



Sinergie
SIMA
Management
Conference



Grand challenges: companies and universities working for a better society

Extended Abstracts

University of Pisa - Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Pisa

September 7-8, 2020

Electronic Conference Proceedings of Sinergie - Sima Management Conference
Grand challenges: companies and universities working for a better society Pisa, 7-8 September
2020
University of Pisa - Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Pisa

ISBN 97888943937-6-7

Gli Electronic Conference Proceeding sono pubblicati *online* sul portale di Sinergie Italian Journal
of Management
<http://www.sijm.it>

© 2020 FONDAZIONE CUEIM
Via Interrato dell'Acqua Morta, 26
37129 Verona - Italy



**Grand challenges:
companies and universities working
for a better society**

7-8 September 2020

Electronic Conference Proceedings

Extended Abstracts

a cura di

Sandro Castaldo, Elisa Giuliani, Marco Frey e Marta Ugolini

Conference chairs

SANDRO CASTALDO *Bocconi University*
MARCO FREY *Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna*
ELISA GIULIANI *University of Pisa*
MARTA UGOLINI *University of Verona*

Former Chairs

CLAUDIO BACCARANI *University of Verona*
GAETANO M. GOLINELLI *Sapienza University of Roma*

Scientific and international coordination

ANGELO BONFANTI *University of Verona*
DANIELE DALLI *University of Pisa*
ARABELLA MOCCIARO LI DESTRI *University of Palermo*
ANDREA PICCALUGA *Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa*

Scientific committee

FEDERICO BRUNETTI *University of Verona*
LUIGINO BRUNI *LUMSA, Roma*
FRANCESCA CABIDDU *University of Cagliari*
MARIO CALDERINI *Politecnico di Milano*
MICHELE CANO *University of West Scotland, UK*
PEGGY CHAUDRY *Villanova University, USA*
MARIA COLURCIO *University of Catanzaro*
VALENTINA DE MARCHI *University Padova*
IRENE HENRIQUES *York University, Canada*
CHARLES HOFACKER *Florida State University, Usa*
GENNARO IASEVOLI *LUMSA, Roma*
EMANUELE INVERNIZZI *IULM University, Milano*
BEATRICE LUCERI *University of Parma*
ALBERTO MATTIACCI *Sapienza University of Roma*
PATRICIA MOURA E Sa *University of Coimbra, Portugal*
MARIA ROSARIA NAPOLITANO *University of Napoli Parthenope*
ANTIGONI PAPADIMITRIOU *Western Kentucky University, Usa*
ROBERTO PARENTE *University of Salerno*
ALBERTO PASTORE *Sapienza University of Roma*
TONINO PENCARELLI *University of Urbino Carlo Bo*
ALESSANDRA PERRI *Ca' Foscari University of Venice*
FRANCESCO RIZZI *University of Perugia*
FRANCESCO RULLANI *Ca' Foscari University of Venice*
ELITA SCHILLACI *University of Catania*
PHILIP SHAPIRA *Alliance Manchester Business School, UK;*
Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
PAOLA SIGNORI *University of Verona*
ANNALISA TUNISINI *University Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Milano*
ALFONSO VARGAS *University of Huelva, Spain*
TIZIANO VESCOVI *Ca' Foscari University of Venice*
DONATA VIANELLI *University of Trieste*
ANTONELLA ZUCHELLA *University of Pavia*

Organizing committee

ANTONELLA ANGELINI

ELEONORA ANNUNZIATA

MATTEO CORCIOLANI

ALBERTO DI MININ

ALESSANDRO GANDOLFO

CRISTINA MARULLO

FEDERICA NIERI

University of Pisa

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa

University of Pisa

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa

University of Pisa

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa

University of Pisa

Editorial staff

FABIO CASSIA AND NICOLA COBELLI

LAURA CIARMELA

ADA ROSSI

ADELE FERRAGAMO

University of Verona

(laura.ciarmela@sinergieweb.it)

(redazione@sinergieweb.it)

(segreteria@societamanagement

Registration and invoicing

ANNALISA ANDRIOLO

(amministrazione@sinergieweb.it)

**La Direzione e il Comitato Scientifico del Convegno di Sinergie
sono riconoscenti ai Referee che hanno collaborato
al processo di *peer review* dei paper**

TINDARA ABBATE	<i>Università di Messina</i>
BARBARA AQUILANI	<i>Università della Tuscia</i>
DANIELA BAGLIERI	<i>Università di Messina</i>
ANTHONY BUONO	<i>Bentley University</i>
MARIA ROSITA CAGNINA	<i>Università di Udine</i>
ELENA CANDELO	<i>Università di Torino</i>
ROSSELLA CANESTRINO	<i>Università di Napoli Parthenope</i>
LUIGI CANTONE	<i>Università di Napoli Federico II</i>
FRANCESCO CAPONE	<i>Università di Firenze</i>
MARIA COLURCIO	<i>Università Magna Grecia di Catanzano</i>
LAURA COSTANZO	<i>University of Southampton</i>
ALESSANDRA COZZOLINO	<i>Sapienza Università di Roma</i>
GIORGIA D'ALLURA	<i>Università di Catania</i>
AUGUSTO D'AMICO	<i>Università di Messina</i>
ALFREDO DE MASSIS	<i>Università di Bolzano</i>
GIACOMO DEL CHIAPPA	<i>Università di Sassari</i>
SONIA FERRARI	<i>Università della Calabria</i>
MARIA ANTONELLA FERRI	<i>Universitas Mercatorum</i>
FULVIO FORTEZZA	<i>Università di Ferrara</i>
ALBERTO GRANDO	<i>Università Commerciale "Luigi Bocconi"</i>
GENNARO IASEVOLI	<i>Università Lumsa di Roma</i>
FRANCESCO IZZO	<i>Università degli Studi della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli</i>
TIZIANA LA ROCCA	<i>Università di Messina</i>
BEATRICE LUCERI	<i>Università di Parma</i>
VITTORIA MARINO	<i>Università di Salerno</i>
JACQUES MARTIN	<i>Universite' Du Sud Toulon-Var</i>
PIERO MASTROBERARDINO	<i>Università di Foggia</i>
MICHELA MATARAZZO	<i>Università del Sannio</i>
ALESSANDRA MAZZEI	<i>Libera Università di Lingue e Comunicazione IULM</i>
LAURA MICHELINI	<i>Università Lumsa di Roma</i>
PAOLA PANICCIA	<i>Università di Roma Tor Vergata</i>
ANTIGONI PAPADIMITRIOU	<i>Johns Hopkins School of Education, Baltimore, Western Kentucky University, USA</i>
ALBERTO PASTORE	<i>Sapienza Università di Roma</i>
GIOVANNA PEGAN	<i>Università di Trieste</i>
ANNA CLAUDIA PELLICELLI	<i>Università di Torino</i>
LUCA PETRUZZELLIS	<i>Università di Bari</i>
TOMMASO PUCCI	<i>Università di Siena</i>
YOSSI RAANAN	<i>Levinsky College of Education, Yaffa-Tel Aviv, Israel</i>
ANGELO RIVIEZZO	<i>Università del Sannio</i>
MARCELLO SANSONE	<i>Università di Cassino e del Lazio Meridionale</i>
FRANCESCO SCHIAVONE	<i>Università di Napoli Parthenope</i>
ALFONSO SIANO	<i>Università di Salerno</i>
PIERPAOLO SINGER	<i>Università di Salerno</i>
ERNESTO TAVOLETTI	<i>Università di Macerata</i>
ANTONIO TENCATI	<i>Università di Brescia</i>
FRANCESCO TESTA	<i>Sant'Anna Scuola Universitaria Superiore Pisa</i>

ROBERTA TRESCA
ANNALISA TUNISINI
MARIA VERNUCCIO
ROBERTO VONA
VINCENZO ZAMPI
LORENZO ZANNI

Università di Chieti e Pescara
Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore
Sapienza Università di Roma
Università di Napoli Federico II
Università di Firenze
Università di Siena

Al Lettore,

questo volume accoglie gli extended abstract del Convegno Sinergie-SIMA 2020, dal titolo *Grand challenges: Companies and Universities working for a better society*, Università di Pisa, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa, 7-8 settembre 2020.

