\text {th }}$ rule $^{36}$ <br> $11^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $11^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $12^{\text {th }}$ rule $^{37}$ <br> $12^{\text {th }}$ rule <br> $12^{\text {th }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. <br> $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\\| 4^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. caus. $\left\|\mid 4^{\text {th }}\right.$ intr. <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ intr. <br> - <br> $12^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $12^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $13^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $13^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $4^{\text {th }} \& 5^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ tr. <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ intr. or $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{tr}$. <br> $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{tr}$. <br> $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{tr}$. <br> $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ intr. \& tr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $6^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. <br> $6^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. \& intr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. <br> $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{tr}$. <br> $10^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. \& intr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. |

E) CJB (Beschi 1728 [1738]: 49-58, §§ 54-65; Mahon 1848: 43-49, §§ 54-65)

| Verb form in MS (present, 3sg. or verbal noun) | English gloss [in Mahon 1848] (infinitive) | Corresponding verb form | Rules for the first group: verbs in cradu (-kkir-) | Class [MTL] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mēykkiratu | to feed | mèy-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule ${ }^{38}$ | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. \|| 4th tr., intr. |
| moykkiratu | to agglomerate | moy-ttal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| vaḷarkkiratu | to nourish | valar-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. $\\|$ 4th tr. |
| tïrkkiratu | to end strife | tīr-ttal | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. \|| 4th tr. |
| pārkkiratu | to see | pār-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| virikkiratu | to extend | viri-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. \|| 4th tr |
| pațikkiratu | to learn | pāti-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| pataikkiratu | to create | patai-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| utaikkiratu | to kick the heel | utai-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| paṭukkiratu | to lie down | patu-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. $\\| 6^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| uṭukkiratu | to clothe | utu-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| veḷukkirgatu | to mash | velu-ttal | $1^{\text {st }}$ rule | $11^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| marakkiratu | to forget | mara-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule $^{39}$ | $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| parakkiratu | to fly | para-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| pirakkiratu | to be born | pira-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | - |
| irakkiratu | to descend or to die | ira-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| maṇakkiratu | (to be united, mingled...) | mana-ttal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule ${ }^{40}$ | $12^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| ākkiratu | to make | àkku-tal | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ rule ${ }^{41}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. |
| nīkkiratu | to put to fight | nîkku-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. |
| tēkkiratu | to be filled | tēkku-tal | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| tūkkiratu | to suspend from a cross | tūkku-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| nōkkiratu | to look at | nōkku-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |


| Verb form in MS (present, 3sg verbal noun) | English gloss [in Mahon 1848] (infinitive) | Corresponding verb form | Rules for the II group: verbs in gradu (-kir-) | Class [MTL] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vaṇañkiratu atañkiratu iṭañkiratu unkiratu kāṇkiratu tinkiratu enkiratu pēcukiratu naccukiratu elutukiratu tiruttukiratu | to venerate <br> to shut in <br> to agree <br> to devour <br> to see <br> to devour <br> to say <br> to speak <br> to desire <br> to write <br> to perform | vaṇaṅku-tal <br> ațañku-tal <br> iṭañku-tal <br> uṇnu-tal <br> kāṇ̣u-tal <br> tinnnu-tal <br> ennu-tal <br> pēcu-tal <br> naccu-tal <br> elutu-tal <br> tiruttu-tal | $\begin{aligned} & 1^{\text {st }} \text { rule }(\mathrm{a})^{42} \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { rule (a) } \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { rule (a) } \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { rule (b) } \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { rule (b) } \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { rule (c) } \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \text { rule (c) } \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { rule } \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { rule } \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { rule } \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { rule } \end{aligned}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $7^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $13^{\text {th }}$ irr. Tr. <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |


| tampukiratu | to confide | tampu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| appukiratu | to fix one another | appu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| paṇnukiratu | to make | paṇnu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| ulāvukiratu | to walk about | ulä̀u-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| tummukiratu | to sneeze often | tummu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| pinnukiratu | to interweave | pinnu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| tiruttukiratu | to perform | tiruttu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| mutukirgatu | to turn flight | mutukku-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. Caus. \& intr. |
| tiruṭkiratu | - | tirutu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| tavarukiratu | - | tavaru-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| èkukiratu | - | ēku-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| têtukiratu | - | tēt tu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| tērukiratu | - | tèru-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| takkukiratu | - | takku-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| antukiratu | - | antu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| kattukiratu | - | kattu-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }} \mathrm{tr}$. |
| kaņrukiratu | - | kanru-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| currukiratu | - | curru-tal | $2^{\text {nd }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| ālukiratu | - | $\bar{a} l(l u)$-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (a) ${ }^{44}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ tr. |
| mālukiratu | - | māl(lu)-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (a) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ intr. |
| urulukiratu | - | urul(? u)-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (a) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ intr. |
| verulukiratu | - | verul(!u)-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (a) | $2^{\text {nd }} \& 5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| tal!ukiratu | - | tallu-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (b) | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| tul!ukiratu | - | tullu-tal | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ rule (b) | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| al!ukiratu | - | allu-tal | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ rule (b) | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| valarukiratu | - | valar-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (c) ${ }^{45}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| tīrukiratu | - | tīr-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (c) | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| cērukiratu | - | cēr-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (c) | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| tavirukiratu | - | tavir-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (c) | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| culalukiratu | - | culal(lu)-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (d) ${ }^{46}$ | $33^{\text {rd }}$ intr. |
| alalukiratu | - | alal-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (d) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ intr. |
| cellukiratu | - | cel(lu)-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (d) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| vellukiratu | - | vel(lu)-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (d) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rtr. \& intr. |
| kollukiratu | - | kol(lu)-tal | $33^{\text {rd }}$ rule (d) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ dr. |
| nirkiratu<nillukiratu | - | nil-tal | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ rule (d) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ intr. |
| alukiratu | - | alu-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (e) ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ intr. |
| ulukiratu tolukiratu | - | ulu-tal tolu-tal | $33^{\text {rd }}$ rule (e) | $1^{\text {st }}$ tr. |
| umilukiratu | - | umil-tal | $33^{\text {rd }}$ rule (e) | $4^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| avilukiratu | - | avil-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (e) | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| tavalukiratu | - | taval-tal | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ rule (e) | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |


| vālukiratu | - | vāl-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (e) | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tālukihratu | - | tāl-tal | $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule (e) | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| ataikiratu | - | atai-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ rule ${ }^{\text {48 }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ intr. \& tr. |
| kataikiratu | - | katai-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ rule | $4^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| arikiratu | - | ari-tal | $4^{\text {th }}$ rule | $4^{\text {th }}$ tr. |
| ākiratu | - | $\bar{a} k u-t a l$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ rule | $5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| pōratu < pōkiratu | - | pō-tal | $5^{\text {th }}$ rule | $4^{\text {th }} \& 5^{\text {th }}$ intr. |
| cākiratu | - | cā-ttal | $5^{\text {th }}$ rule | $13^{\text {th }} \mathrm{intr}$. |
| vēkiriratu | - | vē-tal | - | $13^{\text {th }}$ irr. intr. |
| nōkiritu | - | $n \bar{o}-\mathrm{tal}$ | - | $13^{\text {th }}$ irr. intr. |