Le società contemporanee si trovano di fronte a un bivio: da un lato i governi sono sotto pressione per raggiungere obiettivi ambiziosi di crescita economica, dall'altro tale crescita alimenta complesse sfide ambientali e sociali, parte degli obiettivi di sviluppo sostenibile, o Agenda 2030, delle Nazioni Unite. Ciò spinge verso un ripensamento del capitalismo così come tradizionalmente inteso.

Lo scopo del Convegno è di discutere del ruolo delle imprese e dell'università per affrontare queste sfide. Per quanto riguarda le imprese, un focus particolare è rivolto agli impatti positivi che esse possono esercitare sulla società e sull'ambiente attraverso varie iniziative: dagli investimenti responsabili al coinvolgimento degli stakeholder per affrontare rilevanti problematiche sociali. Altrettanto articolato è il contributo che le università possono offrire attraverso le proprie attività di ricerca, formazione e terza missione.

Gli Extended Abstract raccontati in questo volume affrontano la tematica con una varietà di argomenti, punti di vista, prospettive.

Vengono altresì proposti studi e ricerche sul più ampio e generale capo del management, cui spetta un ruolo da protagonista anche al di fuori delle imprese.

Sandro Castaldo, Elisa Giuliani, Marco Frey e Marta Ugolini

Cari Lettori e Convegnisti,

il *call for paper* del Convegno Sinergie-SIMA 2020 Conference dal titolo *Grand challenges: companies and universities working for a better society* ha previsto la possibilità di presentare *extended abstract* oppure *full paper*. In totale sono pervenuti in redazione 113 *extended abstract* e 35 *full paper*.

Per gli *extended abstract*, la valutazione dei contributi ricevuti è stata operata dai Chair e dal coordinamento scientifico in base alla coerenza con il tema del Convegno e/o con gli studi di management secondo l'articolazione dei Gruppi Tematici SIMA. Sono state altresì valutate la chiarezza e la rilevanza (anche potenziale) dei contenuti proposti.

Per i *full paper*, la procedura di valutazione dei contributi è stata condotta secondo il meccanismo della *peer review* da parte di due referee anonimi, docenti universitari ed esperti dell'argomento, scelti all'interno dei soci SIMA e della comunità di Sinergie.

In particolare, nella valutazione dei contributi i referee hanno seguito i seguenti criteri:

- chiarezza degli obiettivi di ricerca,
- correttezza dell'impostazione metodologica,
- coerenza dei contenuti proposti con il tema/track del convegno e/o con gli studi di management,
- contributo di originalità/innovatività,
- rilevanza in relazione al tema/track del convegno e/o agli studi di management,
- chiarezza espositiva,
- significatività della base bibliografica.

L'esito del referaggio ha portato a situazioni di accettazione integrale, accettazione con suggerimenti e non accettazione. In caso di giudizio discordante la decisione è stata affidata ai Chair. Ogni lavoro è stato poi rinviato agli Autori completo delle schede di referaggio per la attuazione delle modifiche suggerite dai referee.

A seguito del processo di valutazione sono stati accettati 23 *full paper* e 111 *extended abstract*, pubblicati in due distinti volumi.

Tutti gli *extended abstract* di questo volume sono stati presentati e discussi durante il Convegno e pubblicati *online* sul portale della rivista Sinergie (www.sijm.it). Quest'anno sono anche disponibili on line i video con le presentazioni registrate dagli Autori.

Nel ringraziare tutti gli Autori per la collaborazione ci auguriamo che questo volume contribuisca a fornire un avanzamento di conoscenze sul ruolo che le imprese e l'università possono svolgere per conciliare la crescita economica e la necessità di affrontare le complesse sfide globali ambientali e sociali.

I Chair e il Coordinamento Scientifico

*Marco Frey, Elisa Giuliani, Marta Ugolini, Sandro Castaldo,
Arabella Mocchiari Li Destri, Angelo Bonfanti*

INDICE

<i>To go digital or not to go digital? the influence of board's digital expertise on strategic change of the firm</i> CHIARA ACCIARINI, PAOLO BOCCARDELLI, ENZO PERUFFO	PAG.	1
<i>New business ventures coping with COVID-19: the case of the MENA region</i> JAMAL ALOSTAZ, GIAN LUCA GREGORI, ANDREA SABATINI, ALESSANDRO CINTI, ANDREA PERNA, FARIS ALOSTAZ	“	7
<i>Food literacy and food purchase behaviour</i> ALESSIA AMATO, ANDREA BAZZANI, UGO FARAGUNA, LEOPOLDO TRIESTE, GIUSEPPE TURCHETTI	“	11
<i>Declinazione dell'Agenda 2030 a livello locale. Il contributo dei FridaysForFuture al processo di istituzionalizzazione</i> NORA ANNESI, MASSIMO BATTAGLIA, PATRIZIA GRAGNANI, FABIO IRALDO	“	19
<i>The interplay of business models with sustainable innovations: evidence from Italian SMEs in the energy sector</i> ELEONORA ANNUNZIATA, FRANCESCO RIZZI, MARCO FREY	“	25
<i>Network collaborativi di trasferimento tecnologico dall'Università all'Industria per la sostenibilità</i> SILVIA BAIOTTO, FRANCESCO SCAFARTO, PAOLA M.A. PANICCIA	“	31
<i>Exploring the main drivers of academic frustration: a systematic scale development</i> MARCO BALZANO, GUIDO BORTOLUZZI, MBIEKE STEPHEN NDULA	“	37
<i>Do women promote environmental sustainability? A European patent analysis</i> MARIASOLE BANNÒ, MARCO TRAVERSI, GRAZIANO COLLER	“	43
<i>Fair Trade and Universities: the case of certified fairtrade Universities in the UK</i> ROSSELLA BARATTA, FRANCESCA SIMEONI	“	51
<i>Marketing GI products in the digital age. An exploratory study</i> CHIARA BARTOLI	“	59
<i>Social innovation, community based tourism and place attachment. First insights from two Italian Experiences</i> GIANPAOLO BASILE, MARIO TANI, MAURO SCIARELLI, MARIA ANTONELLA FERRI	“	65
<i>Una systematic literature review del turismo nautico: una prospettiva economico-manageriale</i> CLARA BENEVOLO, RICCARDO SPINELLI, AGNESE CARUSO	“	73
<i>Distinctiveness in rural tourism: the case of Val d'Orcia</i> GIAIME BERTI, NICOLA BELLINI	“	79
<i>SMEs @ Industry 4.0: A comparison between top and average performers</i> MARCO BETTIOL, MAURO CAPESTRO, ELEONORA DI MARIA, STEFANO MICELLI	“	85
<i>Key Performance Indicators per una rete oncologica regionale. Verso modello multilivello</i> ATTILIO BIANCHI, ANDREA CAPORUSCIO, LUCA CATALDO, EGIDIO CELENTANO, ANNA CRISPO, MARIA GRIMALDI, DANIELE LEONE, GIORGIO LIGUORI, SANDRO PIGNATA, GIORGIA RIVIECCIO, FRANCESCO SCHIAVONE, MICHELE SIMONI, CRISTINA THIEBAUD	“	93
<i>keyword research analysis: a new methodological approach to brand positioning evaluation</i> MICHELLE BONERA, ALESSANDRO BIGI, ALESSANDRA CAVALLI	“	99
<i>How to keep the momentum and support the Italian NHS adopting innovation and delivering innovative services</i> PAOLA ROBERTA BOSCOLO, LAURA GIUDICE, ERIKA MALLARINI, VALERIA RAPPINI	“	105