DED and DEDS; MTL
DED.12: to excavate, dig out, pluck out
DED.43: to join with, to tie; MTL: to shake
DED.56: to control, repress, hide conceal
DED.56: to submit, be subdued, be comrpessed, cease...
DED.70: to pile up one on the top of another
DED.74: to collect, gather
DED.73: to shut, close
DED.68: to fit, be appropriate to
DED.1928(b): to stick with the hand (as sandal paste)...
DED.137: to abide, remain, become tranquil..
DED.143: to crush, press, squeeze...
DED.184: to make scarce; cause to diminish; DED.186: to show disinlination in bargain
DED.190: to be gracious
DED.191: to grind; arai-tal: to become macerated
DED: —; MTL: to become loose
DED.234: to burn, glow
DED.235: to perish, be ruined, decay, be defeated
DED.235: to destroy; ali-tal: to perish
DED.240: to cry
DEDS.38: to take up in the hollow of the hand
DED.252: to measure
DED.365: to know
DED.267: to digest
DED.282: to come into existence, happen, be
DED.282: to effect, make, cause to be
DED.282: to come into existence, happen, be
DED.290: intr. to dance; tr. Cause to dance
DED.341: (al) to rule, reign over, control or manage
DED: -; MTL: -
DED.375: to place, deposit, put in
DED. 387: to consent, comply with
DED.407: to exist, remain, sid down, love, belong to, aux
DED.433: to slacken, relax (as a spring), loosen (as a rope)...
DED.437: to die ...; DED.438: to go beyond
DED.443: to break, snap (as a stick), become severed (as a limb)
DED.473: to bear, bring forth, yean, produce, yield, bring into being
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| 37. 2(b) | uțu-ttal | DED.502: to put on, as clothes | CJB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38. உண் | uṇ(ṇu)-tal | DED.516: to eat or drink | HH, Ind 188, CJB |
| 39. உதவ | utavu-tal | DED.522: to give, contribute, help, assist | HH |
| 40. உதை | utai-ttal | DED.527: to kick, spurn, beat; MTL: to kick, spurn, reject, discharge, beat | CJB |
| 41. உமிழ் | umil-tal | DED.547: to gargle, spit, vomit | Ind 188, CJB |
| 42. உரி | uri-tal | DED.561: to peel, strip off, deprove of, rob | Ind 188 |
| 43. உரி | uri-ttal | DED.561: to slough off, flay, strip off | Ind 188 |
| 44. உருக்கு | urukku-tal | DED.569: to dissolve with heat, melt, liquefy | Ind 188 |
| 45. உருள் | urul(lu)-tal | DED.571: to roll, tumble over and over | CJB |
| 46. உலாவ | ulāvu-tal | DED: 2218: to move about, walk or ride for recreation | CJB |
| 47. உழு | ulu-tal | DED: 592: to plough, dig up, root up | CJB |
| 48. உளதா | ulatā-tal | DED: -; MTL: to originate, come into being | Ind 188 |
| 49. ஊது | ūtu-tal | DED. 638: to blow (as a wind instrument, a fire), blow out (as a lamp) | BC |
| 50. ஏ(b) | eṭu-tal | DED.723: to rise (as from seat or bed), ascend (as heavenly body), rise by one's own power (as a bird)... | HH |
| 51. ஏடு | ețu-ttal | DED.723: to take up, bear, carry, hold up...; MTL: to take up, bear, carry | HH |
| 52. எண்ணு | eṇnu-tal | DED.678: to think, consider, determine, esteem, conjecture, count, reckon... | HH |
| 53. எத்து | ettu-tal | DED.681: et-, to take /by picking up and carrying, take off (cloak), rise (as legs to the sky...) | Ind 188 |
| 54. எய் | ey-tal | DED.691: to discharge arrow | HH, Ind 188 |
| 55. ஏழுது | elutu-tal | DED.725: to write, paint, draw... | CJB |
| 56. ஏழும்பு | elumpu-tal | DED: -; MTL: $e \underline{l} u$, to rise, as from a seat or bed | BZ |
| 57. ஏழுப்ப | eluppu-tal | DED: -; MTL:to cause or help to rise | BZ |
| 58. எறட்டு | eratṭu- tal | DED: -; MTL: $5^{\text {th }}$, to radiate, caste forth | Ind 188 |
| 59. எறி | eri-tal | DED.731: to throw, discharge, hack, chop, smash, destroy, beat, pounce, kick | Ind 188 |
| 60. எறு | eriu-tal | DED.731, N : throw, beating | HH |
| 61. என் | en(nu)-tal | DED.737: to say, utter, express | HH, BC, CJB |
| 62. ஏகு | èku-tal | DED.740: to go, pass, walk | CJB |
| 63. ஏற்று | èrru-tal | DED.776: to lift up, raise, increase (as price), load (as a cart or ship) | HH |
| 64. ஏறு | èru-tal | DED.776: to rise, ascend (...). Mount, climb, abound in number... | HH |
| 65. ஒடுக்கு | oṭukku-tal | DED.804: to subjugate, deduce, restraint, subdue, rob | BC, CJB |
| 66. ஒடுங்கு | oṭuñku-tal | DED.804: to be restrained, become tranquil, become reduced | CJB |
| 67. கக்கு | kakku-tal ${ }^{50}$ | DED.909: to vomit, eject | BC, CJB |
| 68. கட்டு | kattue-tal | DED.963: to remit, pay up | CJB |
| 69. கட | kata-ttal | DED.929: to pass through, traverse, cross...\|| to cause to drive, go, transport | Ind 188 |
| 70. கடை | katai-tal | DED.957: to churn, turn in lathe, mash to pulp | CJB |
| 71. கரு | karu(kku)-tal | DED.1073: (a) to be charred, scorched \\|| to char; (b) to darken, grow black | BC |
| 72. கல | kala-ttal | DED.1092: to mix, unite in friendship; DED.1093: to appear, come into being, spread | Ind 188 |
| 73. கழற்று | kalarrru-tal | DED.1136: to unfasten, loosen,..., to take off | HH |
| 74. கழுவு | kaluvu-tal | DED.1154: to wash, purify | HH |
| 75. களவாண் | kalavāṇ(ṇu)-tal | DED.1156: kal, to rob, steal, deceive | HH |


| 76．கワ | kara－ttal | DED．1166：to milk，yield milk（as a cow），extort | Ind 188 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77．கன்று | kanriu－tal | DED．1186：to be scorched，sunburnt，melt（as the heart），become sore［．．．］，fade，become pale［．．．］ | CJB |
| 78．கா | kā－ttal | DED．1192：to preserve，shelter，watch，guard，ward off，rescue，wait for | HH，CJB |
| 79．காண் | kāṇ（nu）－tal | DED．1209：to see，consider，investigate，appear，become visible | HH，Ind 188，BC，CJB |
| 80．காய்ச்சு | kāyccu－tal | DED．1219：to cook | HH |
| 81．कட | kiṭa－ttal | DED．1277：to lie，lied own as in sleep，in inactivity | HH，Ind 188，GA／PB |
| 82．¢ூ，（b） | kūṭu－tal | DED．1562：to come together，join，meet，assemble，combine．．． | BC |
| 83．कூப்பு（6） | kūppuțu－tal | DED．－；MTL：－ | Ind 188 |
| 84．கெல்லு | kellu－tal | DED：－；MTL：to irritate or corrode and waste the stomach，as excessive stimulus | BC |
| 85．கேள் | kèl－tal | DED．1677－78：to hear，listen，learn，ask，inquire，question，investigate，require．．． | HH |
| 86．¢ொய் | koy－tal | DED．1763：to pluck | Ind 188 |
| 87．கொல் | kol（lu）－tal | DED．1772：to kill | HH，Ind 188，BC，CJB |
| 88．கொள் | kol（lu）－tal | DED．1788：to seize，receive，buy，acquire | HH，Ind 188，BC，CJB |
| 89．கோ | kō－ttal | DED．1468，1809：to string（as beads，flowers），insert，thread | HH |
| 90．சமை | camai－ttal | DED．1934：to create；to be made，constructed | HH |
| 91．（சர்ர／சார்） | － | DED． 2030 （ cār）：to reach，approach，depend upon，take shelter in，be near to，be associate or connected．． | GA／PB |
| 92．சா | cā－tal | DED．2002：to die，be spoiled or blighted（as crops），be exhausted | Ind 188 |
| 93．円ன | cina－ttal | DED．1332：to be enraged，be very angry，become red with inflammation | Ind 188 |
| 94．சு（b） | cuṭu－tal | DED．2183：to be hot，burn，warm；tr．heat，burn up，roast，toast，bake，fry．．． | Ind 188 |
| 95．சும | cuma－ttal | DED．2204：to become heavy as debt or interest，be burdened；bear，support．．． | Ind 188 |
| 96．சுழல் | culal（lu）－tal | DED．2223：to whirl，spin，rotate，roll，turn on axis（as wheel），revolve in an orbit | CJB |
| 97．சுற்று | curru－tal | DED．2238：to revolve，circulate，turn around，spin［．．．］ | CJB |
| 98．செதுக்ு | ceti（kku）－tal | DED． 2269 （Ma）：to be angry | BC |
| 99．செதுக்கு | cetu（kku）－tal | DED．2278：to lose lustre，shrink，be weak；cetukku that which is faded，dried as flowers | CJB |
| 100．செய் | cey－tal | DED．1628：to do，make | HH，Ind 188，CJB |
| 101．செரி | ceri－tal | DED：－；MTL：－ | BZ |
| 102．செரி | ceri－ttal | DED：－；MTL：to be digested | BZ |
| 103．செல்（ஆ） | cel（lu）－tal | DED．2286：to go，flow，pass，occur．．． | BC，CJB |
| 104．செலவழி | celavali－ttal | DED：no；MTL：intr．to be spent，used up，consumed；to die | HH |
| 105．ேே | cē－ttal | DED．1656：to dwell，lie，remain，sleep | CJB |
| 106．சேர் | cēr－tal | DED．2312：to become united，incorporated，joined together．．．｜｜to join，attach admix．．． | CJB |
| 107．சொல்லு | col（lu）－tal | DED．2335：to say，speak，tell，mention，utter，express | Ind 188，BC，CJB |
| 108．தக்கு | takku－tal | DED．2443：to stay，sojourn，abide，remain，be stable，firmly established，be retained in the mind，be stable | ．．．CJB |
| 109．தぁர் | takar－ttal | DED．2429：to break to pieces．．．$\\|$ to be broken into pieces．．． | HH |
| 110．தぁனி | takani－ttal | DED－；MTL：intr．to burn，consume by fire | Ind 188 |
| 111. தகு | taku－tal | DED．2435：to be fit，appropriate，suitable，proper［．．．］ | CJB |
| 112．தம்பு | tampu－tal | DED：－；MTL： $5^{\text {th }}$ ，to approach | CJB |
| 113．த15 | taru－tal | DED．2526：to give to 1st or 2nd person | BC，CJB |
| 114．தவழ் | taval－tal | DED．2535：to creep，crawl，as infants，lizards | CJB |