<i>The impact of Covid-19 emergency on live performing arts: Teatro Coccia in Novara and its supply chain</i>	PAG.	111
CLEMENTINA BRUNO, FABRIZIO ERBETTA, GIOVANNI FRAQUELLI		
<i>Understanding community enterprise through the humane entrepreneurship lens</i>	“	115
NICOLETTA BURATTI, CÉCILE SILLIG, MASSIMO ALBANESE		
<i>Lo stakeholder engagement tramite l'utilizzo della piattaforma Instagram: un caso studio per l'analisi e le implicazioni del processo di engagement</i>	“	121
IRIS BURGIA		
<i>Rating di legalità e rating d'impresa a supporto della cultura della legalità. Un inquadramento concettuale</i>	“	127
IRENE BUZZI, ETTORE D'ASCOLI		
<i>Ecosistemi di innovazione: il contributo di EIT Health allo sviluppo di partenariati pan-europei dinamici</i>	“	135
NUNZIA CAPOBIANCO		
<i>Raccolta di fondi nel crowdfunding reward-based: il ruolo delle tipologie di prodotto</i>	“	141
FRANCESCO CAPPÀ, STEFANO FRANCO, EDOARDO FERRUCCI, RICCARDO MAIOLINI		
<i>Reti di imprese e innovazione nei servizi turistici. Il caso di Rimini</i>	“	147
GIUSEPPE CAPPIELLO, VALENTINA MORANDO, MANUELA PRESUTTI, MARCO VISENTIN		
<i>The impact of “forced” and “massive” smart-working on the innovative work behavior and creativity of employees. Empirical evidence during the COVID-19 emergency</i>	“	153
GRAZIA CARLATTI COSTA, GUIDO BORTOLUZZI		
<i>“SalinellaPelle” un progetto di creating shared value per la città di Taranto</i>	“	159
DANIELA CAVALLO		
<i>Covid-19: crisis management experiences in the private healthcare industry</i>	“	165
MARIANNA CAVAZZA, MARIO DEL VECCHIO, LORENZO FENECH, LAURA GIUDICE, ERIKA MALLARINI, LUIGI M. PRETI, VALERIA RAPPINI		
<i>Reconcilable differences? On the relation between social and economic goals and the importance of process goals</i>	“	173
VALERIA CAVOTTA, ELISA VILLANI, JOHANNA MAIR		
<i>Supply chains network during a global crisis: Covid-19 emerging challenges</i>	“	177
ALESSANDRO CINTI, ANDREA SABATINI, GIAN LUCA GREGORI		
<i>Sustainability, innovation and biological technology in wine production: an empirical analysis</i>	“	185
NICOLA COBELLI, MARGHERITA ANGIONI, FABIO MUSSO		
<i>An exploration of determinants of tourist experience in the Albergo Diffuso. An Italian case study</i>	“	191
CRISTIANA COMPAGNO, MICHELA C. MASON, FRANCESCO RAGGIOTTO		
<i>Transgenerational succession in long standing family firms: the “Huey, Dewey and Louie” pattern</i>	“	197
ELISA CONZ, GIOVANNA MAGNANI		
<i>Relationship selling and marketing automation during the Covid-19 Pandemic: A cross-sectional analysis</i>	“	203
DANIELA CORSARO, ISABELLA MAGGIONI, MIRKO OLIVIERI		
<i>Efficienza e produttività del personale nel settore bancario italiano. Le leve industriali a sostegno dei piani strategici post Coronavirus</i>	“	209
MICHELE COSTA, ALBERTO PASTORE		
<i>CSR disclosure and environmental topics: what's happen in American corporates?</i>	“	215
ASSUNTINA COVIELLO, ALESSANDRA DE CHIARA		

<i>Core self-evaluations, dual mind processing, and overconfidence: a laboratory experiment</i> MATTEO CRISTOFARO, LUNA LEONI	PAG.	223
<i>The humane side of entrepreneurship: an empirical investigation</i> VALENTINA CUCINO, CRISTINA MARULLO, ELEONORA ANNUNZIATA, ANDREA PICCALUGA	“	229
<i>Service innovation in the wine sector: evidence from Sicilian firms</i> MARIAPIA CUTUGNO, TINDARA ABBATE, FABRIZIO CESARONI	“	235
<i>“Privacy concerns: an exploratory analysis through big data”</i> DAVID D’ACUNTO, SERENA VOLO	“	241
<i>The determinants of eco-innovation strategies. An empirical investigation of two European countries</i> IDA D’ATTOMA, SILVIA PACEI	“	247
<i>Coopetition strategy as management innovation: evidence from the alliance between Luna Rossa & Emirates team New Zealand</i> GIOVANNI BATTISTA DAGNINO, ANNA MINÀ	“	255
<i>The employment of nonfamily managers in family firms: An empirical investigation of normative heterogeneities in top management team (TMT) from a sample of European firms.</i> ALFREDO D’ANGELO	“	261
<i>Which came first: on the evolution of environmental identity and entrepreneurial identity in environmental entrepreneurship.</i> CHIARA DE BERNARDI	“	265
<i>La risposta emotiva del consumatore alle attività promozionali</i> FRANCESCA DE CANIO, DAVIDE PELLEGRINI	“	273
<i>The challenges of Covid-19 in the tourism industry. The case of the Italian context</i> VALENTINA DELLA CORTE, GIOVANNA DEL GAUDIO, GIULIANA NEVOLA, ENRICO DI TARANTO	“	279
<i>The revival of corporate magazine in building stakeholder engagement</i> ALESSIO DI LEO, LORENZA GERARDI, FABIOLA SFODERA, ALBERTO MATTIACCI	“	289
<i>Business models and sustainable firms: a focus on B Corps in Italy</i> ELEONORA DI MARIA, VALENTINA DEMARCHI, AMBRA GALEAZZO, ELENA BONEL	“	295
<i>Initial coin offering: il ruolo dei contenuti linguistici del white paper</i> GUIDO DI MATTEO, FRANCESCA MASCIARELLI	“	303
<i>Il consumer journey nel turismo: elaborazione di un framework integrale sulla base della letteratura</i> VALENTINA DINI, LAMBERTO ZOLLO, CRISTIANO CIAPPEL, RICCARDO RIALTI	“	309
<i>Museums’ management innovation between crisis and opportunities</i> ANNAMARIA ESPOSITO, ANGELA BESANA, M. CRISTINA VANNINI, CHIARA FISICHELLA	“	323
<i>Green enablers and disablers in fashion green luxury consumption</i> MONICA FARAONI, LAMBERTO ZOLLO, RAFFAELE FILIERI	“	329
<i>La formazione e l’evoluzione dei team imprenditoriali: un focus sugli spin off accademici</i> ROSANGELA FEOLA, CHIARA CRUDELE, ROBERTO PARENTE, MASSIMILIANO VESCI.	“	337
<i>Innovating and transforming during Covid-19: Insights from Italian firms</i> GIULIO FERRIGNO, VALENTINA CUCINO, ANDREA PICCALUGA	“	343
<i>Tradition-driven business model, value creation and value capture in high-end hotels</i> STEFANO FRANCO, ANGELO PRESENZA, ANTONIO MESSENI PETRUZZELLI, ENZO PERUFFO	“	349
<i>In search of new tools for improving transactional processes. A manufacturing case study</i> PIERO GABBERI, ANDREA CHIARINI	“	355