| 115.தவறு | tavaru | DED.2498: to slip, trip, fail, miscarry [...] | CJB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116.தவிர் | tavir-tal | DED.2539: intr. to abstain, refrain, to cease \\|| to cause to put away | HH, CJB |
| 117.தள்ளூ | tallu-tal | DED.2559: to push, force forward, shove away... | Ind 188, CJB |
| 118.தாரூ | tā-tal | DED.- MTL: to give | HH |
| 119.தாழ் | tāl-tal | DED.2597: to fall low, be lowered, be low (as a roof)... | CJB |
| 120. இிி | tiri-tal | DED.2655: to turn, revolve; tr. to turn, cause to revolve | HH, Ind 188 |
| 121. (ிிி | tiri-ttal | DED.2655: tr. to turn, cause to revolve | Ind 188 |
| 122. $\mathbf{\text { STC5}}$ | tiru(ku)-tal | DED.2655: to twist, turn, wring + intr. to be intense | GA/PB |
| 123. Sருட | tiruṭu-tal | DED.2658: to steal, rob, pilfer | CJB |
| 124. ¢ருத்து | tiruttu-tal | DED.2659: to correct, rectify, reform, mend... \|| tiruntu-tal: to be correct, perfect... | CJB |
| 125.8.9 | tira-ttal | DED.2667: to open | HH |
| 126.தன் | ting ${ }^{\text {nu }}$ ) -tal | DED.2670: to eat, chew, bite, gnash, eat away HH , Ind | 188, GA/PB, BC, CJB |
| 127.த்க்ுு | tīkku-tal | DED: - | CJB |
| 128. ¢ீர | tīr-tal | DED.2683: to leave, quit, finish...settle (dispute) to end, vanish, be completed, finished...solve | HH, CJB |
| 129.தும்மு | tummu-tal | DED.2740: to sneeze, breathe | CJB |
| 130.துள்ளு | tullu-tal | DED.2767: to leap, frisk, spring up, jump up | CJB |
| 131.துக்கு | tūkku-tal | DED.2777: (a) to hang, be suspended, swing, sway from side to side...to lift | CJB |
| 132. தேக்கு | tēkku-tal | DED.2843: to dink to the fill, be full, copious... | CJB |
| 133. தே(b) | tētu-tal | DED.2844: to seek, search for, inquire after, acquire [...] | CJB |
| 134. தேய் | tēy-ttal | DED. 2846: to rub, rub away, waste by rubbing | HH |
| 135. தேரு | teriu-tal | DED.2856: to be accepted as true, be clarified, made clear as water, be strenghtened [...] | CJB |
| 136.தை | tai-ttal | DED. 2858: to sew, stich, nail, fasten beams with nails, spikes | HH |
| 137.தொ(1) | totu-tal | DED.2865: to touch, come into contact with... | HH |
| 138. தொழு | tolu-tal | DED. 2904: to worship, adore, pay homage to | CJB |
| 139.நக்கு | nakku-tal | DED.2945: to lick | BC, CJB |
| 140.நசுக்ுு | nacukku-tal | DED.2949: naci, to be crushed; MTL: to squeeze, press with the hand, crush, squash, crumple, as paper | BZ |
| 141.நசுக்கு | nacukku-ttal | DED.2949: naci, to crush; MTL: | BZ |
| 142.நச்சு | naccu-tal | DED.2951: tr to desire, long for, like, love | CJB |
| 143.நち | naṭa-ttal | DED.2957: to walk, go, pass, proceed, happen | Ind 188, GA/PB, BC |
| 144.நலுக்கு | naṭukku-tal | DED.2950: to shiver, tremble, stutter; naṭunku-tal: to cause to shake, shiver, quiver tremble through fear | CJB |
| 145.நு\|்கு | naṭuñku-tal | DED.2950: to shake, shover, quiver, tremble through fear, stutter | CJB |
| 146.நாக்கு | nākku-tal | DED.3009: N , tongue; MTL: | BC |
| 147. நாள் போ | nā! pō | DED.3734: pō, to go, proceed, go away, reach a destination | HH |
| 148.நில் | nil-tal | DED.3043: to stand, stop, halt...wait | HH, Ind 188, BC, CJB |
| 149. நினை | ninai-ttal | DED.3050: to think, to resolve, to determine; to think, to consider | HH |
| 150.நீக்கு | nīkku-tal | DED.3052: to remove $<n \bar{l}$ to separate from | HH, BC, CJB |
| 151.நெய் | ney-tal | DED.3103: to wave as clothes, string, link together | Ind 188, BC, CJB |
| 152. நோ | nō-tal | DED.3143: to feel pain, ache, suffer... | BC |
| 153. நோக்கு | nōkku-tal | DED.3144: to see, look at, behold; MTL: caus, to reduce by eating | BC |


| 154.44 | pați-ttal | DED.3186: to occur, happen, come into existence | HH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155. (b) $^{\text {( }}$ | patu-tal | DED.3191: to suffer | HH, Ind 188, BC, CJB |
| 156.L(b) | pațu-ttal | DED.3191: to do, make, effect, catch, establish, entrust, cause to grow | CJB |
| 157. | pāṭu-tal | DED.3348: to sing, chant, warble, hum | HH |
| 158. படை | patai-ttal | DED.3191: to create, form produce | CJB |
| 159.பண்னு | paṇ(nu)-tal | DED.3209: to make, effect, produce, accomplish | CJB |
| 160. பல்கு | pal(u)ku-tal | DED.- ; MTL: to increase as in number or in quantity | HH, Ind 188 (paluku) |
| 161. ¢ $^{\text {g }}$ | para-ttal | DED. 3311: to hasten hurry \|| to fly, hover, flatter | Ind 188, CJB |
| $162 . \pm ற ை$ | parai-tal | DED.3318: to speak, say | HH |
| 163. $\frac{164}{}$ | pāți-ttal | DED.3348: to sing, chant, warble, hum | CJB |
| 164. பாய் | pāy-tal | DED.3362: to spring, leap, gallop, flow or gush out | HH, BC |
| 165. பார் | pār-ttal | DED.3666(a): to see, look at | HH, CJB |
| 166. பிுுங்ுு | pituñku-tal | DED: -; MTL: to pull out, pull off... | BC |
| 167. பிரள்ளு | piral(lu)-tal ${ }^{51}$ | DED: -; MTL: to roll over | BC |
| 168. பில | pila-ttal | DED: -; MTL: to strengthen, confirm | Ind 188 |
| 169. பிள | pila-ttal | DED.3446: to be split, cleaved; tr. to cleave | HH, Ind 188, GA/PB |
| 170. 1 ด\| | pira-ttal | DED.3622: to be born, be produced | HH, Ind 188, CJB |
| 171. பிறள் | piral(! ${ }^{\text {a }}$ u-tal or | iral-tal DED: N, another woman; MTL: intr. to roll over, or DED.3450: to tremble | BC |
| 172. 1 வி்னு | pinnu-tal | DED.3454: to plait, braid, lace \\|| to become united | CJB |
| 173.புகல் | pukal(lu)-tal | DED: - ; MTL: to say, declare, state; to desire; to learn; intr: to sound, rejoice | GA/PB |
| 174.4கு | puku-tal | DED. 3481: to reach, attain, enter, commence, go, ride, happen | CJB |
| 175.பூ | pū-ttal | DED.3564: to blossom, flower, bloom | CJB |
| 176.山ூது | pūtu-tal | DED: - ; MTL: to enter < intr. of pukutu | HH, Ind 188 |
| 177. பெய் | pey-tal | DED.3610: to rain, fall, pour down or into; tr. put, place, serve up | BC, CJB |
| 178. பெரு | peru-tal | DED.3612: to grow thick, large, stout, become numerous | HH, BC |
| 179. பெலு | pel(lu)-tal | DED: - ; MTL: tr. \& intr. to ease oneself | HH (?) |
| 180. பெறு | peru-tal | DED.3622: to get, obtain, beget, generate, bear | HH (?), Ind 188, CJB |
| 181. பேசு | pēcu-tal | DED.3269: to talk, speak | BC, СЈВ |
| 182. பொரு | poru-tal | DED.3708: to fight, engage in a battle | HH, CJB |
| 183. பொறு | poru-ttal | DED.3279: to bear, sustain [...], excuse; MTL: (+) intr. to be patient | HH |
| 184. போ | pō-tal | DED.3734: to go, proceed, go away, reach a destination | HH, Ind 188, BC, CJB |
| 185. போக்கு | pōkku-tal | DED.3734: to cause to go, send, complete, perform, pass or spend (as time), ruin, kill | CJB |
| 186. போ(1) | pōtu-tal | DED.3742: to ast down, cast away, put, set in a position | Ind 188, BC, CJB |
| 187.மकழ் | makil-tal | DED.3770: to rejoice, exult, forget oneself in joy | Ind 188 |
| 188. மடக்கு | maṭakku-tal | DED.3795: to bend as the arms or knees, draw in, fold, shut | BC |
| 189.மடை | matai-tal | DED.3810: N . small sluice of channel or stream, hole... | BC |
| 190.மண | maṇa-ttal | DED.3818: to be united, mingled, come together, happen, be fixed | BC |
| 191. L D | mara-ttal | DED.3897: to forget, neglect, disregard, put an end to, give up | Ind 188, BC, CJB |
| 192.மாஸ்(ளூ) | māl(ḷu)-tal | DED.3958: to die, perish, be exhausted, expanded or finished | CJB |


| 193. மிகு | miku-tal | DED.3962: to exceed, surpass, be in excess, grow, increase [...] | CJB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 194.மு(b) | muțu(kku)-tal | DED.4034: to urge, bring pressure on... | CJB |
| 195.முழு | mulu(ku)-tal | DED.4095: to be whole, entire | CJB |
| 196.eூ(b) | mūṭu-tal | DED: 4132: to cover, shroud, veil, hide, screen, obscure... | BC |
| 197. மெயுகு | meluku-tal | DED. 4169: to cleanse floor with cowdung solution, smear as the body with sandal paste, gloss over | Ind 188 |
| 198. மேய் | mēy-tal | DED.4179: to graze, feed | HH |
| 199. மேய் | mēy-ttal | DED.4179: to make, graze, feed | HH, BC |
| 200. மொய் | moy-ttal | DED.4025: to cover, enclose | CJB |
| 201. மொள்(ள) | mol(lu)-tal | DED.4209: to take in a vessel, as water. | HH, BC |
| 202. மு6 | muki-tal | DED.4005: to end, terminate, be finished | BZ |
| 203. மு豕 | muki-ttal | DED.4005: to finish, conclude, achieve, accomplish | BZ |
| 204. ம冂ரி | muri-tal | DED.4080: to bend, lack in strenght, be gentle; to curve; MTL: to break off; to perish; to be ruined | BZ |
| 205.முறி | muri-ttal | DED: -; MTL: to break off, snap off; to ruin; to defeat | BZ |
| 206. வணங்கு | vaṇañku-tal | DED.4281: to bend, worship | HH, СJB |
| 207.வரு | varu-tal | DED.4311: to come, happen, come into being, be known, become clear... | BC |
| 208. வலர் | valar-ttal | DED: - ; MTL: to cause to increase; valar-tal: to grow | HH |
| 209.வழுக்கு | valukku-tal | DED.4336: to slip, slide as in slippery places, err, commit a mistake, make an escape, be forgetful | Ind 188 |
| 210.வளக்கு | valakku-? | DED:-; MTL: - | BC |
| 211.வளர் | valar-tal | DED.4340: to grow, lenghten, be elongated, increase | HH, BC |
| 212. வளர் | vaḷar-ttal | DED.4340: to cause to increase | CJB |
| 213.வளை | valai-tal | DED.4384: to surround, hover around, walk around | BZ |
| 214.வளை | valai-ttal | DED.4384: to surround | BZ |
| 215.வா | vā-tal | DED: -; MTL: to come | HH |
| 216. வாங்கு | vān̄ku-tal | DED.4372: to receive, take, buy, get, obtain [...] | BC |
| 217.வார் | vār-ttal | DED.4387: to pour; cast (as metal in a mould) \|| to flow, trickle, overflow | HH |
| 218. வாரூ | vāru-tal | DED.4392: to take by handfuls, take in a sweep scoop, take in or grasp with avidity, gather | BC, CJB |
| 219.வாழ் | vā1-tal | DED.4402: 4th intr. to be, exist | CJB |
| 220. விசுவாச | vicuvāci-ttal | DED: -; MTL: one who has faith in God | HH, Ind 188, BC |
| 221.வி(ு) | viṭu-tal | DED.4419: to leave, quit, pat with, get rid of, abandon, forsake, let go, dispatch, send away... | Ind 188, GA/PB |
| 222. விரி | viri-ttal | DED.4438: to cause to expand, open, unfold \\|-tal 4th intr expand | CJB |
| 223. விரும்பு | virumpu-tal | DED.4443: to wish, desire, long for, covet, love | GA/PB |
| 224. வில் | vil-tal | DED.4448: to sell, put on sale, be sold | HH, Ind 188, BC |
| 225.விழு | vilu-tal | DED.4457: to fall, fall down, descend, fall sick, be destroyed | CJB |
| 226. விள்ளு | villu-tal | DED.4459-50: to open out, expand, unfold as a blossom, crack, split...vil | CJB |
| 227.வெட்டு | vetṭu-tal | DED.4507: to cut as with sword or axe, cut off, engrave, dig as a well | BC |
| 228. வெருள் | verul(ḷu)-tal | DED.4519: to be startled, perplexed, be frightened | CJB |
| 229.வெல்(ல) | vel(lu)-tal | DED.4522: to conquer, overcome, subdue; destroy, remove\| intr. excel | CB, CJB |
| 230.வெஸூ | velu-ttal | DED.4524: to become white or pale, dawn, become clear or manifes... | CJB |
| 231. வே | vē-tal | DED.4540: to burn, be hot, be scorched, be boiled, cooked | BC, CJB |