<i>Differenze culturali tra aree geografiche e gestione delle relazioni cooperative</i> SONIA CATERINA GIACCONE ANNA MINÀ	PAG.	367
<i>The innovation of the value capture: insights from the sharing economy</i> CECILIA GRIECO, GENNARO IASEVOLI	“	373
<i>I water safety plans come strumento di gestione preventiva e resiliente: analisi di una esperienza</i> NATALIA MARZIA GUSMEROTTI, VALENTINA NISTICÒ, ALESSANDRA BORGHINI, TIZIANA CENDERELLO, MARCO FREY	“	379
<i>Corporate governance, blockholder esteri e performance di impresa: una verifica empirica in Europa</i> ELVIRA TIZIANA LA ROCCA, RAFFAELE STAGLIANÒ, AUGUSTO D’AMICO	“	387
<i>“Sono super, finanziami!” L’impatto del narcisismo imprenditoriale sulle scelte di finanziamento di business angel e venture capitalist</i> SIMONA LEONELLI, FRANCESCA MASCIARELLI	“	393
<i>Knowledge spillover among Italian cities: the impact of youth entrepreneurship and high-tech firms on cities attractiveness</i> FILIPPO MARCHESANI, FRANCESCA MASCIARELLI	“	399
<i>Resilienza delle città e mobilità degli studenti: come le città rispondono ai disastri naturali</i> FILIPPO MARCHESANI, FRANCESCA MASCIARELLI	“	405
<i>The role of digital technologies in International Business and Marketing: an assessment of the literature</i> MARCELLO MARIANI, MICHELA MATARAZZO	“	411
<i>The SMES digital entrepreneurial ecosystem: a matter of trust</i> LUCA MARINELLI, ANTONIO CRUPI, ALBERTO DI MININ, NICOLA DEL SARTO, GIAN LUCA GREGORI, DOMINIQUE LEPORE, FRANCESCA SPIGARELLI	“	417
<i>Product authenticity: dimensions from the agri-foodstuffs producers’ side</i> VERONICA MAROZZO, ALFONSO VARGAS-SANCHEZ, TINDARA ABBATE, AUGUSTO D’AMICO	“	423
<i>Relational goods between person, acknowledgment and affective commitment: a contribution to the creation of public value</i> ANNA MARRUCCI, RICCARDO RIALTI, LAMBERTO ZOLLO, CRISTIANO CIAPPEI	“	431
<i>Beyond the R&D Unit. An exploratory study of corporate innovation initiatives in large established organizations</i> CRISTINA MARULLO, ISABEL ESTRADA VAQUERO, ALBERTO DI MININ	“	439
<i>Enabling factors of ridesharing: trust is the main driver for potential users</i> GIOVANNI MATTIA, LUDOVICA PRINCIPATO, LAURA DI PIETRO, CARLO ALBERTO PRATESI	“	445
<i>Intellectual capital disclosure and third mission in Italian Universities</i> ROBERTO MAVILIA, ROBERTA PISANI	“	451
<i>Strategic approaches to corporate wrongdoing prevention: an explorative analysis of whistleblowing arrangements in Italy</i> ALESSANDRA MAZZEI, ALFONSA BUTERA, SILVIA RAVAZZANI	“	459
<i>Resource-constrained innovation at the BoP: evidences from a digital startup</i> LAURA MICHELINI, ALESSIA PISONI, GLORIA MARTIGNONI	“	465
<i>Opening the box of Universities’ Third Mission: theoretical background and empirical investigation</i> MATILDE MILANESI, ENRICO MARONE, VALENTINA PAPA, SIMONE GUERCINI	“	471
<i>L’impatto del lockdown nel business-to-business: il caso italiano</i> LUDOVICA MOI, FRANCESCA CABIDDU	“	477

<i>Bridging the gap between sales and marketing: the role of digital content marketing strategy</i> ELISABETTA MONTI, CHIARA ANCILLAI, FEDERICA PASCUCCI	PAG.	483
<i>Taking corporate role in society seriously: the impact of strategic communication during Covid-19 on millennials</i> GRAZIA MURTARELLI, COLLEONI ELANOR, ROMENTI STEFANIA, OLIVIERI MIRKO	“	489
<i>Responsible management: a cutting edge for sustainability in the fashion supply chain</i> KUNLE FRANCIS OGUNTEGBE, NADIA DI PAOLA, ROBERTO VONA	“	495
<i>Examine organizational routines of US universities for a “better society” under the COVID 19 pandemic</i> ANTIGONI PAPADIMITRIOU	“	503
<i>La gestione del paziente cronico: nuove tecnologie e nuovi modelli organizzativi</i> ROBERTO PARENTE, ANTONIO BOTTI, ANTONELLA MONDA, ERIKA NAPONIELLO	“	507
<i>Approccio burocratico versus approccio imprenditoriale: il caso dei Living Lab della rete ENOLL</i> MARIACARMELA PASSARELLI, DOMENICO MAZZOTTA, ALFIO CARIOLA, GAETANO CUPIDO	“	515
<i>Biology and entrepreneurship: how they can meet?</i> MARIACARMELA PASSARELLI, VALENTINA CUCINO, ERIKA CIONE, ALBERTO DI MININ, ALFIO CARIOLA, ROBERTO CANNATARO	“	523
<i>Gli assistenti vocali agli occhi dei millennials: un’indagine esplorativa</i> MICHELA PATRIZI, MARIA VERNUCCIO, ALBERTO PASTORE	“	531
<i>Art and business. The history of Campari</i> GIUSEPPE PEDELIENTO, VALERIA PINCHERA, DANIELE DALLI	“	539
<i>Diversity & inclusion (D&I) in management Academies: state of the art and future challenges</i> LARA PENCO, ARABELLA MOCCIARO LI DESTRI, ALESSANDRA PERRI, ALBERTO PASTORE, SANDRO CASTALDO	“	545
<i>Industry 4.0: challenge or opportunity for social sustainability in firms?</i> MICHELA PICCAROZZI, BARBARA AQUILANI	“	553
<i>Global value chains in the era of 4IR: new paradigm of business models for SMEs</i> ROBERTA PISANI, ROBERTO MAVILIA	“	561
<i>Does the presence of the University affect residents’ perceived quality of life? An empirical investigation</i> ANGELO RIVIEZZO, MARIA ROSARIA NAPOLITANO, GIANCARLO RAGOZIN	“	567
<i>Exploring the antecedents of responsible leadership behaviour at individual and organisational levels</i> FRANCESCO RIZZI, CHIARA PELLEGRINI, ELEONORA ANNUNZIATA, MARCO FREY	“	573
<i>Family firms in times of digital innovation: transferring knowledge across generations</i> EMANUELA RONDI, LEOPOLD VON-SCHLENK BANSDORF, RUTH UBERBACHER, ALFREDO DE MASSIS, MARCEL HULSBECK	“	581
<i>Narcissistic CEOs in family firms and their role in innovation</i> PAOLA ROVELLI, ALFREDO DE MASSIS, LOUIS GOMEZ-MEJIA	“	587
<i>Exploration or exploitation? That’s the problem through the lenses of stakeholders</i> ANGELOANTONIO RUSSO, ROSAMARTINA SCHENA	“	591
<i>The commercialization of highly technological products in the context of Industry 4.0: a B2B SMEs perspective</i> ANDREA SABATINI, SARA BARTOLONI, GIAN LUCA GREGORI	“	599
<i>Personal branding: an exploratory study</i> ROSSELLA SAGLIOCCO, GAETANO BUCCINO	“	605

<i>L'innovazione del business model dell'Università per le attività di formazione</i> SAVINO SANTOVITO, RAFFAELE SILVESTRI, SERGIO SALOMONE, GAETANO MACARIO	PAG.	611
<i>L'impatto del Coronavirus sulla comunicazione aziendale: analisi delle lettere dei CEO agli azionisti</i> DOMENICO SARDANELLI, AGOSTINO VOLLERO, ALFONSO SIANO, FRANCESCA CONTE	“	619
<i>Data-driven talent management: quali prospettive di sviluppo in Italia?</i> DOMENICO SARDANELLI, FRANCESCA CONTE, AGOSTINO VOLLERO, ALFONSO SIANO	“	625
<i>Creative crowdsourcing: exploring the relationship between brand seeker and two-sided platform</i> SARA SCALA, FEDERICA CECCOTTI, ALBERTO PASTORE	“	631
<i>Mapping the conceptual structure of patent ecosystems: The case of Singapore</i> CARMELA ELITA SCHILLACI, ELONA MARKU, MARIA CHIARA DI GUARDO	“	637
<i>Academic Governance insights of University spin-offs: a micro-foundational perspective of life-science ventures</i> MAURO SCIARELLI, MARIO TANI, GIOVANNI CATELLO LANDI, LORENZO TURRIZIANI, ANNA PRISCO	“	643
<i>ESG Criteria in the banking industry: a systematic literature review</i> SEBASTIAN PUSCEDDU, CORRADO GATTI	“	651
<i>Transformative value co-creation in healthcare services in the COVID-19 era</i> ROBERTA SEBASTIANI, ALESSIA ANZIVINO	“	659
<i>“Cashback programs in ecommerce retailers: the choice between pure cashback and donation”</i> ALESSANDRO SIGNORINI	“	665
<i>Social spin-offs from established non-profit organizations; factors influencing the behavior of corporate donors</i> ALESSANDRO SIGNORINI	“	671
<i>Comunicare e promuovere la sostenibilità: il caso dei portali turistici regionali</i> RICCARDO SPINELLI, MATILDA SCANU	“	679
<i>Enhancing triple helix model through university educational offer</i> GIULIA TAGLIAZUCCHI, GIANLUCA MARCHI	“	687
<i>Attitudini ambientali e accettazione pubblica della nanotecnologia. L'influenza del “new environmental paradigm” e della “green trust” sulla percezione di benefici e rischi della nanotecnologia</i> NICCOLÒ MARIA TODARO, NATALIA MARZIA GUSMEROTTI, TIBERIO DADDI	“	693
<i>Effectiveness of training methods for SME managers</i> OKSANA TOKARCHUK, ROBERTO GABRIELE	“	699
<i>Exploring and comparing the impact of reward crowdfunding and equity crowdfunding on company performance</i> CIRO TROISE, ELENA CANDELO, DIEGO MATRICANO, MARIO SORRENTINO	“	705
<i>From online experience to visiting the production plant: management challenges and communication opportunities</i> KAMEL BEN YOUSSEF, MARTHA FRIEL, GRAZIA MURTARELLI, STEFANIA ROMENTI	“	711

Industry 4.0: challenge or opportunity for social sustainability in firms?

MICHELA PICCAROZZI* BARBARA AQUILANI*

Objectives. *The term Industry 4.0, which is currently widely used worldwide, refers to a series of technological innovations that in recent years have above all transformed industry and businesses.*

Lasi et al. (2014, p. 240) affirm that “Industry 4.0 collectively refers to a wide range of current concepts” such as for instance: Internet of Things, smart factory, cyber physical systems, new systems in distribution and procurement, new systems in the development of products and services, adaptation to human needs and also Corporate Social Responsibility.

This is a recent topic that is progressively developing in numerous fields of study, including the managerial one (Piccarozzi et al., 2018).

In this regard it is interesting to underline that a recent paper proposes a systematic literature review concerning Industry 4.0 in managerial studies and states that Industry 4.0 “[...] refers to the integration of Internet of Things technologies into industrial value creation enabling manufacturers to harness entirely digitized, connected, smart, and decentralized value chains” (Prause, et al., 2017, p. 423) able to “deliver greater flexibility and robustness to firm competitiveness and enable them to build flexible and adaptable business structures, [acquiring] the permanent ability for internal evolutionary developments in order to cope with a changing business environment” (Koether, 2006, p. 583), “as the result of a purposely formulated strategy implemented over time” (Piccarozzi et al., 2018, p. 16).

The above definition shows how the development of Industry 4.0 positively affects several important aspects and challenges in the life of firms, like global competitiveness (Mark et al., 2019), business model implementation (Gerlitz et al., 2016), supply chain (Glas and Kleemann, 2015), and also sustainability (Kagermann et al., 2013).

Indeed, sustainability, like innovation, is a key element for the management and strategies of modern businesses and a strong link exists between Industry 4.0 and sustainability (Kiel et al., 2017).

Some authors affirm that sustainability should be considered as one of the issues right at the core of Industry 4.0 strategy (Piccarozzi et al., 2018) while some others consider sustainability as one of the drivers of Industry 4.0 (Braccini and Margherita, 2018).

Even if it is true that some studies on the link between Industry 4.0 and sustainability have been developed following the triple bottom line approach including economic, environmental and social issues (Almada-Lobo, 2016; Frolov et al., 2017; Prause and Atari, 2017; de Sousa Jabbour, 2018), it is also true that Müller and Voigt (2018), for example, underline a lack of significant in-depth studies and development of literature just in this domain.

In particular, literature lacks in-depth contributions about the link between Industry 4.0 and social sustainability (Morrar et al., 2017), as also underlined more recently by Piccarozzi et al. (forthcoming) who consider this stream of literature in the start-up phase. Social sustainability can be defined as “a life-enhancing condition within communities, and a process within communities that can achieve that condition” (Mckenzie, 2004, p. 12) and, over the years, it has grown in importance just like the other pillars of sustainability (i.e., economic and environmental).

Indeed, to promote sustainable development, firms are asked to “overcome a purely economic vision, paying more and more attention to the environmental impact of their products and processes as well social issues and workers’ wellbeing” (Siemieniuch et al., 2015, p. 20).

Therefore, in the current global and competitive context it is no longer sufficient to focus attention on traditional aspects of sustainability such as resources efficiency, cost and waste reduction or productivity (Rodrigues et al., 2016), but it is necessary to put the spotlight on social issues (i.e., working conditions and safety; Cherrafi et al., 2016).

This is even more important when dealing with firm innovation, in that maintaining the focus on social innovation can threaten the maintenance and development of conditions of social sustainability during innovation (Vallance et al., 2011); just think of the role workers can have in finding new ideas to innovate that are able to encompass all aspects of sustainability and especially social ones.

However, scholars have not yet reached consensus about the link between the last innovation drivers (i.e., digitalization, Industry 4.0, etc.) and social innovation. Indeed, Linkov et al. (2018, p. 1) for example affirm that “while

* Assistant Professor of Management - University of “Tuscia” of Viterbo - Italy
e-mail: piccarozzi@unitus.it

• Full Professor of Management - University of “Tuscia” of Viterbo - Italy
e-mail: b.aquilani@unitus.it

the growth of a digital economy may increase productivity and benefit local and global economies, digitalization also raises potential sustainability challenges pertaining to social”, while other authors state that Industry 4.0 can instead represent, with its innovations, an opportunity for the development of social sustainability (Rauch et al., 2019).