${ }^{1}$ When the Tamil example does not have a corresponding Portuguese gloss or if the meaning is uncertain, the English translation is written into round brackets.
${ }^{2}$ I am inclined to read HH's transcription as etu-tal rather than erru-tal despite the Portuguese translation allowing both readings.
${ }^{3}$ Both Vermeer (1982: 156) and Hein \& Rajam (2013: 135) provide the following forms for the reading of cath. The former interprets it as $k \bar{a} r$ 'to be vigilant', while the latter as $k \bar{a} r k a$ 'to watch over'. According to Hein \& Rajam the same form is also found in Henriques' Flos Sanctorum (1586) as well as in Henriques' Doctrina Christam em Lingua Malauar Tamul. Tambirān vaṇakkam printed in Quilon (1578: 9, e.g. kāttu).
${ }^{4}$ Not listed in Vermeer (1982).
${ }^{5}$ Vermeer (1982: 164) translates this form as 'to pass a sentence', while Hein \& Rajam (2013: 136) translate it as 'to render'.
${ }^{6}$ In Veermer (1982: 159) the corresponding form for etuva is 'to be adapted'.
${ }^{7}$ Hein \& Rajam (2013: 147) gives 'to beseech' as a translation for the Portuguese rogar.
${ }^{8}$ This is a dubious form that does not allow the identification of a Tamil correspondent. However, considering Henriques' transliteration criteria, I would be inclined to read it as parrrumarr $\boldsymbol{-}$ ukirē$\underline{\underline{n}}$, even though this does not resolve the problem.
${ }^{9}$ Henriques does not provide the Portuguese translation for this verb and Vermeer (1982: 162) does not give any correspondence, while Hein \& Rajam (2013: 149) translate it as 'to become familiar with; to practice', without, however, giving the correspondent Tamil verb. The solutions here are different. Considering two other Portuguese manuscripts: MS Ind 188 (approx. $17^{\text {th }}$ cent.) and AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-220, Right column, line 6) respectively, one finds: palukiratu glossed as multiplicarse 'to multiply', we can take it as pal(u)ku ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ). We would exclude palu-ttal ( $11^{\text {th }}$ ) not only because it does not correspond to Henriques' transliteration but also because it would not fit within the class that Henriques is describing.
${ }^{10}$ In AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-174, Right column, line 23) one finds mollukiratu: tirar agoa com naso. Ite' em algumas partes ourinar. Satiamangalão மொணடடன. [take water with the nose. Also in some parts it means to urinate. Satiamangalão]. Satiamangalão was a Jesuit province nearby Madurai. See also Hein \& Rajam (2013: 165 - footnote 330 ).
${ }^{11}$ In AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-244, Right column, line 11) one finds pelukiratu: cagar [to defecate]. See also Hein \& Rajam (2013: 165-footnote 329).
12 neruRRen may correspond to neruttukiratu: embrulharse (to get confused, to mix the sense of a word with another one) found in AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-198, Left column, line 19).
${ }^{13}$ This meaning is found in AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-168, Left column, line 2), although the first meaning is 'to graze'.
${ }^{14}$ In Vermeer (1982: 164) the corresponding meaning is 'to abuse, revile', in Hein \& Rajam (2013: 176) it is 'to dishonour'. We have taken it as 'to curse' according to the meaning found in AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-425, Right column, line 14): vaikiratu: chamar ruinidades [to call ruins].
${ }^{15}$ One possible solution for this form would be peru-tal as it is found in Vermeer (1982: 1639) who, however, translates peru as 'to experience, be affected' (DED.3622: to get), Hein \& Rajam (2013: 185) give perukirēn as 'I give birth', while in AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-246, Left column, line 8) one finds perukiratu: encherse [to fill], (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-246, Left column, line 10) pērukiratu: arrencarse, dizem caixars [pluck up; they say crates]; kārruppōukiratu: ventar, assoprar do vento pendadu [blow, blow from the ? wind]. According to the Corpus Lexicográfico do Português (henceforth, CLP) the term pairo, which is part of Henriques' translation, is a nautical term 'andar ao pairo. Ad ventos incertos vela'. It remains a dubious form.
${ }^{16}$ Hein \& Rajam (2013: 194) translate it as 'to behold'.
${ }^{17}$ The first conjugation in MS 188 includes all the verbs ending in -ikki-; -aikki; -yakki; as well as verbs ending in -ākkirēn.
${ }^{18}$ The meaning in the $16^{\text {th }}$ cent. for the word agastarse is: stomachor, aris, Indignar-se, encolerizar se, enfadar-se, saepe sine casu, interdum dat. vel accus. ut: Mihi stomachatus est, agastou-se contra mim. Omnia stomachatur, de tudo se enfada [to be indignant, to be angry, to be upset, often without a case, sometimes with dative or accusative: it annoyed me, he got upset with me, he got bored of everything] (in Obra Velez, Index totius artis, 1599?, de António Velez (1545-1509), cf. CLP at http://clp.dlc.ua.pt/DICIweb/default.asp?url=Concordancias).
${ }^{19}$ In AP (Ms 50 [ex MS 34], fol. Ms34-M- 248, Left column, line 26) the meaning for pila is: fortalecerse, tomar força [to strengthen, to take strength].
${ }^{20}$ The second conjugation in MS 188 includes verbs which have $u$, $r a$, or $r \bar{a}$ and form the preterite in ten. Exceptions to the second conjugation given in MS 188 are irukkirēn ( $4^{\text {th }}$ ), estar that form the past in -den, the future in ppen and nikkirēn ( $\left.3^{\text {rd }}\right)$, estar em pe [to stand] that form the past in -ntēen $[\underline{n} r \underline{e} \underline{n}]$ or $-\underline{n} \underline{n} \bar{n} \underline{n}$.
${ }^{21}$ The third conjugation in MS 188 includes verbs ending in -kirē$\underline{\underline{n}}$ or -yitukirē$\underline{\underline{n}}$ or -aikirē$\underline{n}$, and in the preterite they have -( $n$ )den and the future -ven. The exception are those verbs that have the preterite in -ten and that are not preceded by n: eykirēen, tirar frechas [to shoot arrows] (1 $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$; koykirēen, colhar [to pluck] ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$; ceykirēen, fazer [to do] (1 $1^{\text {st }}$ ); neykiriēen - tiver panes (?) [to have clothes?] ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ); vaikirēn-chamar mindade (?) [to call ruins] ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ).
${ }_{22}$ The fourth conjugation in MS 188 includes verbs ending in -lukiriēn, -lurēen that have $l$, $\underline{n}$, or $r$ before -kirēen. They form the preterite in -enrēn with the $\underline{r} u$, $r$, lu.
${ }^{23}$ For the translation of this word see Dalgado (1919: 140): bostear: v. t. é muito usado éste verbo na india Portuguesa, no sentido de 'barrar com bosta, embostar'. [it is largely used in Portuguese India, with the sense of to spread the cow's dung].
${ }^{24}$ The fifth conjugation in MS 188 includes verbs ending in -ukirēen or -irēen. They must not be preceded by $i, l$, and $r$, before $u$. They form the preterite in -inen, and the future in ven. The exceptions are: pūtukirēen, entrar [to enter] (13 $\left.{ }^{\text {th }}\right)$; perukirēn, alcancar (see footnote 15); cuṭukirēen, queimar [to burn] ( $\left.6^{\text {th }}\right)$; vitukirēen, deixar ou largar [to leave or to drop] ( $\left.6^{\text {th }}\right)$; itukirēen, meter [to place] ( $6^{\text {th }}$ );
 ending in - $\bar{a} k k i \underline{\underline{r}} \underline{\underline{n}}$ and $-u k k i \underline{r} \overline{\underline{n}} \underline{n}$ and in - $\dot{n} k i \underline{n} \bar{e} \underline{n}$ are also included. They all form the past in $\underline{n} \bar{e} \underline{n}$.
${ }^{25}$ MS Ind 188 does not provide a Portuguese gloss for this verb. However, I selected akal-tal rather than akal-tal because the former fits within the class of verbs that the author of MS Ind 188 was describing.
${ }^{26}$ The first rule in BC includes verbs that form the present in -icradu and the past in -ichen or -iten. He says that the last form is used by poets and Brahmans. There are two exceptions: ceti(kku) [?], $n \bar{i}(k k u)\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$, and nil $\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right)$. Other exception are related to the spoken variety. Considering the verb form given under this rule, it is possible to connect it with the verbs belonging to the 11 th class $(-$ kkir-/-tt-/-pp).
${ }^{27}$ The second rule includes verbs forming the present in -igradu and the past in -ngen or -ten that are referred to as typical among poets and Brahmans. Therefore, one would expect verbs belonging to the $4^{\text {th }}$ class ( $-k i \underline{r}-/-n t-/-v-$ ), however, this is not always the case
${ }^{28}$ The third rule includes verbs forming the present in -acradu, the past in - nden, and the future in - $p$. They correspond to verbs of the $12^{\text {th }}$ class (-kkir-/-nt-/-pp-). The only exceptions to this rule are represented by those active verbs that have 'n' in the neuter form, as happens in the $10^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ rules. Some examples are represented by: atakku ( $5^{\text {th }}$, causative); matakku ( $5^{\text {th }}$, causative); otukku ( $5^{\text {th }}$, causative). The verbs that should also be excluded from this rule are those that in front of $a$ have a single consonant such as: nakku-tal ( $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ tr.); näkku (?); kakku-tal ( $5^{\text {th }}$ tr.) and verbs such as valakku and maṇakku (maṇa?).
${ }^{29}$ The fourth rule includes verbs that form the present in -ógradu, the past in -nden, and the future in $-v$; as well as the verbs that form the present in -óccradu, the past in -inen, and the future in $-v$.
${ }^{30}$ The fifth rule includes verbs that form the present in -ugradu, the past in -inen, and the future in $-v$. This rule is applied without exception to all the verbs that in front of $-u$ have $-p(p)-,-t t$-, $-v$-, -$m-,-c(c)-$. BC includes here the verbs of the $5^{\text {th }}$ class (-kir---in-/-v-). The verb form $\bar{u} t t u k i \underline{r} a t u$ creates some problems since $\bar{u} t t u$ is a noun meaning $b l o w i n g$ while the verb form is $\bar{u} t u-t a l$. Consequently another option would be to read this form as ulattu but even as such it remains a problem since it is not found either in DED or in MTL.
${ }^{31}$ The sixth rule includes verbs that form the present in - ḷkiratu, the past in - nten, and the future in -v. Exceptions to this rule are the following verbs: arul(l?u)-tal ( $\left.5^{\text {th }}\right)$; alu-tal ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$ and those that geminate the $l l$ with the exception of kol(lu)-tal ( $\left.2^{\text {nd }}\right)$.
${ }^{32}$ The seventh rule refers to verbs that form the present in - lukiratu and the past in -nren; the only exception given is represented by the verb kellu-tal ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ).
${ }^{33}$ Verbs which follow the eighth rule form the present in -rukiratu, the past in -nden and the future in $-v$. Exceptions to this rule are found in those verbs that follow the fifth rule such as $v \bar{a} r u-t a l\left(5^{\text {th }}\right.$ ) which BC translates as ajustar com as maos o que cayo; ou està no chão [to adjust by hand what fell down or what is on the floor]; taru(kku)-tal ( $\left.5^{\text {th }}\right)$ meaning abaixarço [to go down]; peru-tal ( $\left.11^{\text {th }}\right)$; $k a r u(k k u)-t a l\left(5^{\text {th }}\right) ; \operatorname{aru}(k k u)-t a l\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$.
${ }^{34}$ Verbs that follow the ninth rule form the present in - tukiratu, the past in - $t \underline{t} \bar{e} \underline{\underline{n}}$ and the future in $-v$. Exceptions to this rule are found in those verbs that form the past in -ing such as $p \bar{o} t u-t a l\left(5^{\text {th }}\right.$ and $\left.6^{\text {th }}\right)$, $\bar{a}+u-t a l\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$, vettu-tal $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$, mūtu-tal $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$, kūtu-tal $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$.
${ }^{35}$ Verbs that follow the tenth rule, belonging to the fifth class, form the present in - $\dot{n} g r a d u$, the past in -inen and the future in $-v$. BC compares them to those verbs that follow the $12^{\text {th }}$ rule which he calls neutre. However, if the verbs have $\underline{n}$ rather than $\dot{n}$ as a final sound, they form the past in $-\underline{n} \underline{r}$ and the future in $-p$-, such as: $\operatorname{tin}(\underline{n} u)$ - $\operatorname{tal}\left(8^{\text {th }}\right)$; e $\underline{n}(\underline{n} u)$-tal $\left(8^{\text {th }}\right)$.
${ }^{36}$ Verbs that follow the eleventh rule form the present in -rkiratu, the past in -ten, and the future in -p. Exceptions are represented by the following verbs: nil-tal ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ ); morkiratu (mol?).
${ }^{37}$ The twelfth rule includes the correspondent active forms of the tenth rule which forms the present in -kkir, the past in iñēn and the future in -v such as: atakku-tal ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ); maṭakku-tal ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ); oṭukku-tal $5^{\text {th }}$ ).
${ }^{38}$ The first rule includes verbs ending in $y, r, i$, $u$, ai that form the past in -ttēn. The only exception is represented by irukkiratu (Beschi 1738: 50-51, §55; Mahon 1848: 44, § 55).
${ }^{39}$ Verbs in the second rule end in $a$ and form the past in andēn. Exceptions are monosyllabic verbs ending in -a that form the past in -kkine $\underline{n}$ such as nakkiratu ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ) from nakkukiratu and kakkiratu (5 $5^{\text {th }}$ ) from kakkukiratu.
${ }^{40}$ In regard to this form CJB says: "You need not except however maṇakkiratu, although another has written, that it has manakkinene which is not true: for it has, according to the general rule, manantēn". (Beschi 1738: 51, §56; Mahon 1848: 44, §56). It seems to me that he is referring to BC.
${ }^{41}$ These verbs are included in the third rule to show the gemination in the present tense morpheme. However, as CJB states, these verbs, which have a long syllable, form the past in -kkinen. Exceptions are represented by the following forms: pūkkiratu whose past is p $\bar{u} t t e \bar{n} \underline{n}$ because, according to CJB, it is not abbreviated by syncope; k $\bar{a} k k i \underline{i} a t u$ (to watch over) whose past is $k \bar{a} t t e \bar{n}$ " they cannot be written, as from ignorance most of the Tamilians do $=k \bar{a} r k k i \underline{r} a t u ~ c a ̄ r c r a d u, ~ f o r ~ w h e n ~ w r i t t e n ~ i n ~ t h i s ~ w a y ~ m e a n s ~ t o ~ c a u s e ~ a ~ c e r t a i n ~ w a r m t h ~ o f ~ t h e ~ t o n g u e, ~ s u c h ~ a s ~ p e p p e r ~ a n d ~ s i m i l a r ~ t h i n g s ~ o c c a s i o n, ~$ whence that warmth is called kāram, caram. Many verbs by syncope end in -kkiratu and in truth end in -kukiratu, all which, according to the rule which I shall presently give at number 50 , have in the preterite -kkin̄rēn. But these are generally verbs active from the neuter, which ends in -nkiratu. Thus, ațankiratu, to (be) shut > ațakkukiratu > ațakkiratu 'to shut' in active; otunkiratu 'to withdraw into a corner' > otukkukiratu > oṭukkiratu, 'to put into a corner'; naṭunkiratu, 'to tremble' > naṭu(kku)kiratu, 'to cause to tremble'; tïnkiratu, 'to flee' > tīkkukiratu > tikkiratu, 'to put to flight'. All these have in the preterite kkinēen. There are other which of themselves ought to end in kkukiratu: cetu(kku)kkiratu 'to scrape up grass'; muțu(kku)kkiratu 'to turn to flight'; atu(kku)kkiratu, 'to put one on another'. All which have the preterite in -kkin̄ē"" (Beschi 1738: 52-53, §§ 58-59; Mahon 1848: 45-46, §§ 58-59). Other verbs are $\bar{a} k k i \underline{i}$ atu ( $5^{\text {th }}$ caus.) and pōkkiratu ( $5^{\text {th }}$ caus.).
${ }^{42}$ The first rule includes verbs which have $\dot{n}$ in front of the -kiratu and form the preterite in kinēen such as vankiratu 'to venerate', ataikiratu 'to shut in', itankiratu 'to agree'. Other verbs under the first rule are those which have $n \boldsymbol{n}$ before -kiratu and form the past in - $t \bar{e} \underline{n}$ shortening the first syllable, such as unkiratu 'to devour', kānkiratu 'to see'. Finally, it also includes those verbs that have the consonant $\underline{n}$ in front of -kiratu that add $-\underline{r} e \underline{\underline{n}}$ in the past, such as tinkiratu 'to devour', enkiratu 'to say' (Beschi 1738: 53, § 59; Mahon 1848: 45-46, § 59).
${ }^{43}$ The only exception to the second rule is represented by $\overline{\underline{n}} u k i \underline{i} a t u$ which forms the preterite in -i$\underline{n} \underline{e} \bar{e} \underline{n}$. However, if the verb ending in $u$ is polysyllabic or monosyllabic but with a long vowel, the exceptions are represented by verbs such as pōtukiratu $\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$ whose past is pōttē$\underline{\underline{n}}$, or takukiratu ( $6^{\text {th }}$ and $\left.4^{\text {th }}\right)$ whose past is takkēn; paṭukiratu ( $6^{\text {th }}$ ) which forms the past in pattē$\underline{\underline{n}}$; perukiratu ( $6^{\text {th }}$ ) which
 pukukiratu $\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$ are exceptions since they have the past in -ntēn.
${ }^{44}$ Exceptions to the first class of the third rule are represented by the following verbs for which the past form is also given: arulukiratu ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ) which forms the past in arulinēen; villukiratu ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ ) which forms the past in villlinēn but also in vinṭēn and kollukiratu $\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ which always form the past in konte $\bar{n}$.
${ }^{45}$ Exceptions to the second class of the third rule are represented by vārukiratu ( $\left.5^{\text {th }}\right)$ which forms the past in vārinēen even though it also has vantēn; tarukiratu ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ) which forms the past in tantēn and porukiratu $\left(1^{\mathrm{st}}\right)$ which forms the past in poruntēn.
${ }^{46}$ An exception to the third class of the third rule is represented by the verb collukizatu ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ) which forms the past in conneen.