In this domain, the aim of this extended abstract is to map the state of the art of literature regarding Industry 4.0 and social sustainability in the domain of management studies seeking to identify some major gaps in literature. With this aim in mind, two research questions have been formulated:

- RQ1: Which issues have authors analyzed jointly studying Industry 4.0 and social sustainability?
- RQ2: What kind of impact of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability do authors emphasize?

Methodology. This extended abstract is based on a systematic review of the literature, which is considered a rigorous methodology able to select all relevant papers pertaining to the subject matter as suggested by Transfiled et al. (2003).

This systematic literature review was carried out from the 13th of February to the 5th of March 2020 and was organized into a series of consequential steps to collect and progressively skim the papers of interest for the topic analyzed, starting from the choice of databases.

Then, according to Newbert (2007), Merli (2018) and Sassanelli et al. (2019) the database Web of Science (WoS) and Scopus-Elsevier were chosen. Indeed, Abatecola et al. (2013) affirm that these databases represent those of greatest interest and usefulness for managerial studies.

Once the databases were chosen, the keywords for the search were selected, considering the following keywords crossed in sequence:

- Research 1: “Industry 4.0” and “social sustainability”;
- Research 2: “Digitalization” and “social sustainability”.

In addition to the specific word “Industry 4.0”, the word “digitalization” has also been selected as it is commonly placed alongside the first as a related key word (Heng, 2014; Lasi et al., 2014; Ibarra et al., 2018).

A total of 30 papers for Research 1 and 8 papers for Research 2 emerged at this stage.

Then, the identified papers were skimmed using ad hoc filters in the two databases to ensure focusing only on papers pertaining to business management.

The filters used were:

- management, decision science, social science for Scopus;
- management for Web of Science.

This phase returned 10 papers for the first research and 5 for the second.

Finally, the results that emerged from the databases regarding the two researches were compared in order to eliminate any duplicate papers.

In conclusion, 13 papers emerged: 9 from Research 1 and 4 from Research 2.

It is important to specify that, given the small number of papers returned by the research, no further selection of papers was made based on type of publication (i.e. only journals, proceedings or books).

All the papers were therefore selected without limiting the analysis to specific categories, in order to have the widest possible vision of the aspects analyzed.

Findings. For clarity, results are divided into two sections.

In the first section, the particulars of the papers were analyzed, extracting their main characteristics.

In the second section, papers were investigated in more detail to extrapolate the relevant research topics and main considerations in terms of the impact of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability.

By analyzing the characteristics of the papers, one can highlight that 10 papers are published in international journals (The Journals of Cleaner Production and Sustainability have each published 3 papers for a total of 60% of the sample), while 3 papers are included in international conference proceedings.

The geographical origin analysis establishes that Italian researchers are the most active on this subject matter. Indeed, 31% of the papers (4 papers) are published by Italian authors, followed by Indian scholars with 15% of the sample (2 papers). The remaining papers are published in Europe (38% of the sample, 5 papers between Finland, Greece, Portugal, Spain and Sweden). The last two papers (15% of the sample) come from the USA and Indonesia. The concentration of studies in Europe is normal as the Industry 4.0 term was created and developed in Germany and then spread to the surrounding countries, before having a global impact.

Another interesting feature is related to the year of publication.

Research shows that papers have been published only in the last 3 years, while the Industry 4.0 term was created in 2011) followed by a crescendo.

In particular, 3 papers (23% of the sample) were published in 2017, 4 papers (31% of the sample) in 2018 and 6 papers (46% of the sample) in 2019.

Analyzing the methodological details of the publications, there is a balance between empirical (7 papers, 54% of the sample) and conceptual (6 papers, 46% of the sample) papers

In particular, conceptual papers used the literature review methodology, while the empirical ones are divided between qualitative (5 papers, 71% of the sample) and quantitative (2 papers, 29% of the sample) papers. Among the qualitative papers 3 studies present a single-case study while the remaining 2 used multiple case methodology.

Quantitative papers, in one case, apply structural equation modeling and in the other cluster analysis and were published respectively in 2019 and 2018.

The second section of results establishes the main topics emerged in the stream of literature under analysis (please see Table 1) and then the Industry 4.0 impact on social sustainability as explained by scholars.

Tab. 1: Classification of papers main topic

Theme	n.	%
Working conditions	8	62%
Supply chain	3	23%
Governance	1	8%
Knowledge	1	8%
Total	13	100%

Source: authors' elaboration

As is clear from Table 1, most papers (8 papers, 62% of the sample) focus the analysis on the impact that Industry 4.0 innovations can have on working conditions in the workplace (Bechtsis et al., 2017; Gregori et al., 2017; Nobre et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2018; Papetti et al., 2018; Cagliano et al., 2019; Fox, 2019; Mark et al., 2019).

Another issue that emerges and seems to attract researchers' attention is linked to the analysis of the supply chain (3 papers, 23% of the sample) (Sendlhofer and Lernborg, 2018; Djunaedi, 2019; Perez et al., 2019).

The remaining two papers instead focus on firm governance (Linkov et al., 2018) and on the role of knowledge (Kashyap and Agrawal, 2019) in the application of Industry 4.0 in a context of social sustainability.

As "working conditions" was the topic most studied it appears necessary to deepen its analysis by dividing the results into sub-topics in order to better understand the specific characteristics of the "Working conditions" (please see Table 2).

Tab. 2: Classification of "Working conditions" issues

Sub categories	n.	%
Layout	4	50%
Disability	1	13%
Productivity	1	13%
Automation	1	13%
General	1	13%
Total	8	100%

Source: authors' elaboration

The results show that half the papers focus on the working conditions of personnel involved in production plants (Kumar et al., 2018; Cagliano et al., 2019; Fox, 2019; Gregori et al. 2019)

The other 3 papers (about 38% the sample) identify other significant issues like Disability (Mark et al., 2019), Productivity (Papetti et al., 2018) and Automation (Bechtsis et al., 2017), while one paper generally speaks about working conditions without further specification (Nobre et al., 2017).

For each paper, the type of impact highlighted by the application of Industry 4.0 innovations to social sustainability was analyzed (please see Table 3).

Tab. 3: Impact of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability

Impact	n.	%
Positive	9	69%
None	4	31%
Total	13	100%

Source: authors' elaboration

Most studies (9 papers, 69% of the sample) highlight a clear positive impact of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability, while the remaining papers (4 papers, 31%) do not express any consideration of this issue. However, in none of the papers was a negative impact of Industry 4.0 application on social sustainability highlighted.

These first insights help answer the two main research questions behind this study.

RQ1: Which issues have authors analyzed when jointly studying Industry 4.0 and social sustainability?

From the analysis of the literature it is clear that, when we talk about the impact of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability, the attention is mainly focused on the "human dimension of social sustainability" (Zink, 2014, p. 35).

For instance, Cagliano *et al.* (2019) are certain that technology becomes an indispensable tool for the organization of work and working spaces and therefore is able to create a better environment for workers.

Gregori *et al.* (2017), on the other hand, highlight that smart architecture within the concept of Internet of Things factory, could positively influence social sustainability in a production site through a series of steps and tools that can monitor working conditions in factories. The authors propose a model to create factory layout using digital tools (i.e. system space virtualization or simulation on virtual maps) and periodically monitoring the well-being of workers through data acquired by sensors and direct interviews.

Bechtsis *et al.* (2019) focusing on some specific characteristics of the workplace, such as nuisance of noise level, vibrations and harshness in general, argue that innovation and robotics, in particular, allow the minimization of these factors which normally disturb workers, thus creating a more comfortable environment.

In addition, the application of Industry 4.0 to firms can trigger a virtuous circle in that achieving social sustainability can also lead to productivity gains.

Indeed, Papetti *et al.* (2018) state that innovative tools, such as the application of Internet of Things, can optimize human work and implement the quality of working conditions, contributing in this way to increasing the efficiency of the entire production system.