${ }^{48}$ Exceptions to the fourth rule are represented by verbs such as vaikiratu ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ) which forms the past in vaitē̄ ; peykiratu ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ) which forms the past in peytē $\underline{\text {; }}$; ceykiratu ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ) which forms the past in ceytēn; neykiratu ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ) which forms the past in neytēn "to which sometimes by the very lowest people, $\grave{\mathfrak{b}}$ is usually added" (Beschi 1738: 56, § 62; Mahon 1848: 47 , § 62).
${ }^{49}$ In BC this form is written as $\bar{a} l u$ as it is also found in AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-98, Right column, line 8): governar [to rule].
${ }^{50}$ In AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-285, Right column, line 20): vomitar dos animais, ao homen se aplica se botar sangre pella boca [vomiting of animals, it is applied to man if they shed blood by their mouth].
${ }^{51}$ In AP (MS 50 [ex MS 34], fol. M-34-258, Left column, line 28) the translation for this verb is espojarse that, according to CLP, is: andarse roçando sobre a terra. pulverare se. plin. in pulvere volutari, ou se volutare.§ espojarse com riso. lançarse, ou deixarse cahir no chaõ, bolindo com os pés, \& remexendose com a força do rir [walking scuffing / brushing along the land. Pulverize. Smack yourself with laughter. to launch oneself, or fall on the floor, kicking with one feets, \& stir by force of laughter].