Even more interesting, is the paper by Mark *et al.* (2019) who decline social sustainability in terms of inclusion of the disabled worker.

The authors affirm that technologies are fundamental to allow the inclusion of disabled workers even in the highly technical areas of factories.

Moreover, the same authors highlighted that certain conditions are necessary for the effective achievement of social sustainability. Indeed, there are some threats that must be overcome to take advantage of Industry 4.0 implementations, like for example, the high costs for firms and the related lack of incentives by Institutions when speaking about assistance systems for people with disabilities (Mark *et al.* 2019).

RQ2: What kind of impact of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability do authors emphasize?

Results clearly reveal that innovations introduced by Industry 4.0 have a positive impact on social sustainability, at least at a literature review level. Contrary to what has already been mentioned in the introduction, from a study of Vallance *et al.* (2011), it seems that Industry 4.0 innovations are linked to sustainable development, thus they are able not to compromise the rights and environment of workers and the social condition in general.

In this sense, Mark *et al.* (2019, p. 1) state that “despite many fears that Industry 4.0 will lead to machines gradually replacing employees, Industry 4.0 aims much more at a human-centered production in which humans will continue to play an important role in the future”.

However, it should be underlined that in none of the papers analyzed is there a precise measurement of this positive impact.

Even if it is true that some papers highlight this positive impact through the analysis of case studies (5 papers, 71% of the sample), there is no mention of specific measurement methodologies and/or parameters used and/or applied to evaluate the positive fallout of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability; this represents an important gap in literature to be considered in future studies.

Indeed, using technology pertaining to Industry 4.0, the role of human capital does not seem to suffer negative impacts.

On the contrary, these technological innovations can contribute to raising the position of the worker (Bechtsis *et al.*, 2017; Gregori *et al.*, 2017; Nobre *et al.*, 2017; Kumar *et al.*, 2018; Papetti *et al.*, 2018; Cagliano *et al.*, 2019; Fox, 2019; Mark *et al.*, 2019) towards a favorable climate and respect for his particular skills.

Therefore, impacts seem to be mainly positive even if some problems must still be overcome, in some cases, taking full advantage of these positive fallouts triggered by Industry 4.0 on social sustainability (i.e. Mark *et al.* 2019).

Furthermore, the issue of governance should not be underestimated.

Indeed, Linkov *et al.* (2018) affirm that governance, both at the governmental and individual firm level, must support the integration of innovations to achieve social benefits. In fact, authors introduce the concept of “adaptive governance” permitting intervention on the potential threats that digitization could present to sustainability, making it possible to achieve the desired objectives.

Research limits. It is necessary to point out some limitations of the research.

Firstly, the number of databases used are a limitation of this study. Moreover, the limited number of keywords may have restricted the study presented in this short paper. Although the methodology of the systematic review of the literature is a replicable and standardizable model, at the same time some proposed assumptions are affected by the subjective analysis of the researcher.

Practical implications. This extended abstract has theoretical and managerial implications.

From a theoretical point of view, the paper helps to provide an initial overall view of the link between Industry 4.0 and social sustainability studied so far by scholars in an international context.

Indeed, as the review of the literature has underlined, this link has only been studied in very recent times and thus deserves more attention. In particular, some gaps in literature have emerged. Firstly, it is clear that there is no comprehensive view of how Industry 4.0 affects firm social sustainability. Indeed, the papers analyzed focus on single

aspects of this issue (i.e. situation of workers, supply chain, etc.) without proposing and/or suggesting for example how or to what extent Industry 4.0 can positively impact on social sustainability aspects while also enhancing the overall impact, thanks to existing or future relationships of social sustainability. In this same domain, further research should study possible virtuous links between firms, investors as well as universities and research centers in supporting firm transitions to digitalization having only or also a positive impact in the social sphere. In this respect, it is worth remembering that Industry 4.0 will reshape all firm environments and challenges and, in this way, will involve the entire society in developing future paths different from those of the past, like what happened with past industrial revolutions (Aquilani et al., 2019). This change in society will in turn, bring new challenges and changes in firms above all from a social point of view, because people operating in firms will ask for different working conditions, tools, etc. Literature should also focus attention on these important and unexplored issues to paint the full picture of the link between Industry 4.0 and social sustainability and vice versa, remembering that this change also involves, for example, the higher education system and research developed by institutions (i.e. Universities, research centers, etc.).

Indeed, none of the papers studied considers enhancements in social sustainability which need specific tools and/or innovations to be realized thanks to Industry 4.0; the effect and/or affect of social sustainability on Industry 4.0 development has to date been completely unexplored.

For both the above-mentioned research gaps, effects of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability and vice versa, more studies are needed above all in the empirical domain; only 2 quantitative studies have been retrieved considering only a few aspects of social sustainability.

On the other hand, costs and investments represent a critical element for the introduction of innovations linked to social sustainability, notwithstanding the fact that they are not widely investigated in literature.

From a managerial point of view, this paper can be of interest for managers and entrepreneurs to understand potential impact and benefits when applying Industry 4.0 innovations, also from a social sustainability point of view, even if difficulties and/or application problems (i.e. costs, governance aspects) must also be carefully taken into account.

Future research steps should consider the inclusion of other databases and/or search engines and other keywords related to the subject in order to widen the research at a desk level and refine the literature review presented in this extended abstract. Then, a framework regarding positive fallouts of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability could be created and tested, first thanks to multiple case studies and then seeking to create some measures or standards to evaluate the real achievement and improvement of social sustainability through Industry 4.0 implementation. After this stage it would be possible to complete a first picture on this subject matter also considering investments towards a respectful implementation of Industry 4.0 considering social innovation issues not only in terms of costs and/or investments, but also in terms of activities, worker involvement actions, etc. On completion of this research, managers would have a useful framework to choose activities that best suit their needs, given the specific nature of their firm and awareness of the necessary costs and/or investment, thus also paying sufficient attention to their budget.

Originality of the study. The study proposes an initial overall analysis of the main aspects of Industry 4.0 and social sustainability and, thus far, represents the first systematic review of the literature on the topic.

This research provides some initial considerations on the impact of Industry 4.0 on social sustainability from a managerial perspective, highlighting the positive effects but also the potential difficulties and/or problems for the achievement of their sustainable development objectives.

Key words: Industry 4.0, social sustainability, systematic literature review, impact, sustainable development.

References

- ABATECOLA G., MANDARELLI G., POGGESI S. (2013), "The personality factor: How top management teams make decisions. A literature review", *Journal of Management and Governance*, vol. 17, n. 1, pp. 1073-1100.
- ALMADA-LOBO F. (2015), "The Industry 4.0 revolution and the future of Manufacturing Execution Systems (MES)", *Journal of innovation management*, vol. 3, n. 4, pp. 16-21.
- AQUILANI, B., PICCAROZZI M., ABBATE T., CODINI A. (2019), "The challenging transition from Industry 4.0 to Society 5.0: the role of open innovation and value co-creation", *Sinergie-SIMA 2019 Conference Referred Electronic Conference Proceeding: Management and sustainability: creating shared value in the digital era*. Roma, pp. 1-5.
- BECHTSIS D., TSOLAKIS N., VLACHOS D., IAKOVOU E. (2017), "Sustainable supply chain management in the digitalization era: The impact of Automated Guided Vehicles", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 142, n. 1, pp. 3970-3984.
- BRACCINI A., MARGHERITA E. (2019), "Exploring organizational sustainability of industry 4.0 under the triple bottom line: The case of a manufacturing company", *Sustainability*, vol. 11, n. 1, pp. 36-50.
- CAGLIANO R., CANTERINO F., LONGONI A., BARTEZZAGHI E. (2019), "The interplay between smart manufacturing technologies and work organization", *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, vol. 39, n. 6/7/8, pp. 913-934.

- CHERRAFI A., ELFEZAZI S., CHIARINI A., MOKHLIS A., BENHIDA K. (2016), "The integration of lean manufacturing, Six Sigma and sustainability: A literature review and future research directions for developing a specific model", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 139, n. 12, pp. 828-846.
- DJUNAEDI D. (2019), "Building social sustainability of pharmaceutical industry through industry 4.0 implementation", *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, vol. 20, n. 1, pp. 149-158
- FOX S. (2019), "Moveable Production Systems for Sustainable Development and Trade: Limitations, Opportunities and Barriers" *Sustainability*, vol. 11, n. 19, pp. 5154-5160.
- FROLOV V.G., KAMINCHENKO D.I., KOVYLKIN D.Y., ALEX J., ALEX A. (2017), "The main economic factors of sustainable manufacturing within the industrial policy concept of industry 4.0", *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 12, n. 2, pp. 1-11.
- GERLITZ L. (2016), "Design management as a domain of smart and sustainable enterprise: business modelling for innovation and smart growth in Industry 4.0", *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, vol. 3, n. 3, pp. 244-268.
- GLAS A.H., KLEEMANN F.C. (2016), "The impact of industry 4.0 on procurement and supply management: A conceptual and qualitative analysis", *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, vol. 5 n. 6, pp. 55-66.
- GREGORI F., PAPETTI A., PANDOLFI M., PERUZZINI M., GERMANI M. (2017), "Digital manufacturing systems: a framework to improve social sustainability of a production site", *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 63, n. ???, pp. 436-442.
- HENG S. (2014), *Industry 4.0: upgrading of Germany's industrial capabilities on the horizon*. Deutsche Bank Research, Available at SSRN 2656608.
- HERRMANN C., SCHMIDT C., KURLE D., BLUME S., THIEDE S. (2014), "Sustainability in manufacturing and factories of the future", *International Journal of precision engineering and manufacturing-green technology*, vol. 1, n. 4, pp. 283-292.
- IBARRA D., GANZARAIN J., IGARTUA J.I. (2018), "Business model innovation through Industry 4.0: A review", *Procedia Manufacturing*, vol. 22, pp. 4-10.
- KAGERMANN H., WAHLSTER W., HELBIG J. (2013), *Recommendations for implementing the strategic initiative Industrie 4.0: Final report of the Industrie 4.0 Working Group*. Acatech, München.
- KASHYAP A., AGRAWAL R. (2019), "Academia a new knowledge supplier to the industry! Uncovering barriers in the process", *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, vol. 16, n. 5, pp. 715-733.
- KIEL D., MÜLLER J.M., ARNOLD C., VOIGT K.I. (2017), "Sustainable industrial value creation: Benefits and challenges of industry 4.0", *International Journal of Innovation Management*, vol. 21, n. 08, pp. 1740015-1740020.
- KOETHER R. (2006), *Taschenbuch der Logistik*, 2nd ed., Hanser Verlag GmbH Co KG, Leipzig, Germany.
- KUMAR R., SINGH S.P., LAMBA K. (2018), "Sustainable robust layout using Big Data approach: A key towards industry 4.0", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 204, n. 3, pp. 643-659.
- LASI H., FETTKE P., KEMPER H.G., FELD T., HOFFMANN M. (2014), "Industry 4.0", *Business & information systems engineering*, vol. 6, n. 4, pp. 239-242.
- LINKOV I., TRUMP B.D., POINSATTE-JONES K., FLORIN M.V. (2018), "Governance strategies for a sustainable digital world", *Sustainability*, vol. 10, n. 2, pp. 440-452.
- MARK B.G., HOFMAYER S., RAUCH E., MATT D.T. (2019), "Inclusion of Workers with Disabilities in Production 4.0: Legal Foundations in Europe and Potentials Through Worker Assistance Systems", *Sustainability*, vol. 11, n. 21, pp. 5978-5980.
- MCKENZIE S. (2004), *Social sustainability: towards some definitions*. Available online at <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/7820/50138ee592579c5212f7fb20236c0174d485.pdf>.
- MERLI R., PREZIOSI M., ACAMPORA A. (2018), "How do scholars approach the circular economy? A systematic literature review", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 178, n. 3, pp. 703-722.
- MORRAR R., ARMAN H., MOUSA S. (2017), "The fourth industrial revolution (Industry 4.0): A social innovation perspective", *Technology Innovation Management Review*, vol. 7, n. 11, pp. 12-20.
- MÜLLER J.M., KIEL D., VOIGT K.I. (2018), "What drives the implementation of Industry 4.0? The role of opportunities and challenges in the context of sustainability", *Sustainability*, vol. 10, n. 1, pp. 247-263.
- NEWBERT S.L. (2007), "Empirical research on the resource-based view of the firm: an assessment and suggestions for future research", *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 28, n. 2, pp.121-146.
- NOBRE A.L., DUARTE R., JACQUINET M. (2017), *Heidegger, Technology and Sustainability: between intentionality, accountability and empowerment*, In 19th International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems, SCITEPRESS-Science and Technology Publications, pp. 186-190.
- PAPETTI A., GREGORI F., PANDOLFI M., PERUZZINI M., GERMANI M. (2018), "IoT to enable social sustainability in manufacturing systems", *Advances in Transdisciplinary Engineering*, vol. 7, n. ???, pp. 53-62.
- PERALES D.P., VERDECHO M.J., ALARCÓN-VALERO F. (2019), *Enhancing the Sustainability Performance of Agri-Food Supply Chains by Implementing Industry 4.0*. In Working Conference on Virtual Enterprises, Springer, Cham, pp. 496-503.
- PICCAROZZI M., AQUILANI B., GATTI C. (2018), "Industry 4.0 in management studies: A systematic literature review", *Sustainability*, vol. 10, n. 10, pp. 3821- 3849.

- PRAUSE G., ATARI S. (2017), "On sustainable production networks for Industry 4.0", *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, vol. 4, n. 4, pp. 421-431.
- RAUCH E., LINDER C., DALLASEGA P. (2020), "Anthropocentric perspective of production before and within Industry 4.0", *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, vol. 139, n. 1, pp. 105644-105652.
- RODRIGUES V.P., PIGOSSO D.C., MCALOONE T.C. (2016), "Process-related key performance indicators for measuring sustainability performance of ecodesign implementation into product development", *Journal of Cleaner production*, vol. 139, n. 12, pp. 416-428.
- SASSANELLI C., ROSA P., ROCCA R., TERZI, S. (2019), "Circular economy performance assessment methods: A systematic literature review", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 229, n. 8, pp. 440-453.
- SENDLHOFFER T., LERNBORG C.M. (2018), "Labour rights training 2.0: The digitalization of knowledge for workers in global supply chains", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 179, n. 4, pp. 616-630.
- SIEMIENIUCH C.E., SINCLAIR M.A., HENSHAW M.D. (2015), "Global drivers, sustainable manufacturing and systems ergonomics", *Applied ergonomics*, vol. 51, n. 11, pp. 104-119.
- TRANFIELD D., DENYER D., SMART P. (2003), "Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review", *British Journal of management*, vol. 14, n. 3, pp. 207-222.
- VALLANCE S., PERKINS H.C., DIXON J.E. (2011), "What is social sustainability? A clarification of concepts", *Geoforum*, vol. 42, n. 3, pp. 342-348.
- ZINK K.J. (2014), *Social sustainability and quality of working life*, in Sustainability and human resource management Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 35-55.