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the adaptation of linguistic forms from variety A to variety B which occurs in the passage from Henrique Henriques' Arte to Antão de Proença's dictionary discussed in Chevillard (2021: 12, cf. Table 2).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Borg. Ind. 12 is a composite document made up of a printed book, that is the bilingual dictionary TamilPortuguese by Antão De Proença printed in 1679 and the manuscript Tamil grammar composed by Balthasar Da Costa which was copied in 1685 in Verapoli by the Desclace Carmelitan P. Paolo Francesco. The grammar is inserted within the Dictionary and is divided into two parts. The beginning section is placed at the end of the printed dictionary (after f. 247 v ) and it runs from f. 248 r to f. 259 v , while the final section (ff. $225 \mathrm{r}-243 \mathrm{r}$ ) is placed between f. 246 v and f .247 r of the dictionary.
    ${ }^{3}$ MS 50 is also a composite manuscript. Copied in 1670, it includes a manuscript version of Antão De Proença's dictionary along with Balthasar Da Costa's Tamil Arte.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Most examples in this section come from published sources, which are acknowledged in the text or the indented examples. Where no source is given, the examples are based on the author's personal knowledge.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Melćuk (2006: 242-244), in the framework of concepts, methodology, and formalisms of the Meaning-Text theory (ibid., 4-24), does not consider this form (b) as a voice morpheme or transitivization, but rather as a semantic inflectional category of affectedness.
    ${ }^{6}$ For a historical reconstruction of paired verbs in Proto-Dravidian see Krishnamurti (2003: 182-184; 279-291).

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Klaiman (1991) lists four voice types that are structurally defined: the basic voice which corresponds to the notion of voice developed for the classical languages and the derived voice which covers passives and antipassives. The other two types, labelled as pragmatic voice, are the "functional counterparts of her two structural voice types" (ibid.). Following Zúñiga's \& Kittilä's (2019: 41-43) definition of anticausative voice and analysis, example 9 could be considered as anticausative of 10 since the event is not caused intentionally and purposefully by a salient agent, while examples 5 and 7 would be non-prototypical anticausatives such as "involuntary agent constructions" (ibid. 43-47).
    ${ }^{8}$ The survey has also highlighted that the invariant strong verb has an effective or non-affective sense, while the sense of the semantically related invariant weak verb is affective (Klaiman 1991: 60).

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ In the second group of paired verbs there may be a homorganic nasal preceding the single obstruent (i.e. $v a \bar{n} k u$ ), although its distinguishing role is suspect since it appears in all parts of the verb system. For further discussions from a comparative perspective see Paramsivam (1979: 7-8).
    ${ }^{10}$ Regarding this third group, Paramasivam (1979: 9-10) states that "there are reasons to suspect that the single/geminated obstruent distinction was made in the affix-initial position at an earlier stage of the language and that the geminated obstruent came to be re-analysed as part of the base". The single obstruent ( $/ \mathrm{k} /$ or $/ \mathrm{t} /$ ) occurs in the affix initial-position in cel while the geminated corresponding / $\mathrm{tt} /$ occurs in the base-final position for celuttu, a verb base that ends in an overshortened $u$ (kurriyal ukaram) which is the same ending that characterises the verbs of the second group (b). The kurriyal ukaram, a back high vowel further reduced in length, occurs in phonological contexts in which it is immediately preceded by a single obstruent but not by a single short monosyllable. It elides if followed by an affix beginning with a vowel and it remains if it is followed by a consonant.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ Graul wrote Tamulica seu Opera Praecipua Tamuliensium (1854-1865), a work in 4 volumes which was published after his death by his student Wihlelm Germann (1840-1902).

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ It is a grammatical treatise divided into three books which comprises 1300 sūtras. The first book deals with the sound of the Tamil language and the phonological changes which sound undergoes in sandhi (internal and external), the second book deals with words, and the third with the language of poetry.
    ${ }^{13}$ Considering the translation of Cēnāvaraiyar by Chevillard (1996), in Tol. verbs (vinai iyal) are discussed in the second book on col (words) (sūtras 198-248) where there is also a reference to particles related to tenses (kālam), namely past (írappu), present (nikal), and future (etir) (Tol. sūtras 198, 199, 200) also mentioned in the book on itaic col (i.e. Chevillard 1996: 387, § 250). If compared with the Tol., the Nan. has a few more details about verb conjugation (cf. Subramanya Sastri 1934: 168). A few relevant remarks are also found in the commentary on Tol. composed by Cēnāvaraiyar (13th-14th cent.) (cf. Chevillard 2013: 16-17).
    ${ }^{14}$ Indeed, as Chevillard (1993: 306) underlines "... la morphologie verbale temporelle ne sera pas exposée dans le Tolkāppiyam et que nous devrons nous contenter d'explications sur le finales". [... the temporal verb morphology will not be exposed in the Tolkāppiyam and that we will have to content ourselves with explanations on the finals].

[^8]:    ${ }^{15}$ This term is typical in the Latin grammatical tradition, it is attested in different Latin authors like Donato, Prisciano, Carisio, to mention but a few. This term is used in HH for discussing sub-classes of the main parts of speech, among which the verb conjugations of Tamil (see § 5.1).
    ${ }^{16}$ The same terminology is also found in manuscript no. 3 in Table 1 which is GA/PB's Arte while it is never used by BC who uses the verb acrescentar (augmenting, adding, increasing) rather than the noun creçencias (augments, additions).
    ${ }^{17}$ The stamp found in the title page suggests that the manuscript came into the collection not earlier than the first half of the 18th century. For further details on this manuscript see BnF Indien 188: On Tamil Language by E. Francis \& C. Muru available at https://tst.hypotheses.org/426.

[^9]:    ${ }^{18}$ As per manuscript no. 5 (cf. Table 1), Álvares (1573) should still be taken as model of reference but not as the direct source who inspired BZ, but rather the model of reference for the grammar that BZ worte (see Jeyaraj 2010). In so doing he extended it in some areas and elaborated on some of BC's interpretations (infra).

[^10]:    ${ }^{19}$ Barros (1540: ff. 18r-v) states that Latin has verbs divided into Personal and Impersonal which all have eight açidentes: genero, especial, futura, tempo, módo, pessoa, numero, coniugaçam. The personal verbs have five genders (active, passive, neuter, common, and deponent) while the Portuguese language only has two of these: the active and the neuter. The active verbs are those which can be converted into the passive mood and through which we define those activities which pass into another thing which are encoded by the accusative case. By contrast, the neuter verbs (in Portuguese) are those which cannot form the passive and whose action does not pass into another thing, thus I stay, I go, I come, I remain. With regard to the Impersonal verbs, Barros (1540: 19r) states that "Chamam os latinos verbo Impesoál, todo aquelle q(ue) se coniuga pelas terceiras pessoas do número do singulár, e nam tem primeira nẽ segunda pessoa. Estes verbos impesoáes, sam em duas maneiras, a hũus chamama da vóz autiva, e outros da vóz passiva ... os verbos impesoáes da vóz passiva, açerca dos latinos sempre denótam auçam cõ generalidáde de obrar: e própriamente vem de todo los verbos neutros.ausolutos". [Latins call impersonal those verbs which are conjugated from the third person singular and lack the first and second person. These impersonal verbs may be of two kinds: we call one (of these kinds) active voice, the other - passive voice. ... The impersonal verbs of the passive voice, among Latins, always denote action with a generalisation about the action (obrar) and they are properly derived from the neuter verbs. Absolutes. Translation from Portuguese into English is mine] (Barros 1540: 19r).

[^11]:    ${ }^{20}$ In fact, verbs of the $11^{\text {th }}$ class have the geminated $-t t$ - in the past tense, those of the $12^{\text {th }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ class have the allomorph -nt-, while those of the $5^{\text {th }}$ class have the allomorph -in-.

[^12]:    21 "Mas como os verbos em cradu são muito vários, assi os præteritos, a formações delles nem se pode dar regra geral, que valha em todos" (MS Cod. Orient. 283, f. 40v, lines 4-6). [But since the verbs (ending) in cradu vary a lot, as do their preterites, it is not possible to provide a general rule under which to include all (the verbs)]. GA/PB states that more details about their formation will be given in the treatise on the preterite that, however, is not included in manuscript Cod. Orient. 283.

[^13]:    ${ }^{22}$ One cannot be sure that he is referring to HH specifically, however the link is evident, since HH treated what GA/PB defines neuter as passive verbs.

[^14]:    ${ }^{23}$ Comparing BC's and BZ's works, one may notice that the latter inserted new verbs forms and the following changes when discussing about the formation of Tenses (Jeyaraj 2010: 125-134): BC's $10^{\text {th }}$ rule becomes the $6^{\text {th }}$, the $11^{\text {th }}$ rule becomes the $7^{\text {th }}$, the $12^{\text {th }}$ rule becomes the $8^{\text {th }}$. Furthermore, BZ misinterprets BC's second exception of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ rule and the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ rules that BZ differentiated on the basis of the endings in $e$ and $\bar{e} ; o$ and $\bar{o}$, while BC was focussing on geminated vs. single voiceless velar obstruent (for BZ's verb rules see Jeyaraj 2010: 128-129).
    ${ }^{24}$ Jeyaraj has identified in the British Library (OC Sloane 3003) an incomplete manuscript of BC's Arte which is mentioned in Ayscough's catalogue (1782: 710): "3003: Arte Tamulica; i.e. Grammatica Linguæ Malabaricæ, verbis Lusitanicis explicate".

[^15]:    ${ }^{25}$ In this case BC interprets the function of the reflexive auxiliary kol as a way of distinguishing between active vs. passive voice at a semantic level rather than at a morphological one, since the verb form does not display any variation.
    ${ }^{26}$ The term effectivos appears at f. 234v in MS Borg. Ind. 12, the term affectivos appears in f. 28 v of MS 66 and on f . M-15-56 of MS 16 from the State Library in Goa. This difference among manuscripts of BC's Arte raises the problem of identifying which is BC's original manuscript-if there is one among those accessible- and which are later copies. This topic is not discussed here further, but is explored, in more detail, in the introduction to my translation of BC's Arte.
    ${ }^{27}$ For a discussion of the use of affectus/affectio for addressing 'emotions' see Haskell et al. (2016: 521-543).

[^16]:    ${ }^{28}$ There is only one verb included in this conjugation that does not belong to the $12^{\text {th }}$ class: para-ttal 'to fly' since it belongs to the $4^{\text {th }}$ class.

[^17]:    ${ }^{29}$ See endnote 39 in Appendix 2.
    ${ }^{30}$ CJB (Beschi 1738: 51, parag. 56, Mahon 1848: 44) points out that these verbs are syncopate forms which h0ave lost the syllable $k u$ before the present tense: nakkiratu < nakkukiratu, pst = nakkinēñ. CJB states that, in order to understand whether a verb has undergone a syncope, one should check the imperative. He is referring here to paired verbs. See endnote 40 in Appendix 2.
    ${ }^{31}$ Verb forms that end in ṭu, ku, reu (i.e. muṭu, tiru, tavaru), or in single long syllable (i.e. êku), or in a geminated consonant (i.e. takku) also take in in the past; but if there is only a short syllable preceding the $t \underline{u}$, $k u$, $\underline{r} u$ (i.e. naku, patu, peru) thus they form the past dropping the ' $u$ ' and doubling the final consonant.
    ${ }^{32}$ The $39 \%$ of CJB's verb forms that are listed in Appendix 2, section E, is also found in the other manuscripts discussed in this article. Among them, $37 \%$ of the shared forms are found only in BC's grammar (cf. Appendix 2 , section D).

[^18]:    ${ }^{33}$ As Benedetti (2001: 227) points out, attempts were made by the Greeks to find a parallelism between the verb diathesis and the nominal gender. Thus, neuter verbs are associated with neuter nouns which are unable to designate gender. The neuter verbs do not have either the actor or the patient of the verb, thus they differ from the active and the passive verbs.
    ${ }^{34}$ "Le genre dans les verbes, c'èst-à-dire l'espèce ou l'affectus ou la significatio, est divisé en neuf sortes: l'actif, le passif, le déponent, le neutre, le commun, l'inchoatif, le défectif, le fréquentatif, l'impersonnel" [the gender among verbs, namely the type or the affectus or the significatio, is divided into nine types: active, passive, deponent, neuter, common, inchoative, defective, frequentative, and impersonal] (Stoppie, Swigger, \& Wouters 2007: 211).
    ${ }^{35}$ The verb gender in the Greek tradition is the diathesis, the Latin correspondent for the Greek term, which was introduced by Quintilian, is genus or significatio. Before that time, the word adfectus also used by GA/PB in his Tamil grammar, had been used by Latin grammarians like Macrobio, Sacerdote, and Prisciano (Benedetti 2001: 224-226). Until the 4th century it was not an autonomous category and it is interpreted both morphologically and semantically, where the formal criteria based on inflection is preeminent. In fact, traditional grammars consider also the inchoative, the defective, the frequentative, and the impersonal as part of the genera verborum. From the 4th century onwards, frequentative and inchoative verbs are no longer considered part of the verb genus since they are considered a pure inflectional category. For a detailed discussion on the development of genera verborum in the Roman grammatical tradition see Hovdhaugen (1986: 315-329; 1987: 133-147).
    ${ }^{36}$ In Álvares (1572) active verbs are those which mean some kind of action and which can be transformed into the passive by adding a final -or, while the neuters are those which cannot be converted into the passive. He also specifies that the sixth order of the neuter verbs means passion and that they are called passive neuter.

